

THE ANTICHRIST IDENTITY: PART 2

“MAKING SENSE OF THE COMING ANTICHRIST SYSTEM
FROM A HISTORICAL AND 21ST CENTURY PERSPECTIVE”



APOSTLES OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER?

The Antichrist Identity Series

www.theantichristidentity.com

A production of Rema Marketing

This document is the property of Rema Marketing and is considered to be strictly for reading only. With receipt of this report, the recipient acknowledges and agrees that written permissions must be secured from the publisher to use or reproduce any part of this report, except for brief quotations in critical reviews or articles. A publication of Rema Marketing. @2013, All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION


The rise of Barack Obama has been one of the most spectacular political ascendancies in the history of both American and international politics yet despite the public enthusiasm for his message of change there is an underlining element to his success and core beliefs on how change should be implemented.

A major portion of this report addresses the reasons why Barack Obama is deemed to be an Apostle of the New World Order. It is quite possible that he is following an agenda without fully realizing its full implication yet this has always been the method of the global elite who control the management of the world economy.

Soon to be the King of the United Kingdom and Commonwealth as well as the head of the Church of England, yet over the last decade, Prince Charles belief system has indicated that he finds more in common with the teachings of Islam rather than the very faith of the Church that he will possibly head.

What is the thinking of this complex individual who some even believe fits the profile of the coming Antichrist and what evidence is there that he is progressing significant initiatives which are contributing towards the emergence of a new world order?

There are very few European diplomats who have covered the mileage of Javier Solana as an international peace broker. He is one of Europe's most significant diplomats. Presently the High Representative for the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Secretary-General of both the Council of the European Union (EU) and the Western European Union (WEU) he also sits as a member of the Club of Rome and the Bilderberg Group. In 2007 he was also voted the winner of the prestigious International Charlemagne award. There is no doubt he had done more to advance the exposure of Europe on the world stage than any other European diplomat.



THE ANTICHRIST IDENTITY PART II: APOSTLES OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER

1	THE RISE OF BARACK OBAMA	7
2	SEPARATING OUT THE FALSE MYTHS	10
3	THE MYSTERY AROUND OBAMA’S BIRTH CERTIFICATE	13
4	THE ALL POWERFUL OBAMESSIAH	17
5	BLACK LIBERATION THEOLOGY	21
6	THE SOCIALIST CONNECTIONS	24
7	TRAINING A SOCIALIST ARMY OF WORLD SERVERS	30
8	OBAMA – A STRATEGIC BRIDGE TO THE MIDDLE EAST AND AFRICA	33
9	THE BRANDENBURG GATE SPEECH	40
10	OBAMA’S VIEWS ON FAITH AND MORALS	42
11	OBAMACARE AND ITS CONSEQUENCES	46
12	THE CREATION OF OBAMA’S NEW WORLD ORDER TEAM	50
13	OBAMA AND THE NORTH AMERICAN UNION	55
14	OBAMA’S OPPOSITION TO THE SECOND AMENDMENT	57
15	OBAMA AND THE FAIRNESS DOCTRINE	59
16	OBAMA’S FOREIGN POLICY ON ISRAEL	62
17	HENRY KISSINGER’S ENDORSEMENT OF OBAMA	64
18	FEMA AND HR645	67
19	CONCLUSION ON BARACK OBAMA	69

PRINCE CHARLES OF WALES

20	THE RISE OF THE PRINCE CHARLES PHENOMENAN	71
21	ADVOCATE FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	71
22	DEFENDER OF FAITH	74
23	SYMBOLISM IN THE COAT OF ARMS	75
24	THE HOUSE OF WINDSOR MEROVINGIAN BLOODLINE	78

JAVIER SOLANA

25	ARCHITECHT OF THE EUROPEAN SUPER POWER	85
26	SOLANA'S PLAN FOR GREATER EUROPEAN INFLUENCE NOW IN FORCE	87

FOOTNOTES		90
------------------	--	----

BARACK OBAMA

APOSTLE OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER

1. THE RISE OF BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA

Information in the public domain stipulates that Barack Hussein Obama was born Aug. 4, 1961, in Honolulu, Hawaii. His father, Barack Obama, Sr., was born of Luo ethnicity in Nyanza Province, Kenya. He grew up herding goats with his own father, who was a domestic servant to the British. Although reared among Muslims, Obama, Sr became an atheist at some point. Obama's mother, Ann Dunham, grew up in Wichita, Kansas. Her father worked on oil rigs during the Depression. After the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, he signed up for service in World War II and marched across Europe in Patton's army. Dunham's mother went to work on a bomber assembly line. After the war, they studied on the G. I. Bill, bought a house through the Federal Housing Program, and moved to Hawaii.

Meantime, Barack's father had won a scholarship that allowed him to leave Kenya pursue his dreams in Hawaii. At the time of his birth, Obama's parents were students at the East-West Center of the University of Hawaii at Manoa. Obama's parents separated when he was two years old and later divorced. Obama's father went to Harvard to pursue Ph. D. studies and then returned to Kenya. His mother married Lolo Soetoro, another East-West Center student from Indonesia. In 1967, the family moved to Jakarta, where Obama's half-sister Maya Soetoro-Ng was born. Obama attended schools in Jakarta, where classes were taught in the Indonesian language.

Four years later when Barack (commonly known throughout his early years as "Barry") was ten, he returned to Hawaii to live with his maternal grandparents, Madelyn and Stanley Dunham, and later his mother (who died of ovarian cancer in 1995). Obama entered Harvard Law School in 1988. In February 1990, he was elected the first African-American editor of the Harvard Law Review. Obama graduated magna cum laude in 1991. After law school, Obama returned to Chicago to practice as a civil rights lawyer, joining the firm of Miner, Barnhill & Galland. He also taught at the University of Chicago Law School. And he helped organize voter registration drives during Bill Clinton's 1992 presidential campaign.

After high school, Obama studied at Occidental College in Los Angeles for two years. He then transferred to Columbia University in New York, graduating in 1983 with a degree in political science. After working at Business International Corporation (a company that provided international business information to corporate clients) and NYPIRG, Obama moved to Chicago in 1985. There, he worked as a community organizer with low-income residents in Chicago's Roseland community and the Altgeld Gardens public housing development on the city's South Side.

Obama published an autobiography in 1995 *Dreams From My Father: A Story of Race and Inheritance*. And he won a Grammy for the audio version of the book. Obama's advocacy work led him to run for the Illinois State Senate as a Democrat. He was elected in 1996 from the south side neighborhood of Hyde Park. During these years, Obama worked with both Democrats and Republicans in drafting legislation on ethics, expanded health care services and early childhood education programs for the poor. He also created a state earned-income tax credit for the working poor. And after a number of inmates on death row were found innocent, Obama worked with law enforcement officials to require the videotaping of interrogations and confessions in all capital cases.

In 2000, Obama made an unsuccessful Democratic primary run for the U. S. House of Representatives seat held by four-term incumbent candidate Bobby Rush. Following the 9/11 attacks, Obama was an early opponent of President George W. Bush's push to war with Iraq. Obama was still a state senator when he spoke against a resolution authorizing the use of force against Iraq during a rally at Chicago's Federal Plaza in October 2002.



"I am not opposed to all wars. I'm opposed to dumb wars....What I am opposed to is the cynical attempt by Richard Perle and Paul Wolfowitz and other arm-chair, weekend warriors in this Administration to shove their own ideological agendas down our throats, irrespective of the costs in lives lost and in hardships borne."

"He's a bad guy," Obama said, referring to Iraqi dictator Saddam Hussein.

"The world, and the Iraqi people, would be better off without him. But I also know that Saddam poses no imminent and direct threat to the United States, or to his neighbors, that the Iraqi economy is in shambles, that the Iraqi military a fraction of its former strength, and that in concert with the international community he can be contained until, in the way of all petty dictators, he falls away into the dustbin of history."

"I know that even a successful war against Iraq will require a U. S. occupation of undetermined length, at undetermined cost, with undetermined consequences.....I know that an invasion of Iraq without a clear rationale and without strong international support will only fan the flames of the Middle East, and encourage the worst, rather than best, impulses of the Arab world, and strengthen the recruitment arm of al-Qaeda."

The war with Iraq began in 2003 and Obama decided to run for the U.S. Senate open seat vacated by Republican Peter Fitzgerald. In the 2004 Democratic primary, he won 52 percent of the vote, defeating multimillionaire businessman Blair Hull and Illinois Comptroller Daniel Hynes. That summer, he was invited to deliver the keynote speech in support of John Kerry at the 2004 Democratic National Convention in Boston. Obama emphasized the importance of unity, and made veiled jabs at the Bush administration and the diversionary use of wedge issues.

"We worship an awesome God in the blue states, and we don't like federal agents poking around our libraries in the red states," he said. "We coach Little League in the blue states, and yes, we've got some gay friends in the red states. There are

patriots who opposed the war in Iraq, and there are patriots who supported the war in Iraq. We are one people, all of us pledging allegiance to the Stars and Stripes, all of us defending the United States of America."

After the convention, Obama returned to his U.S. Senate bid in Illinois. His opponent in the general election was suppose to be Republican primary winner Jack Ryan, a wealthy former investment banker. However, Ryan withdrew from the race in June 2004, following public disclosure of unsubstantiated sexual allegations by Ryan's ex wife, actress Jeri Ryan.

In August 2004, diplomat and former presidential candidate Alan Keyes, who was also an African American, accepted the Republican nomination to replace Ryan. In three televised debates, Obama and Keyes expressed opposing views on stem cell research, abortion, gun control, school vouchers and tax cuts. In the November 2004 general election, Obama received 70% of the vote to Keyes's 27%, the largest electoral victory in Illinois history. Obama became only the third African American elected to the U.S. Senate since Reconstruction.

Sworn into office January 4, 2005, Obama partnered with Republican Sen. Richard Lugar of Indiana on a bill that expanded efforts to destroy weapons of mass destruction in Eastern Europe and Russia. Then with Republican Sen. Tom Corburn of Oklahoma, he created a website that tracks all federal spending.

Obama was also the first to raise the threat of avian flu on the Senate floor, spoke out for victims of Hurricane Katrina, pushed for alternative energy development and championed improved veterans' benefits. He also worked with Democrat Russ Feingold of Wisconsin to eliminate gifts of travel on corporate jets by lobbyists to members of Congress. His second book, *The Audacity of Hope: Thoughts on Reclaiming the American Dream*, was published in October 2006.

In February 2007, Obama made headlines when he announced his candidacy for the 2008 Democratic presidential nomination. He was locked in a tight battle with former first lady and current U.S. Senator from New York, Hillary Rodham Clinton until he became the presumptive nominee on June 3, 2008.⁷⁸




Since then he has become president of the United States defeating John McCain to claim presidency and then reclaiming presidency in 2012 when he defeated republican nominee, Mitt Romney.

2. SEPARATING OUT THE FALSE MYTHS

In 2008 and early 2009, Google the internet's largest search engine provider reported that there were literally millions of searches against the term "Obama Antichrist". Such a frenzied interest precipitated countless speculations and assumptions published on the internet regarding the rise of Obama onto the world political stage.

However a detailed analysis revealed that 95% of all antichrist accusations had no substantial and verifiable content. The amount of primary source data for justifying the view that Obama was the Antichrist was thin on the ground. Many of the "youtube" presentations were shockingly over exaggerations of conspiracy theories which would not stand up to any first level critical analysis.

For instance, there were many clumsy attempts at using the Gematria numbering system to try and prove that somehow his name was linked to 666. Some over zealots also make reference to the Mayan Calendar and its prophecies which have received growing interest regarding the end of the world occurring in 2012. The premise then states that if the bible in Daniel and Revelation talks about a future 42 month period of Antichrist world rule then the first term of Obama's presidency would coincide with 2012.



As weak an argument as this is, it still fuels speculation that his rise somehow ties into the prophetic doomsday scenarios whether Mayan Prophecies, Biblical End Times or the quatrains of Nostradamus which have enjoyed a new lease of life after their failures regarding the end of the world occurring in 2000.

The dangers of over sensationalizing against any individual is that it increases the readers resistance to any future charge against the individual. This then creates a dangerous precedent in that charges which are laid against the individual which are significantly justified are treated with suspicion and seen as a continuation of the slander. For instance despite the propoganda there were some more credible arguments being put forward.

For instance some were suggesting that Obama's Islamic connection should be a major concern due to the potential threat of the US government being led by a man who shares an ancestry with Islam. Such a situation could potentially have significant ramifications regarding foreign policy and the American position on mainstream and radical Islam. The question arises what if Obama decided to convert to Islam.

Before the examination begins on Obama's involvement with the New World Order it is first important to identify and disassociate from some of the myths being perpetuated which have undermined the case against Obama.

MYTH 1: OBAMA IS A MUSLIM

In early 2008 a chain email began circulation across the United States. The contents of the email were as follows.

Who is Barack Obama?

Obama takes great care to conceal the fact that he is a Muslim. He is quick to point out that, "He was once a Muslim, but that he also attended Catholic school." Obama's political handlers are attempting to make it appear that he is not a radical. Obama's introduction to Islam came via his father, and this influence was temporary at best. In reality, the senior Obama returned to Kenya soon after the divorce, and never again had any direct influence over his son's education.

Lolo Soetoro, the second husband of Obama's mother, Ann Dunham, introduced his stepson to Islam. Obama was enrolled in a Wahabi school in Jakarta. Wahabism is the RADICAL teaching that is followed by the Muslim terrorists who are now waging Jihad against the western world.

Since it is politically expedient to be a CHRISTIAN when seeking major public office in the United States, Barack Hussein Obama has joined the United Church of Christ in an attempt to downplay his Muslim background. ALSO, keep in mind that when he was sworn into office he DID NOT use the Holy Bible, but instead the Koran.

Barack Hussein Obama will NOT recite the Pledge of Allegiance nor will he show any reverence for our flag. While others place their hands over their hearts, Obama turns his back to the flag and slouches. Let us all remain alert concerning Obama's expected presidential candidacy. The Muslims have said they plan on destroying the US from the inside out, what better way to start than at the highest level - through the President of the United States , one of their own!!!! "

As observed earlier, Obama has an unusual and interesting background, which the above-quoted piece draws on to paint him as a dangerous, camouflaged radical Muslim. Much of the information presented therein about his background is distorted and exaggerated, however, and no evidence supports a claim that Obama is currently, or ever has been, a Muslim (radical or otherwise).

Although the Obama's father was raised as a Muslim, no evidence supports the claim that he was ever a "radical Muslim," and Obama's family histories note that his father was an atheist or agnostic (i.e., no longer a practicing Muslim) by the time he married the younger Obama's mother. Of his mother's religious views, Obama wrote:

"For my mother, organized religion too often dressed up closed-mindedness in the garb of piety, cruelty and oppression in the cloak of righteousness.

This isn't to say that she provided me with no religious instruction. In her mind, a working knowledge of the world's great religions was a necessary part of any well-rounded education. In our household the Bible, the Koran, and the Bhagavad Gita sat on the shelf alongside books of Greek and Norse and African mythology. On Easter or Christmas Day my mother might drag me to church, just as she dragged me to the Buddhist temple, the chinese New Year celebration, the Shinto shrine, and ancient Hawaiian burial sites. But I was made to understand that such religious samplings required no sustained commitment on my part. Religion was an expression of human culture, she would explain, not its wellspring, just one of the many ways — and not necessarily the best way — that man attempted to control the unknowable and understand the deeper truths about our lives.

In sum, my mother viewed religion through the eyes of the anthropologist she would become; it was a phenomenon to be treated with a suitable respect, but with a suitable detachment as well." ¹⁸⁶

Whilst we note Obama's strong connection to Islam and ability to speak some Arabic and quote from passages of the Koran this does not implicate him as being a Muslim. In June 2009 speaking before the Arab World whilst at Cairo University, Obama made it very clear that his religious faith was that of "Christianity" (although the type of Christianity is questioned later on) whilst at the same time maintaining a special respect for the teachings of Islam because of his family heritage.

MYTH 2: OBAMA DISHONORS THE AMERICAN FLAG

Obama drew some criticism over a photograph that circulated during 2007 showing him standing without his hand over his heart during the playing of the U.S. national anthem at a political event.¹⁸⁷ The picture shows Governor Bill Richardson, Hillary Clinton and Ruth Harkin with their hand over their heart but the claim that he "will NOT recite the Pledge of Allegiance" is false as this has been demonstrated on many other occasions.



As for whether this incident was an "accident," whether Senator (at the time) Obama habitually declines to perform the hand-over-heart gesture, or whether there's any particular meaning to the (non-)action, an Obama campaign spokesperson responded:

"Sometimes he does, sometimes he doesn't. In no way was he making any sort of statement, and any suggestion to the contrary is ridiculous."

Obama himself said that

"My grandfather taught me when I was 2. During the Pledge of Allegiance, you put your hand over your heart. During the national anthem, you sing."

When television's Inside Edition ran a segment on this issue, they included some images (supplied by the Obama campaign) of the senator standing with his hand over his heart during other playings of the national anthem.

MYTH 3: OBAMA WAS SWORN INTO CONGRESS ON THE KORAN

During the Democratic candidates' debate on 15 January 2008, Obama directly refuted the three primary rumors about him that were circulating via e-mail: that he is a Muslim, that he was sworn in to Congress on the Quran, and that he refuses to say the Pledge of Allegiance. The issue over the Quran was in fact a case of mistaken identity and in reference to a different politician, Minnesota congressman Keith Ellison, who was sworn in to congress in November 2006.¹⁸⁵



3. THE MYSTERY AROUND OBAMA'S BIRTH CERTIFICATE

Many conservative researchers reject the legitimacy of President of the United States Barack Obama's citizenship and his eligibility to be President. The allegation is that Obama was born in Kenya, not Hawaii, and that his birth certificate is a forgery. Other theories allege that Obama is a citizen of Indonesia, or that because he had dual citizenship at birth (British and American), he is not a natural born citizen of the US. Being a natural born citizen is a requirement to be President of the United States under Article Two of the United States Constitution.

These allegations began receiving attention in mid-2008 following Obama's victory in the Democratic primaries, in late 2008 – early 2009 with regard to the Electoral College vote and Obama's inauguration, and again in mid-2009 following a lawsuit by Army reservist Stefan F. Cook.

Although Obama was confirmed as president-elect by Congress on January 8, 2009, and sworn in as President on January 20, litigation continued into his presidency. Those promoting these allegations are frequently called "birthers", a moniker that parallels the nickname "truthers" for adherents of the 9/11 conspiracy theories.²³⁴

Although claims about Obama's citizenship were evaluated in 2008 by the McCain Campaign and ultimately rejected, they became a significant issue among sections of the political right. By mid-2009, the citizenship issue was one of the hottest and most lucrative sources of fundraising for organizations on the right that raise funds through direct mail and telemarketing. Online petition sites such as that of Alan Keyes, who has been collecting signatures on the birth certificate issue, are a major source for generating mailing lists of movement conservatives.²³⁵ The web site WorldNetDaily published more than 200 articles on the subject by July 2009 and has sold billboards, bumper stickers and postcards asking "Where's the birth certificate?" and similar slogans in an effort which has "already raised tens of thousands of dollars."

Moderate conservatives have found themselves "bombarded with birther stuff". Protesters at the Tea Party protests in 2009 carried signs about the birth certificate issue,²³⁶ some of which were recommended by protest organizers. In an incident that attracted widespread media coverage, moderate Republican Representative Michael Castle was booed and heckled during a July 2009 town hall meeting in Georgetown, Delaware, when he told a woman protesting about Obama's birth certificate: "if you're referring to the president there, he is a citizen of the United States.

The case against Obama not being entitled to be President of the US because he is "foreign born" is largely because of the claim that

- No hospital anywhere in Hawaii or elsewhere in the United States claims to be Obama's birthplace. When Kapi'olani Medical Center in Honolulu did for the purposes of a fundraiser, it was quickly forced to back down.
- No doctors, nurses, medical staff or personnel have claimed to have any knowledge of his birth, let alone to have been a witness.
- No eyewitnesses to his birth in the United States have ever come forth.

- Obama has spent millions of dollars in campaign funds and millions more in taxpayer funds defending against lawsuits seeking only documented proof of natural born citizenship.

The stage is being set for a major ruling from the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals on whether the U.S. judiciary actually can enforce the provisions of the Constitution.

The basic question is being raised in an appeal of a district judge's decision to dismiss a legal challenge to Barack Obama's constitutional eligibility to be president. U.S. District Judge David Carter's had previously dismissed the complaint that listed several dozen plaintiffs including several political candidates and party officials, including former U.S. ambassador Alan Keyes and Wiley Drake and Markham Robinson of the American Independent Party.


However Gary Kreep of the United States Justice Foundation has filed a notice of appeal to the 9th Circuit in the case. Because of the unusual circumstances in which some of the plaintiffs are represented by another lawyer, attorney Orly Taitz also has filed a motion for reconsideration. Kreep, who represents plaintiffs Wiley Drake and Markham Robinson, posed the question at the center of the case:

"Whether the court may make a determination of whether the president has met the eligibility requirements for office, whether the 'natural born citizen' clause of the United States Constitution may be enforced by the courts, whether the 'natural born citizen' clause of the U.S. Constitution is a nonpolitical question, whether the court may remove from office a president that was not elected in accordance with the U.S. Constitution."²³⁷

Carter's dismissal centered on his opinion the plaintiffs lacked "standing" to bring the complaint, including plaintiffs who were third-party candidates in the 2008 presidential election, although he worried about the full impact of the ruling. He said,

"The court is troubled by the idea that a third party candidate would not have standing to challenge a major party candidate's qualifications, while the opposing major party candidate may be able to establish standing because he or she has a better chance of winning the election," he said.²³⁷

Carter also cited as proof of Obama's birth a document image that has been posted online. The White House had published copies of Mr Obama's official birth certificate - a printed summary of his birth details, including the name of the medical centre in Honolulu, Hawaii, where he was born. But opponents believe there is something suspicious in the absence of a photocopy of his original birth record, known as the "long form." The "certification of live birth" posted online and widely touted as "Obama's birth certificate" does not in any way prove he was born in Hawaii, since the same "short-form"



document is easily obtainable for children not born in Hawaii.

The true "long-form" birth certificate – which includes information such as the name of the birth hospital and attending physician – is the only document that can prove Obama was born in Hawaii, but to date he has not permitted its release. This would have been prepared by doctors or hospital officials involved in his birth and would contain fuller details - including the address of his parents.

Opponents also dismiss as a distraction a birth announcement for Obama that appeared in the Honolulu Advertiser on August 13, 1961 - nine days after he was born. George Gordon Liddy, the former Nixon supporter who served a four and a half year prison sentence for leading the Watergate burglary and who is now a conservative talk radio host, said:

"This whole thing could be settled in a minute if the President would simply produce a valid birth certificate."²³⁸

Liddy maintains that Obama was in fact born in Kenya, his father's home country, and claims to have seen a deposition by the President's Kenyan step-grandmother, 86-year-old Sarah Obama, stating that the city of his birth was Mombasa. The President is therefore "an illegal alien", Liddy says.

However, Obama's long-form original birth certificate has remained under seal. The image posted by his campaign on the Internet is a different document, a "Certification of Live Birth" that apparently is computer-generated and has been challenged by a number of critics over its authenticity.

In fact, the authenticity of the "Certification of Live Birth" has been a focal point of numerous court challenges to Obama's eligibility. The Constitution, Article 2, Section 1, states, "No Person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President."

Some of the lawsuits question whether he was actually born in Hawaii, as he insists. If he was born out of the country, Obama's American mother, the suits contend, was too young at the time of his birth to confer American citizenship to her son under the law at the time.

Other challenges have focused on Obama's citizenship through his father, a Kenyan subject to the jurisdiction of the United Kingdom at the time of his birth, thus making him a dual citizen. The cases contend the framers of the Constitution excluded dual citizens from qualifying as natural born.

Further, others question his citizenship by virtue of his attendance in Indonesian schools during his childhood and question on what passport did he travel to Pakistan three decades ago.

Adding fuel to the fire is Obama's persistent refusal to release documents that could provide answers and the appointment – at a cost confirmed to be at least \$1.7 million – of myriad lawyers to defend against all requests for his documentation. While his supporters cite an online version of a "Certification of Live Birth" from Hawaii as his birth verification, critics point out such documents actually were issued for children not born in the state.

The debate might have continued to bubble away beneath the radar of most Americans had the suspicions not been given a mainstream airing by Lou Dobbs, a former popular CNN commentator and host who had his own popular radio show broadcast by the network.

CNN attempted to distance itself from the doubts of Dobbs by displaying Barack Obama's "short" birth certificate on air. The media network claimed that CNN researchers had determined that Hawaiian officials discarded paper documents in 2001. Because of that, Obama's long-form birth certificate no longer exists and the shorter certificate of live birth that has been made public is the sole official record.


However Lou Dobbs' refusal to drop "birther" stories about challenges to President Obama's constitutional eligibility to hold office was a major source of contention with CNN management, leading to his sudden resignation from CNN and walking away from more than \$9 million, according to the New York Post.

Former Republican House majority leader Tom DeLay says he has "no idea" whether Barack Obama is constitutionally eligible to serve as president because of refusing to show his birth certificate to the American people. In answer to a question from Newsweek about statements he previously made with regard to Obama's eligibility, he stated:

"What I said was, to answer a question from Chris Matthews, I said: 'Why wouldn't the president of the United States show the American people his birth certificate?' You have to show a birth certificate to play little league baseball. It's a question that should be answered. It's in the Constitution that you have to be a natural-born citizen of the United States to be president."²³⁹

Asked whether he believed Obama was a citizen, DeLay responded: "I have no idea."

Notable advocates of the view that Obama may not be eligible for the Presidency include the website AmericaMustKnow.com which encourages visitors to lobby members of the Electoral College to vote against Obama's confirmation as President and become faithless electors. Electors around the country received numerous letters and e-mails contending that Obama's birth certificate is a forgery and that he was born in Kenya, and requesting that Obama be denied the presidency. Some of the online campaigners coordinated their efforts with weekly conference calls, in which they discussed the latest news and how to advance the story.




The campaign has also been supported by the WorldNetDaily (WND) website, which sponsored a letter-writing campaign to the Supreme Court. WND's publisher Joseph Farah has written a number of editorials arguing that Obama's eligibility needs to be confirmed. WND also previously mounted an advertising campaign, using electronic billboards to ask "Where's The Birth Certificate?" The talk radio hosts Michael Savage, Brian Sussman, Lars Larson, Bob Grant, Jim Quinn, Rose Tennent, Barbara Simpson, and Mark Davis have all promoted the eligibility claims on their radio shows

4. THE ALL POWERFUL OBAMESSIAH

"... A LIGHT WILL SHINE THROUGH THAT WINDOW, A BEAM OF LIGHT WILL COME DOWN UPON YOU, YOU WILL EXPERIENCE AN EPIPHANY ... AND YOU WILL SUDDENLY REALIZE THAT YOU MUST GO TO THE POLLS AND VOTE FOR OBAMA" - BARACK OBAMA LEBANON, NEW HAMPSHIRE.
JANUARY 7, 2008.

If the rise of the Antichrist accusations were the thoughts of over zealous speculators and conspiracy theorists spreading their points of view across the web, then the credibility given to this viewpoint was the result of one of the most sophisticated commercials ever released on television during any political campaign in any area. Senator John McCain as a republican has been no stranger to the power of the evangelical conservative Christian right and their support for the republican party. His brief association with TV Evangelist John Hagee through Hagee's endorsement, had been a confirmation of this before Hagee's additional controversial views caused McCain to distance himself from the TV evangelist for fear of damage to his campaign.⁷⁹ However that aside, McCain was well familiar with the evangelical view on bible prophecy and the teachings regarding a coming world government and Antichrist. Thus a strategic move was made with the release of a political commercial television advert called "The One"⁸⁰

The ad was the creation of Fred Davis, one of McCain's top media gurus as well as a close friend of former Christian Coalition head Ralph Reed and the nephew of conservative Oklahoma Senator, James Inhofe. It first caught the attention of Democrats familiar with the Left Behind series, a fictionalized account of the end-time that debuted in the 1990s and has sold nearly 70 million books worldwide. "*The language in there is so similar to the language in the Left Behind books,*" says Tony Campolo, a leading progressive Evangelical speaker and author.



As the ad begins, the words "*It should be known that in 2008 the world shall be blessed. They will call him The One*" flash across the screen. The Antichrist of the Left Behind books is a charismatic young political leader named Nicolae Carpathia who founds the One World religion (slogan: "*We Are God*") and promises to heal the world after a time of deep division. One of several Obama clips in the ad features the Senator saying, "*A nation healed, a world repaired. We are the ones that we've been waiting for.*"

Eric Sapp, a consultant for Democratic consulting agency the Eleison Group, accused the McCain campaign of using the politics of fear,

“Going back to the classic Republican playbook of playing to these people's fears, trying to, you know, send a message to these folks that you really need to be careful. You really need to worry about this guy. He literally could be a cosmic anti-Christ figure, and for a lot of people, that may sound strange to believe, but there is a significant part of the community that will take this stuff very seriously.”⁸³



Mara Vanderslice who founded a community based organization called Matthew 25 Network⁸¹ had noticed that the negative e-mails she received from conservative Christians fell into two general topical categories, abortion, and the assertion that Obama is the Antichrist. The cataloguing of similarities Obama shares with the Antichrist began in 2007. But it picked up steam in February 2008 after he racked up a string of impressive primary victories and will no doubt increase further now that he is President of the United States and spearheading the rebuilding of a new world financial order after the global banking collapse of 2008.

The speculation of the “antichrist link” was further increased with Obama's Europe and Middle East trip in July 2008 and the Berlin speech in which he called for global unity. In 2009 President Obama became the first US president to address the Muslim world in a historic speech made at Cairo University in Egypt. Conservative Christian author Hal Lindsey declared in an essay on World Net Daily,

“Obama is correct in saying that the world is ready for someone like him — a messiah-like figure, charismatic and glib ... The Bible calls that leader the Antichrist. And it seems apparent that the world is now ready to make his acquaintance.”⁸²

The Messiah complex is a growing phenomenon. The Revered Jesse Jackson, himself a former presidential candidate, commented that Obama ran a “theological campaign” – that at some point , he took of his arms and grew wings. At the University of Texas, crowds sang “Obama-leluja” at his approach.¹³⁵ Onlookers fainted at his speeches with alarming frequency compared to other campaigns.¹³⁶ In Dallas, Texas, 17,000 people applauded when he stopped speaking to announce, he had to blow his nose.¹³⁷



Some have jokingly called Barack Obama, “Obamessiah”. A blog titled “Is Barack Obama the Messiah” exists to document the growing press coverage he receives and the growing number of diverse delusions. Ezra Klein, associate editor of “The American Prospect”, is a talented liberal writer who writes

“Obama’s finest speeches...enmesh you in grander moment, as if history has stopped flowing passively by, and , just for an instant, contracted around you, made you aware of its presence, and your role in it. He is not the Word made flesh, but the

triumph of word over flesh, over color, over despair....Obama is, at his best, able to call us back to our highest selves, to the place where America exists as a glittering ideal, and where we, its honored inhabitants, seem capable of achieving it, and thus of sharing in its meaning and transcendence.”¹³⁸

Mark Morford of the San Francisco Chronicle begins his June 6, 2008, column as the following;

“Many spiritually advanced people I know...identify Obama as a Lightworker, that rare kind of attuned being who has the ability to lead us not merely to new foreign policies or health care plans or whatnot, but who can actually help usher in a new way of being on the planet, of relating and connecting and engaging with this bizarre earthly experiment. These kinds of people actually help us evolve.”¹³⁹

Steve Davies of Charleston says,

“I have been examining the Obama phenomenon myself, and have concluded, at least for myself, a Midwestern white male, that Barack’s appeal is actually messianic, it’s something about his aura, his spirit, his soul, that exudes enlightenment in the making....Oh, he’s not fully enlightened, being that enlightenment is a process, not an event. But for reasons I can’t fully articulate, he is one of those individuals who communicates God-like energy (metaphorically speaking), in whom you can “feel” God.....Now, I’m using the term “God” to mean the loving, honest, just, and inspiring part of the force behind it all. You may not believe something’s behind it all, but I do. And I look for God constantly — that which exudes love, integrity, humility, depth, kindness, generosity — and more ... supposedly.....So, Geraldine, I’m taking a special look at Barack Obama because he’s a lot closer to a Jesus-type than the other candidates, by quite a bit. What if God decided to incarnate as men preaching “hope and change.” And what if we didn’t recognize them, because we are so dull, and let them slip away, not availing ourselves of the opportunity to be led by God! Lord knows we’ve elected the anti-Christ often enough. Excuse me, George.”¹⁴⁰



Was it the messiah complex which helped Obama to execute one of the most destructive election victories in US history as well as earlier overcoming the formidable challenge of the Clinton’s to win the Democratic selection. Just as Jesus Christ was able to the astonishment of the religious leaders of his day, to be able to take multi dimensional verbal attacks from the Pharisees and Sanhedrin and turn it back on them so has Obama had a significant ability to turn opponents criticisms back upon them. Part of this messianic skill has been the cooperation that Obama received from the media in which his very being and identity had perceptually influenced them to show him a special reverence and unwillingness to expose his deficiencies.

One example of this was during the democratic primaries. When Hillary Clinton pointed out that Obama was lifting entire sentences and speech segments from Massachusetts’s governor, Deval Patrick, her claims were mostly ignored, downplayed or even met with derision by the mainstream media despite

their substance. Clinton was also booed for attacking Obama’s failure to provide a straight answer where he had voted against a measure that would have capped interest rates at 30 per cent to consumers. In the debate she says,

“You know, Senator Obama, it is very difficult having a straight up debate with you, because you never take responsibility for any vote, and that has been a pattern.”¹⁴¹



After boos from the crowd, Obama gave the type of response which has become a hallmark of his campaign by asserting that Clinton was engaging in the “old politics” that he alone transcends.

“I think that part of what people are looking for right now is someone who is going to solve problems and not resort to the same typical politics that we’ve seen in Washington,” he said.¹⁴¹

Immunity from criticism has also largely been influenced by spurious and false claims that have played right into Obama’s hands. Over the course of 2008 there were many ridiculous claims such as the fact that his birth in Hawaii means he was not a natural born US citizen and thus could not be president, or that by attending an Islamic school in Indonesia he then attended a terrorist training school or that he refuses to salute the American flag. Such notoriously false rumors and shoddy claims indirectly helped his campaign as they generated momentum of thinking that any attack against him was a smear because of the uniqueness of his identity.

We will see later how his immunity to criticism especially from the media is now radically causing the formation of new policies which are now impacting upon another major aspect of the media which has enjoyed significant independence and autonomy since 1987.

5. BLACK LIBERATION THEOLOGY

The connection to Reverend Jeremiah Wright was the moment of truth in the Obama election campaign when the media response would have a significant influence in the outcome of how a potentially disastrous association would have impacted Obama's growing popularity. Reverend Jeremiah Alvesta Wright, Jr, Pastor Emeritus of the Trinity United Church of Christ (TUCC), a megachurch in Chicago with around 8,500 members had been know for many controversial statements in regards to race and color. In April 13, 2003 he stated in one of his sermons.



"This government gives them drugs, builds bigger prisons, passes a three strikes law, and then wants us to sing God Bless America?, No, no, no! Not God Bless America!. God damn America! That's in the Bible, for killing innocent people!"¹⁴²

In response to the September, 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in New York, Wright also states,

"We have supported state terrorism against the Palestinians and the black South Africans, and now we are indignant-because stuff we have down overseas is now brought right back into our front yards. America's chickens are coming home to roost."¹⁴³

His church's belief system is based on black liberation theology, a school of thought that began in 1969 with the publication of a book by Dr James Cone called "Black Theology of Liberation"¹⁴⁴ a work of literature which assumes a moral superiority of black over white and which shares the same militant racial themes adopted by Franz Fanon, Stokely Carmichael and Malcolm X. Dr James Hal Cone is the recognized pioneer in this black brand of this theology, and someone who has been the Charles Augustus Briggs Distinguished Professor of Systematic Theology at Union Theological Seminary in the City of New York. Reverend Wright referred to Cone and his "pioneering" efforts in his contentious interview with Sean Hannity on Fox News in 2008.

"Insofar as this country is seeking to make whiteness the dominating power throughout the world, whiteness is the symbol of the antichrist. Whiteness characterizes the activity of deranged individuals intrigued by their own image of themselves and thus unable to see that they are what is wrong with the world. Black theology seeks to analyze the satanic nature of whiteness and by doing so, prepare all nonwhites for revolutionary action."¹⁴⁵

Another notable quote from Cone describing his Black Liberation Theology is as follows:

"Black theology refuses to accept a God who is not identified totally with the goals of the black community. If God is not for us and against white people, then he is a murderer, and we had better kill him. The task of black theology is to kill Gods who do not belong to the black community ... Black theology will accept only the love of God which participates in the destruction of the white enemy. What we need is the divine love as expressed in Black Power, which is the power of black people to destroy

their oppressors here and now by any means at their disposal. Unless God is participating in this holy activity, we must reject his love." ¹⁴⁶

Such theology accepts a perverted and wrested interpretation of Jesus Christ where it describes him as a poor black man (or man of color) living under oppressive white European rule (the Romans) and that he was as much about social change and bringing down government as he was about spiritual liberation. He therefore meets Cone's criteria for a God who supports their black power insurgency against what they describe as the ruling rich white men and women in America.

This interpretation perverts the love of all men that Christ taught, the peace, the forgiveness, and inner, spiritual focus of Christ and His atonement, which teaches us that the change His atonement brings within us heals us spiritually, creating a new man fashioned after Christ who teaches by example, love, and long suffering. Speaking of these qualities, Cone sarcastically states in his book:

"There is no use for a God who loves white oppressors the same as oppressed blacks. We have had too much of white love, the love that tells blacks to turn the other cheek and go the second mile." ¹⁴⁷

Thus Black Liberation Theology changes Christ, the God of peace and love, into a God of their own construction, a God of hate and revenge, who is hell bent on political and social change at any cost and who supports that hate and "revenge" to suit their needs. Whilst some of the content of this theology has been consistent with the vision of Dr Martin Luther King Jr, regarding a country of equal opportunity and where people are judged by the content of their character, other aspects of the theology becomes distasteful and aggressive with controversial tones that do more to open the wounds of history rather than close them through reconciliation.

Is this Black Liberation theology subscribed to by Trinity United Church of Christ what Obama subscribes to. He had been going and donating to this particular church for over twenty years. Obama states in his own book, "The Audacity of Hope", that he sought this type of church out, went to it, and then decided to join it precisely because of its theology, ideology and its activism in this regard. As stated, he was raising his kids in it, immersing them...baptizing them into it. And Reverend Wright did the immersing and baptizing.

While it is true that the church has done a lot of good in the black community, helping them to rise socially, taking care of their needy, it is also true that the underlying doctrine must be understood in order to reconcile the good it does against the hate it also spreads. The theology can easily be reconciled to its good actions in the black community and the hateful rhetoric it employs by simply studying it. This is something that most of the main stream media has either not done, or refuses to do, because the underlying doctrine is so repulsive that it would negate any major candidate in any way closely associated with it...and that is something that most of the media did not want to do.

Black Liberation Theology, is a blatant attempt to mix and wrest and pervert Christianity into a construct for the age old battle against individual rights. It is a Marxist ideological, collective, class-struggle, and ultimately tyrannical construct committed to destroying individual freedom. This same type of liberation theology has been used for decades in Latin America to pervert Catholics and goad them into rising into Marxist rebellions in class warfare.

The question is why would Barack Obama attend a church with his family where such sermons and schools of thought would have espoused by Reverend Wright, especially given the fact that Obama has never promoted hatred to any race or color. Obama's vision has consistently always been one of reconciliation and shares everything in common with Martin Luther King Jr rather than the views espoused by Reverend Wright or the Nation of Islam and other militant Black conservative groups.



A number of views have been published on this but one of the key views which seem to be more realistic than any of the others, has been the fact that Obama during his early pre-political years as a community organizer had serious challenges gaining the trust of many of the urban communities. Most communities had deep Pentecostal and Baptist influences evolving all the way from the African American slavery legacy and as such were unsure of Obama's religious perspectives considering his history and ancestry was not the typical African American model. This view was a common aspect drawn on by Hillary Clinton and even Christian Conservatives who see Barack Obama as someone who uses the power of the African American church platform for setting for his own political gain and capture of a major audience.

Therefore early on in the campaign, Obama's faith was in fact one of his main selling points. The objective was twofold: to dispel any suspicions that he may be a Muslim and to appeal to value voters. This was why Obama spoke about his Christian faith openly, often and with enthusiasm. By keeping it at the forefront, Obama also hoped to do what no modern Democrat since Carter has done, claim a sizeable portion of the evangelical vote. He felt confident he could do well because he had a story that he knew would appeal to that electoral demographic. It was a story of an aimless young man who underwent a "born again" experience and then embarked on a spirit-led personal journey through his involvement with a local church. But then on March 11, 2008 in the midst of a heated Democratic primary disturbing video footage surfaced of the Reverend Jeremiah Wright. Standing in the pulpit of his church, he spoke of his country and what he said shocked almost everyone who saw it.

Barack Obama finally disowned Reverend Wright when the Reverend started attacking Obama's political ideology. However the fact that it was this that caused the separation and not the previous media attention on Reverend Wright's controversial ideology is one of the most compelling phenomena's of the campaign.

6. THE SOCIALIST CONNECTIONS

“The Marxist revolutionary faith "GREW OUT OF OCCULT FREEMASONRY...AND BAVARIAN ILLUMINISM IN PARTICULAR.”²¹¹

In 1995 Illinois State Senator Alice Palmer introduced her chosen successor, Barack Obama, to a few of the influential liberals at the home of two well known figures; William Ayers and Bernardine Dohrn. Palmer, Ayers and Dohrn were part of a network of people with a socialist and/or communist connections who have been an influential part of Obama’s life and career.¹⁸⁸



Alice Palmer

Ten years before Palmer picked Obama as her chosen successor she was deeply involved in controversial far left political activities. She was on the board of the U.S. Peace Council, identified by the FBI as a front organization of the Communist Party USA (CPUSA) and affiliate of the Soviet front World Peace Council. In 1982, FBI official Ed O’Mallet had testified that the Soviet intelligence, the KGB had transferred funds to the CPUSA on behalf of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. He said that the CPUSA was one of the most loyal and pro-Soviet Communist Parties in the world.

Nine years before Alice Palmer chose Obama as her successor, her organization, the Black Press Institute contributed an article, “An Afro-American Journalist on the USSR,” to the CPUSA newspaper the People’s Daily World. The article focuses on how Palmer came away feeling very impressed with the way the Soviet Union had implemented affirmative action in the development of its republics and nationalities.

An Afro-American journalist in the USSR

Alice Palmer of the Black Press Institute, editor of the Black Press Review, was the only Afro-American to cover the 27th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. Following are some of her impressions of the Soviet Union’s plans for economic and social development, and affirmative action Soviet-style.

The Western press has expressed skepticism that the Soviet Union can double its wealth and productive power by the end of this century. But Alice Palmer, the only Black U.S. journalist to attend the 27th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union March, believes that the Soviets objective about the strengths and weaknesses of their country.

“The key word at the congress is ‘acceleration,’ she said. “The Soviet plan to provide people with higher wages and better education, health and transportation, while we in our country are hearing that cutbacks are necessary in all of these areas. I think that is a profound contrast.”

Palmer said, “We Americans can be misled by the major media. We’re being told the Soviets are striving to achieve a comparatively low standard of living compared with ours, but actually they have reached a basic stability in meeting their needs and are now planning to double their production.”

But how will the Soviets make these gains? Will they simply tighten the screws on what some in the West say is a slave labor system?

“No slave labor system can enlist the confidence and enthusiasm of its workers,” Palmer said. “In fact, I know of no slave labor system that declared that improving the living standards of the common people was

Exhibit 3



Autoworkers in Moscow. The new five-year plan, discussed for nearly a year in plants and communities throughout the country before its adoption at the Soviet Communist Party Congress in March, calls for production acceleration and higher living standards.

and the financial incentives are built in for these teams. Everyone will receive his or her basic pay as a right, but all above that will be determined by team performance.”

guaranteed a job matching their training and ability, a free education, low-cost housing and free medical care and hospitalization; and second, that industries and other enterprises are planned to

development of its republics and nationalities.

“The Soviet Union is made up of 15 republics, 14 of which are non-Russian and several of which are mainly inhabited by Central Asian and East Asian nationalities,” said Palmer. Before the socialist revolution in October 1917, almost all of the Asian peoples were poor, illiterate and politically powerless, she said.

The white-owned press, she said, “has tended to ignore or distort the gains that have been made since that time. But in fact, the Soviets are carrying out a policy to resolve the inequalities between nationalities, inequalities that they say were inherited from capitalist and czarist rule. They have a comprehensive affirmative action program, which they have stuck to religiously — if I can use that word — since 1917.”

The political results of that program were evident at the congress, Palmer said. “Asian national minorities were highly visible among the representatives of the 14 non-Russian republics.”

On the economic front, Palmer said, affirmative action is strengthened by two principles: first, that all citizens are guaranteed a job matching their training and ability, a free education, low-cost housing and free medical care and hospitalization; and second, that industries and other enterprises are planned to

Trevor Loudon, the New Zealand-based blogger who has been analyzing the political forces behind Obama and specializes in studying the impact of Marxist and leftist political organizations, notes that Frank Chapman, a CPUSA supporter, has written a letter to the party newspaper hailing the Illinois senator's victory in the Iowa caucuses.

Frank Chapman who was ridiculed by the communist community after exposing the communist support for Obama's presidential bid and real intent writes regarding Obama's win in Illinois,

"Obama's victory was more than a progressive move; It Was a dialectical leap ushering in a qualitatively new era of struggle.The old pattern of politics as usual has been broken. It may not have happened as we expected it to happen but what matters is that it happened. The message is clear; we can and must defeat the ultra-right, by uniting the broadest possible coalition that will represent an overwhelming majority of people in a new political dynamic. We must quickly shed yesterday's political perspective and get in step with the march of events." ¹⁹¹

The socialist link to Barack Obama is thus of paramount importance when it becomes clear that the power brokers who have sought to aid his political rise all seem to share the same far left wing socialist beliefs. Frank Marshall Davis, a dear Obama friend and mentor was a member of the Communist Party USA and is considered by some to be in the same category of prominence as Maya Angelou and Alice Walker. In the summer/fall 2003 issue of African American Review, James A. Miller of George Washington University reviews a book by John Edgar Tidwell (Tidwell is an expert on the life and writings of Davis), a professor at the University of Kansas, about Davis's career, and notes,

"In Davis's case, his political commitments led him to join the American Communist Party during the middle of World War II- even though he never publicly admitted his Party membership." ¹⁹²

Is it possible that Obama did not know who Davis was when he wrote his book, *Dreams From My Father*, first published in 1995? That's not plausible since Obama refers to him as a contemporary of Richard Wright and Langston Hughes and says he saw a book of his black poetry. The communists knew who "Frank" was, and they know who Obama is.



In fact, one academic who travels in communist circles understands the significance of the Davis-Obama relationship. Professor Gerald Horne, a contributing editor of the Communist Party journal *Political Affairs*, talked about it during a speech last March at the reception of the Communist Party USA archives at the Tamiment Library at New York University. The remarks were posted online under the headline, "Rethinking the History and Future of the Communist Party."

Horne, a history professor at the University of Houston, noted that Davis, who moved to Honolulu from Kansas in 1948 "at the suggestion of his good friend Paul Robeson," came into contact with Barack Obama and his family and became the young man's mentor, influencing Obama's sense of identity and career moves. Robeson, of course, was the well-known black actor and singer who served as a member of the CPUSA and apologist for the old Soviet Union. Davis had known Robeson from his time in Chicago

Additionally major world leaders who adopt strong controversial socialist and Marxist ideologies seem to have recognized a commonality with Obama by offering plaudits and words of warmth. President Daniel Ortega of Nicaragua, who led a socialist revolution there in 1979, says Barack Obama's presidential bid is a "revolutionary" phenomenon, and Americans are "laying the foundations for a revolutionary change." A

captured computer revealed that an unknown person chatted with Marxist FARC guerillas on Obama's behalf (they believed), stating he would be the next President and US policy towards Colombia would change.

If the people Obama surrounds himself with are any indication of his core beliefs (i.e. a higher capital gains tax, even if it diminishes actual tax revenue) this may be only the beginning of a political shift within American political ideology. Obama's Official campaign blogger, Sam Graham-Felsen, a former writer for the leftist Nation magazine and a contributor to the socialist viewpoint, is certainly a believer in class warfare.

"The capitalist ruling class of the United States exercises a virtual dictatorship not only over American society, but also over the entire world. This capitalist class rule is the basic cause of the poverty, wars and the degradation of the natural environment. After being expelled from Socialist Action in 1999, we formed Socialist Workers Organization in an attempt to carry on the project of building a nucleus of a revolutionary party true to the historic teachings and program of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Trotsky." ²¹⁵

Barack Obama laughs off charges of socialism. Joe Biden scoffs at references to Marxism. Both men shrug off accusations of liberalism. But Obama himself acknowledges that he was drawn to socialists and even Marxists as a college student. He continued to associate with Marxists later in life, even choosing to launch his political career in the living room of the self-described Marxist, William Ayers, in 1995, when Obama was 34. Obama's affinity for Marxists began when he attended Occidental College in Los Angeles.

"To avoid being mistaken for a sellout, I chose my friends carefully.....The more politically active black students. The foreign students. The Chicanos. The Marxist professors and structural feminists." ²⁴⁰

the Democratic presidential candidate wrote in his memoir, "Dreams From My Father."



Proof of Obama's single minded socialist upbringing and connection is observed as follows:

1. Obama's Father Wrote About Socialism - His father wrote a paper called "Problems With Our Socialism" that advocates 100% taxation of the rich, communal ownership of land and the forced confiscation of privately controlled land.

Source: Greg Ransom, PrestoPundit

2. Obama's Mother Was a "Communist Sympathizer" - " Friends describe her as a "fellow traveler", that is, a communist sympathizer, from her youth, according to a March 27, 2007, Chicago Tribune report" Source: Spengler, Asia Times "The values she taught me continue to be my touchstone when it comes to how I go about the world of politics - Barack Obama

Source: Tim Jones, Chicago Tribune

3. Obama's Parents Met in a Russian Class (Back then it was the Communist USSR) - "His mother, Stanley Ann Dunham (her father always wanted a son), was white and just 18 when they met in a Russian class"

Source: Sharon Cohen, St Louis Time

4. Obama's Teen Mentor was Frank Marshall Davis (a known CPUSA member) - "...through Frank Marshall Davis, Obama had an admitted relationship with someone who was publicly identified as a member of the Communist Party USA (CPUSA). The record shows that Obama was in Hawaii from 1971-1979, where, at some point in time, he developed a close relationship, almost like a son, with Davis, listening to his poetry and getting advice on his career path. But Obama, in his book, Dreams From My Father, refers to him repeatedly as just Frank."

Source: Cliff Kincaid, Accuracy in the Media

"Kathryn Takara of the University of Hawaii, who wrote a dissertation on the life of Frank Marshall Davis, confirming Davis was a significant influence on Obama when the senator attended Punahou prep school in Hawaii from 1975 to 1979"

Source: Jim Corsi, WorldNetDaily based on Communism in Hawaii and the Obama Connection (Cliff Kincaid and Herbert Romerstein)

5. Obama's Brother Roy and Cousin Odinga are Marxists - "Barack Obama's older brother, Abongo "Roy" Obama. He is a Luo activist. militant Muslim and a Marxist."

Source: Barbara Busby, 180people.com

"The person who made me proudest of all," Obama wrote, "was Roy"

Source: Bill Sammon, The Examiner "

Odinga is a Marxist who reportedly has made a pact with a hard-line Islamic group in Kenya to establish Shariah courts throughout the country"

Source: Invenstor's Business Daily

6. Obama Attended Socialist Conferences at Cooper Union - "He went to socialist conferences at Cooper Union and African cultural fairs in Brooklyn and started lecturing his relatives until they worried he'd become "one of those freaks you see on the streets around here."

Source: H Kennedy, NY Daily News

7. Obama Was Hand Picked by Alice Palmer to Succeed Her in the Illinois State Senate - "Nine years before Palmer picked Obama to be her successor, she was the only African-American journalist to travel to the Soviet Union to attend the 27th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, according to an article Palmer wrote in the CPUSA newspaper, People's Daily World, June 19, 1986."

Source: Jim Corsi, WorldNetDaily based on Communism in Chicago and the Obama Connection (Cliff Kincaid/Herbert Romerstein)

8. Obama's Run for the Illinois State Senate was Launched by a Fundraiser Organized at Bill Ayers' and Bernardine Dorhn's Chicago Home - Ayers and Dorhn are former terrorists from the Weather Underground who's SDS organization received financial contributions from the CPUSA. "Obama's run for the Illinois state Senate was launched by a fundraiser organized at Ayers' and Dorhn's Chicago home by Alice Palmer. Palmer had named Obama to succeed her in the state Senate in 1995, when she decided to run for a U.S. congressional seat."

Source: Jim Corsi, WorldNetDaily based on Communism in Chicago and the Obama Connection (Cliff Kincaid and Herbert Romerstein)

9. Obama Had a Close Relationship with the "Anti-Capitalist" Group ACORN - "Obama has had an intimate and long-term association with the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (Acorn)...Chicago Acorn appears to have played a major role in Obama's political advance...Acorn's radical agenda sometimes shifts toward "undisguised authoritarian socialism."

Source: Stanley Kurtz, National Review

10. Obama Attended Several Meetings with the Democratic Socialists in Chicago and Was even Endorsed by Them - "Obama's socialist backing goes back at least to 1996, when he received the endorsement of the Chicago branch of the Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) for an Illinois state senate seat. Later, the Chicago DSA newsletter reported that Obama, as a state senator, showed up to eulogize Saul Mendelson, one of the "champions" of "Chicago's democratic left" and a long-time socialist activist. Obama's stint as a "community organizer" in Chicago has gotten some attention, but his relationship with the DSA socialists, who groomed and backed him, has been generally ignored." Source: Cliff Kincaid, Accuracy in the Media "In its broadest sense, democratic socialism could refer to any attempts to bring about socialism through democratic means as opposed to violent insurrection."

Source: Wikipedia

11. The Communist Party USA Endorsed Obama - "Our Party actively supported Obama during the primary election."

Source: CPUSA Website (This line has since been removed from the CPUSA website) - Search for this quote.

12. Obama's Church is Rooted in Liberation Theology which is Rooted in Marxism - "The vision statement of Trinity United Church of Christ is based upon the systematized liberation theology" Source: TUCC Website "Simply put, Liberation Theology is an attempt to interpret Scripture through the plight of the poor. It is largely a humanistic doctrine. It started in South America in the turbulent 1950's when Marxism was making great gains among the poor because of its emphasis on the redistribution of wealth, allowing poor peasants to share in the wealth of the colonial elite and thus upgrade their economic status in life

Barack Obama has identified himself with Christianity as a cloak for his political agenda. The founder of community organizing, Saul Alinsky, regarded churches as an ideal vehicle for advancing the Marxist cause. But to have credibility in organizing churches, young Obama needed to join a church.

Obama the skeptic was especially impressed by the emotional effect of Wright's preaching on the congregation. From Wright's sermons he discovered that the rhetoric of Christian myths can be a powerful instrument for Marxism. The webpage of Wright's "talking points" identifies Trinity UCC as "a church whose theological starting perspective starts from the vantage point of Black liberation theology being its center."

For Obama, that "larger project" of his agenda is America's servitude to Marxist socialism, for which Christian verbiage can be made a tool of manipulation. As far back as 1995 Wright's disciple and Bill Ayers' protégé disclosed that to establish the "new age" of collectivist "salvation" will require compulsory "sacrifice" of Americans' liberty:

Source: Alex LaBrecque Obama's Religious Ruse: The Cult of the Marxist Messiah

13. Obama's Opening Band - The band that opened for Obama's Oregon rally (The Decemberists) is named after an 1825 revolt over the Imperial Russian succession (Decembrist revolt) that Meloy views as an attempted communist revolution. They also open many of their shows by playing the Soviet National Anthem.

Source: Jeff Johnson, OneNewsNow Source: Jerome R. Corsi, WorldNetDaily Source: Oleg Atbashian, Pajamasmedia

Blogger Steve Bartin, who has been following Obama's career and involvement with the Chicago socialists, uncovered a fascinating video showing Obama campaigning for openly socialist Senator Bernie Sanders of Vermont. Interestingly, Sanders, who won his seat in 2006, called Obama "one of the great leaders of the United States Senate," even though Obama had only been in the body for about two years.

In 2007, the National Journal said that Obama had established himself as "the most liberal Senator." DSA describes itself as the largest socialist organization in the United States and the principal U.S. affiliate of the Socialist International. The Socialist International (SI) has what is called "consultative status" with the United Nations. In other words, it works hand-in-glove with the world body. The international connection is important and significant because an Obama bill, "The Global Poverty Act,"²⁰⁹ has just been rushed through the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, with the assistance of Democratic Senator Joe Biden, the chairman, and Republican Senator Richard Lugar. The legislation (S.2433) commits the U.S. to spending hundreds of billions of dollars more in foreign aid on the rest of the world, in order to comply with the "Millennium Goals" established by the United Nations.

Conservative members of the committee were largely caught off-guard by the move to pass the Obama bill but are putting a "hold" on it, in order to try to prevent the legislation, which also quickly passed the House, from being quickly brought up for a full Senate vote. But observers think that Senate Democrats may try to pass it quickly anyway, in order to give Obama a precious legislative "victory" that he could run on.

7. TRAINING A SOCIALIST ARMY OF WORLD SERVERS

"I will ask for your service and your active citizenship when I am president of the United States ... this will be a central cause of my presidency," says Barack Obama.²⁰³



"We cannot continue to rely on our military in order to achieve the national security objectives that we've set. We've got to have a civilian national security force that's just as powerful, just as strong, just as well-funded."¹⁹³

"People of all ages, stations, and skills will be asked to serve.... I will set a goal for all American middle and high school students to perform 50 hours of service a year, and for all college students to perform 100 hours of service a year...."¹⁹⁴

"The disruption of the present organization is the first step toward community organization.... All change means disorganization of the old and organization of the new." Rules for Radicals (excerpts here) by Saul Alinsky, the Marxist "organizer" whose disciples mentored Obama.¹⁹⁵

Brave New World: "A really efficient totalitarian state would be one in which the all-powerful executive of political bosses and their army of managers control a population of slaves who do not have to be coerced because they love their servitude. To make them love it is the task assigned... to ministries of propoganda, newspaper editors and schoolteachers." Aldous Huxley¹⁹⁶

"These are serious times," Barack Obama said recently. "And they call for a serious debate about where we need to take the nation."¹⁹⁷

Berit Kjos a prolific researcher on the New World Socialist Order understands the implications of Obama's socialist word ideals. Berit Kjos is a widely respected researcher, writer and conference speaker. A frequent guest on national radio and television programs, Kjos has been interviewed on Point of View (Marlin Maddoux), The 700 Club, Bible Answer Man, Beverly LaHaye Live, Crosstalk and Family Radio Network. She has also been a guest on "Talk Back Live" (CNN) and other secular radio and TV networks

An extract from her article "Training a Socialist Army of World Servers" states.

There's nothing wrong with the old voluntary, personal service to the poor and needy. For centuries, Christian missionaries have given their lives and comforts to serve God among the sick, hungry and dying people in distant parts of the world. Others have shown the same God-given compassion in their own communities. They demonstrated His love, shared His life-saving Truths, and brought hope as well as help to the needy.

Obama's plan is radically different. Aimed at socialist change, it would raise "religious" boundaries, limit free speech, and ban divisive truths. It must be tolerant of today's amoral values and militantly intolerant

*toward traditional values. And -- like the tactics outlined in Saul Alinsky's "Rules for Radicals" -- it would use deception and "agitation" to create conflict, and the Hegelian/Marxist dialectic process to manipulate minds and establish the planned solidarity.*¹⁹⁸

"Service learning" isn't a new phenomenon. It has been well tested by U.S. educators for more than a decade. What's new is the scale of Obama's plan. His massive system would press students and citizens of all ages in a revolutionary government-led program to change the way we think and relate to each other. A more descriptive term would be mass brainwashing! He outlines it on his official website:

*"Expand Service-Learning in Our Nation's Schools: Obama will set a goal that all middle and high school students do 50 hours of community service a year. He will develop national guidelines for service-learning and will give schools better tools both to develop programs and to document student experience."*¹⁹⁹

*National guidelines? Documenting each server? Such traditional words now have new meanings²⁰⁰ and requirements unknown to the public. Service learning implies socialist indoctrination through facilitated group dialogue designed to break down barriers, manipulate minds, and build unity in diversity. All members will be monitored and tracked by massive computer networks. And all the personal attitudes, beliefs, values, adaptability, and especially resistance to the planned change -- i.e. all the countless factors that now define a person's "mental health" will be recorded within these systems.*²⁰¹

It should, because America is following the well-tested footprints of Communist dictators in both China and Russia. As I pointed out in my last article on the Olympics, China is using (and testing) sophisticated American technology to advance its universal and transformational surveillance system. This fact sheds some sobering light on Obama's promise that,

*"...we'll use technology to connect people to service.... You'll be able to search by category, time commitment, and skill sets; you'll be able to rate service opportunities, build service networks, and create your own service pages to track your hours and activities. This will empower more Americans to craft their own service agenda, and make their own change from the bottom up."*²⁰²

"Make their own change?" That may sound good, but there would be no freedom to deviate from the new guidelines. Besides, all this personal information would be available to government leaders and facilitators.

*"I will call on a new generation of Americans to join our military.... I will expand AmeriCorps to 250,000 slots, and make that increased service a vehicle to meet national goals like providing health care and education, saving our planet and restoring our standing in the world, so that citizens see their efforts connected to a common purpose."*¹⁹⁴

So how will such "active citizenship" change America? How will he use his mandatory "service learning" programs -- and his proposed "civilian national security force" (remember, it will be "just as powerful, just as strong, just as well-funded" as our traditional military)?

We find some clues in the article, "Community Oriented Policing," by Phillip Worts, a detective with the San Diego Police Department. Ponder these excerpts:

"Social chaos is the GOAL for the transformational Marxist. The crisis of crime and disorder is the door for the ... facilitator/change agent to enter the community and to initiate the paradigm shift! Even though these social architects plainly

admit what is most vital in making for a crime free community, they have absolutely no intention of restoring 'individual conscience' or going back to repairing the traditional family. On the contrary, for the past sixty years these socio-psychologists have been introducing these very dialectic concepts into our school system with the intent of demolishing personal conscience....":. ²⁰⁴



Just in case you doubt the Marxist nature of their concepts of community transformation, Trojanowicz quotes Saul Alinsky, the extreme Marxist change agent of the 60's who authored Rules for Radicals. Alinsky proposed 'we begin viewing community through the prism of issues (Issues= problems= crisis= conflict)...

"Formerly, the police administrators were accountable to the elected officials who were accountable to the voters (representative democracy). This new paradigm that Trojanowicz describes is exactly what Marxist George Lukacs termed 'participatory democracy' and is nothing more than the Soviet style council.


"The United States Constitution was the law of the land (absolute authority) restraining government intrusion into the rights of the individual. The framers designed it to insulate the private realm (the individual) from the public realm (government). Allow me to repeat Lukacs: 'The institutions in socialist society which act as the facilitators between the public and private realms are the Soviets.'

"By practicing the dialectic, we are removing the only barrier between a tyrannical government and the private citizen. Your neighborhood cop is now that facilitator, the Soviet."²⁰⁴

8. OBAMA – A STRATEGIC BRIDGE TO THE MIDDLE EAST AND AFRICA

“Obama was chosen because it needed something like him to help bridge the gap and bring Africa and the Middle East under the umbrella of a global economic world order”

America's Crusade in Central Asia and the Middle East is known as the war on terror. The "war on terrorism" purports to defend the American Homeland and protect the "civilized world". It is upheld as a "war of religion", a "clash of civilizations", when in fact the main objective of this war is to secure control and corporate ownership over the region's extensive oil wealth, while also imposing under the helm of the IMF and the World Bank (now under the leadership of Paul Wolfowitz), the privatization of State enterprises and the transfer of the countries' economic assets into the hands of foreign capital.



The Just War theory upholds war as a "humanitarian operation". It serves to camouflage the real objectives of the military operation, while providing a moral and principled image to the invaders. In its contemporary version, it calls for military intervention on ethical and moral grounds against "rogue states" and "Islamic terrorists", which are threatening the Homeland.

Possessing a "just cause" for waging war was central to the Bush administration's justification for invading and occupying both Afghanistan and Iraq. Taught in US military academies, a modern-day version of the "Just War" theory has been embodied into US military doctrine. The "war on terrorism" and the notion of "preemption" are predicated on the right to "self defense." They define "when it is permissible to wage war": jus ad bellum.

Jus ad bellum serves to build a consensus within the Armed Forces command structures. It also serves to convince the troops that the enemy is "evil" and that they are fighting for a "just cause". More generally, the Just War theory in its modern day version is an integral part of war propaganda and media disinformation, applied to gain public support for a war agenda.

One of the most fascinating aspects of Obama's presidency will be studying the dynamic with the muslim nations and his change of policy from that of former president, George Bush Jr. The Middle East and Africa at large have been untrusting and hostile to past US administrations yet now there is an element of possible change with Obama now in the office. Obviously, many will allude to the obvious such as his name, and his past connection to non practicing muslim father but it is apparent that the election of Obama as caused confusion and a major debate within the muslim world.



Widely distributed reports have noted that in January 1968, Obama was registered as a Muslim at Jakarta's Roman Catholic Franciscus Assisi Primary School under the name Barry Soetoro. He was listed as an Indonesian citizen whose stepfather, listed on school documents as "L Soetoro Ma," worked for the topography department of the Indonesian Army. Catholic schools in Indonesia routinely accept non-Catholic students but exempt them from studying religion. Obama's school documents, though, wrongly list him as being Indonesian. After attending the Assisi Primary School, Obama was enrolled – also as a Muslim, according to documents – in the Besuki Primary School, a public school in Jakarta.

Indeed, in Obama's autobiography, "Dreams From My Father,"¹²¹ he acknowledged studying the Quran and describes the public school as "a Muslim school." Reports suggests that while Americans generally view Obama as having had no religion before converting at Reverend Jeremiah Wrights hands at the age of 27, Muslims the world over rarely see him as Christian but usually as either Muslim or ex-Muslim. Lee Smith of the Hudson Institute explains why:

"Barack Obama's father was Muslim and therefore, according to Islamic law, so is the candidate. In spite of the Quranic verses explaining that there is no compulsion in religion, a Muslim child takes the religion of his or her father. ... for Muslims around the world, non-American Muslims at any rate, they can only ever see Barack Hussein Obama as a Muslim."⁸⁴

The president of the Islamic Society of North America, Sayyid M. Syeed, told Muslims at a conference in Houston that whether Obama wins or loses, his candidacy will reinforce that Muslim children can *"become the presidents of this country."*¹⁵²

An Egyptian newspaper, Al-Masri al-Youm, refers to his "Muslim origins." Libyan ruler Mu'ammad al-Qaddafi referred to Obama as "a Muslim" and a person with an "African and Islamic identity." One Al-Jazeera analysis calls him a *"non-Christian man,"* a second refers to his *"Muslim Kenyan" father,* and a third, by Naseem Jamali, notes that "Obama may not want to be counted as a Muslim but Muslims are eager to count him as one of their own."

Libya's strongman Muammad Khadafi gave an endorsement speech in which he called Barack Obama a "brother" and expressed his hope that he will *"change America from evil to good and that America will establish relations that will serve it well with other people, especially the Arabs."* He also said:

"All the people in the Arab and Islamic world and in Africa applauded this man. They welcomed him and prayed for him and for his success and they may have even been involved in legitimate contribution campaigns to enable him to win the American Presidency."¹⁴⁸

Hamas has had an on-again, off-again preference for Obama, first endorsing the candidate in April 2008 when Hamas political adviser Ahmed Yousef said the Mideast terrorist group supports Obama's foreign policy positions.

"We don't mind -- actually we like Mr. Obama. We hope he will [win] the election and I do believe he is like John Kennedy, great man with great principle, and he has a vision to change America to make it in a position to lead the world community but not with domination and arrogance," Yousef told conservative radio host John Batchelor and WorldNetDaily reporter Aaron Klein.¹⁴⁹

Obama adviser David Axelrod told the American Spectator the comparison to Kennedy was flattering, but that admiration of the former president was where the similarities between Obama and Hamas end. Hamas suspended its support in June 2008 when Obama made a pro-Israel speech to the American Israel Public Affairs Committee, Reuters reported. But Yousef told Batchelor and Klein that Hamas would send Obama a congratulatory letter *"the moment that he will win the election."*¹⁴⁹

Obama has also gotten a nod of approval from Iran. The country's parliament speaker said on October 22 2008, that Iran would prefer an Obama presidency. *"We are leaning more in favor of Barack Obama because he is more flexible and rational, even though we know American policy will not change that much,"* Ali Larijani told Agence France-Presse.¹⁵⁰

Obama has even garnered the praises of Louis Farrakhan, leader of the Nation of Islam. Farrakhan says,

"You are the instruments that God is going to use to bring about universal change, and that is why Barack has captured the youth. And he has involved young people in a political process that they didn't care anything about. That's a sign. When the Messiah speaks, the youth will hear, and the Messiah is absolutely speaking,"¹⁵¹

"The symbolism of a major American presidential candidate with the middle name of Hussein, who went to elementary school in Indonesia," reports Tamara Cofman Wittes of the Brookings Institution from a U.S.-Muslim conference in Qatar, "that certainly speaks to Muslims abroad."¹⁵²

Thomas L. Friedman of the New York Times found that Egyptians don't really understand Obama's family tree, but what they do know is that if America — despite being attacked by Muslim militants on 9/11 — were to elect as its president some guy with the middle name 'Hussein,' it would mark a sea change in America-Muslim world relations.

But this excitement also has a dark side — suspicions that Obama is a traitor to his birth religion, an apostate (murtadd) from Islam. Al-Qaeda has prominently featured Obama's stating "I am not a Muslim" and one analyst, Shireen K. Burki of the University of Mary Washington, sees Obama as "bin Laden's dream candidate." Should he become U.S. commander in chief, she believes, Al-Qaeda would likely "exploit his background to argue that an apostate is leading the global war on terror ... to galvanize sympathizers into action."

Mainstream Muslims tend to tiptoe around this topic. An Egyptian supporter of Obama, Yasser Khalil, reports that many Muslims react "with bewilderment and curiosity" when Obama is described as a Muslim apostate; Josie Delap and Robert Lane Greene of the Economist even claim that the Obama-as-apostate theme "has been notably absent" among Arabic-language columnists and editorialists. That latter claim is inaccurate, for the topic is indeed discussed. At least one Arabic-language newspaper published Burki's article. Kuwait's Al-Watan referred to Obama as "a born Muslim, an apostate, a convert to Christianity." Writing in the Arab Times, Syrian liberal Nidal Na'isa repeatedly called Obama an "apostate Muslim."

In summary, Muslims puzzle over Obama's present religious status. They resist his self-identification as a Christian while they assume a baby born to a Muslim father and named "Hussein" began life a Muslim.

From a new world order perspective the jury remains as to whether Obama was chosen for the specific purpose of helping to bridge the gap with the muslim nations. Consider that Muslim countries including Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Iran, Kuwait, the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Yemen, Libya, Nigeria, Algeria, Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan, Malaysia, Indonesia, Brunei, possess between 66.2 and 75.9 percent of total oil reserves, depending on the source and methodology of the estimate.²⁰⁷

In contrast, the United States of America has barely 2 percent of total oil reserves. Western countries including its major oil producers (Canada, the US, Norway, the UK, Denmark and Australia) control approximately 4 percent of total oil reserves. (In the alternative estimate of the Oil and Gas Journal which includes Canada's oil sands, this percentage would be of the order of 16.5%.²⁰⁷

The largest share of the World's oil reserves lies in a region extending (North) from the tip of Yemen to the Caspian sea basin and (East) from the Eastern Mediterranean coastline to the Persian Gulf. This broader Middle East- Central Asian region, which is the theater of the US-led "war on terrorism" encompasses according to the estimates of World Oil, more than sixty percent of the World's oil reserves.
207

Muslim countries possess at least 16 times more oil than the Western countries.

"Muslim nations will soon control virtually all of the world's oil exports. Since neither capital nor labor can create energy, the next round of energy-shortage-induced stagflation will leave central bankers helpless and they will seek military solutions to their economic problems. It's the best-kept secret in Washington, Whitehall, Brussels, and Jerusalem, but it's just a matter of time until word hits the street". writes J. Hanson ²⁰⁶

The market economy receives almost 80 percent of its energy subsidies from nonrenewable fossil sources : oil, gas, and coal. That makes the struggle for energy a vital issue, not only for the consumers (especially the Westerners) but also for the producers, for who the matter is most of all of political survival. That's where the strategies of the Western states intermingle with the local struggles for power between the elites of the concerned regions, until it becomes hard to distinguish between what is a local necessity and what is a priority dictated by the foreign interests. ²⁰⁶

This therefore means that in the establishment of a new global economic order that the inclusion of the Muslim nations is paramount due to the influence that energy has on the stability of the world economy.

It is worth noting that the triggering of sectarian divisions and "civil wars" is contemplated in the process of redrawing of the map of the Middle East, where countries are slated to be broken up and transformed into territories. The map of the New Middle East, although not official, has been used by the US National War Academy. It was recently published in the Armed Forces Journal (June 2006). In this map, nation states are broken up, international borders are redefined along sectarian-ethnic lines, broadly in accordance with the interests of the Anglo-American oil giants (See Map below). The map has also been used in a training program at NATO's Defense College for senior military officers.



On June 4th 2009, President Obama addressed the Muslim world on behalf of the United States in Cairo in one of the most extraordinary speeches by an American President on foreign territory. Obama asked a "new beginning between the United States and Muslims around the world" in a speech that urged Islamic nations to embrace democracy, women's rights, religious tolerance and the right of Israel to co-exist with an independent Palestinian state.

In an address designed to change perceptions of the United States in the Arab Middle East and beyond, Obama reviewed the troubled historical legacy between Islam and the rest of the world, from colonialism through the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan and the uncertainty surrounding cultural and economic globalization.

"So long as our relationship is defined by our differences, we will empower those who sow hatred rather than peace, and who promote conflict rather than the cooperation that can help all of our people achieve justice and prosperity," Obama told an audience of hundreds gathered in a domed hall at Cairo University. "This cycle of suspicion and discord must end."²⁴¹

Quoting from the Quran, the Talmud and the Bible — and closing to a standing ovation — Obama said his address was an effort to "speak the truth" about U.S. relations with the Muslim world. Several times during the hour-long speech, members of the audience shouted, "We love you."

In the Middle East, initial reaction to the speech was mixed.

A Hamas spokesman dismissed Obama's remarks as "soft diplomacy" meant "to brighten the image of the United States," while a spokesman for the Palestinian Authority hailed it as "the beginning of a new American policy." An Israeli government spokesman said he heard no major surprises, but a spokesman for the West Bank Settler's Council said the speech was "out of touch with reality ... the Muslim world is at war with the Western world."

Obama said he sought a fresh relationship "based upon mutual interest and mutual respect" and

"based upon the truth that America and Islam are not exclusive, and need not be in competition.....I am convinced that in order to move forward, we must say openly the things we hold in our hearts, and that too often are said only behind closed doors," Obama said. "There must be a sustained effort to listen to each other; to learn from each other; to respect one another; and to seek common ground."²⁴¹

Throughout the speech, the president touched on elements of his own life story that many analysts say are advantages in his dealings with the world beyond America's borders.

"I am a Christian, but my father came from a Kenyan family that includes generations of Muslims," he said. "As a boy, I spent several years in Indonesia and heard the call of the azaan at the break of dawn and the fall of dusk. As a young man, I worked in Chicago communities where many found dignity and peace in their Muslim faith."²⁴¹

The address echoed tones and themes heard during Obama's startling rise to become the first U.S. president of color — an effort that bridged divisive gaps in the American culture in a search for "common ground" and "common principles."

"Just as Muslims do not fit a crude stereotype, America is not the crude stereotype of a self-interested empire," he said. "The United States has been one of the greatest sources of progress that the world has ever known. ... We are shaped by every culture, drawn from every end of the Earth, and dedicated to a simple concept: E pluribus unum: 'Out of many, one.'"²⁴¹

Even in the United States many have been taken back by what has been happening since Obama came to office. On the 25th September 2009 over 50,000 Muslim descended on the White House at Capitol Hill for an Islamic day of prayer something that has never happened in over 240 years of American History. This all due to what they see as the Presidents more progressive approach with Islam.



There are between 6 and 7 million Muslims in America today. In other words, Muslims outnumber some Christian denominations and are equal to the number of Jews. Research done in the year 2008 by prominent American universities and Islamic foundations show that the number of Muslims is increasing rapidly and that Islam is growing stronger day by day as well as the rapid proliferation of mosques.

A New York Times article, "Islam Attracts Converts by the Thousands," contains interviews with converts, analyzes Islam's rapid rise in America, and states:

"With some 6 million adherents in the United States, Islam is said to be the nation's fastest-growing religion, fueled by immigration, high birth rates and widespread conversion. One expert estimates that 25,000 people a year become Muslims in this country; some clerics say they have seen conversion rates quadruple since Sept. 11."²⁴²



9. THE BRANDENBURG GATE SPEECH

“...Obama will be packaged as the *Global Candidate* to whom the world’s poor and oppressed look for signs of hope for the future. His mixed race and varied national backgrounds symbolize his connectivity with peoples across the planet. The adulation felt for him beyond America offers the U.S. a chance for enhanced strength and repaired credibility worldwide. Sure, he’s an American citizen, but he’s also a global citizen, a man of the world. ...”²⁰⁸

The Brandenburg Gate was commissioned by Friedrich Wilhelm II to represent peace. The Gate was designed by Karl Gotthard Langhans, the Court Superintendent of Buildings, and the main architectural design of this landmark hasn't changed since it was first constructed in 1791. Ironically the gate was incorporated into the Berlin wall during the years of Communist government. The Brandenburg gate is probably the most well-known landmark in Berlin, it now stands as a symbol of the reunification of the two sides of this great city.

The choice of Berlin for Obama’s historic speech at the Brandenburg Gate also attracts some significance. Berlin's Pergamon Museum exhibits ancient treasures such as the Pergamon Altar and Babylon's Ishtar Gate. (known as the gates to hell) The museum's objective is to exhibit major cultures such as those of Egypt, Mesopotamia (Babylon), Greece and Rome. Historically the Pergamon Altar (built 2nd century BC) was dedicated to Zeus and the freeze under the altar represents the struggle of gods and giants. It was destroyed during the first century AD, but excavated by German archaeologists in the late 1800's and reconstructed in Berlin under the direction of Adolf Hitler who held great esteem for these monuments because of their occultic relevance.



The Ishtar Gate, one of the eight gates of the inner city of Babylon, was built during the reign of Nebuchadnezzar II (604- 562 BC). Only the foundations of the gate were found, going down some 45 feet, with molded, unglazed figures. The gateway has been reconstructed in the Pergamon Museum, Berlin, from the glazed bricks found, so its original height is different in size. Reconstructed height is 47 feet.

The fact that these archaeological treasures are now restored (and idolised) in Europe could be significant. In Roman times Pergamum (Pergamon) was the centre of the imperial religion, and Christ saw it as the seat of the power of evil i.e. "Satan's throne" (Rev 2.13). This is because the God-given power of the State had been harnessed to the blasphemous worship of man (the emperor), and those not loyal to the State were executed (Rev 2.13).

There is no doubt that Barack Obama has a strong oratory and has used this effectively in public speeches as well as political debates with Hillary Clinton and John McCain.

Testament to this was one of his most significant speeches whilst in Germany in July 2008 at the Brandenburg Gate in Berlin. Obama addressed more than 200,000 people at the capital's Victory Column after meeting German Prime Minister Merkel and Foreign Minister Frank-Walter Steinmeier on the first leg of a European tour.



The speech was transmitted to millions across the world and provided a significant platform for him to project himself as a man of the moment.

"I come to Berlin as so many of my countrymen have come before. Tonight, I speak to you not as a candidate for President, but as a citizen – a proud citizen of the United States, and a fellow citizen of the world."⁸⁵

Barack Obama's July 24th speech in Berlin brought to light the Democratic Presidential candidates' globalist views, mirroring those of the Council on Foreign Relations and other globalist think tanks. For instance Richard Haass, the president of the Council on Foreign Relations, has stated that the problems of climate change and terrorism would require a surrender of national sovereignty and ultimately the formation of a world government.

Obama's praise of the European Union, calls for the "tearing down" of walls between nations and religions, and finally for world unity against climate change and terrorism reveal that an Obama Presidency will not bring change, but rather a continued erosion of national sovereignty and steps closer to world government.


"The terrorists of September 11th plotted in Hamburg and trained in Kandahar and Karachi before killing thousands from all over the globe on American soil.....As we speak, cars in Boston and factories in Beijing are melting the ice caps in the Arctic, shrinking coastlines in the Atlantic, and bringing drought to farms from Kansas to Kenya.....In this new world, such dangerous currents have swept along faster than our efforts to contain them. That is why we cannot afford to be divided. No one nation, no matter how large or powerful, can defeat such challenges alone. None of us can deny these threats, or escape responsibility in meeting them. Yet, in the absence of Soviet tanks and a terrible wall, it has become easy to forget this truth. And if we're honest with each other, we know that sometimes, on both sides of the Atlantic, we have drifted apart, and forgotten our shared destiny."⁸⁵

Best selling author, Hal Lindsey says

"Obama is correct in saying that the world is ready for someone like him – a messiah-like figure, charismatic and glib and seemingly holding all the answers to all the world's questions....And the Bible says that such a leader will soon make his appearance on the scene. It won't be Barack Obama, but Obama's world tour provided a foretaste of the reception he can expect to receive...He will probably also stand in some European capital, addressing the people of the world and telling them that he is the one that they have been waiting for. And he can expect as wildly enthusiastic a greeting as Obama got in Berlin...The Bible calls that leader the Antichrist. And it seems apparent that the world is now ready to make his acquaintance."²²⁷

10. OBAMA'S VIEWS ON FAITH AND MORALS:

There are some who suggest that the bible speaks of the Antichrist as one who will profess to be a believer but will be an apostate and so many have spoken of Obama's Christian heritage and his association with the controversial Reverend Jeremiah Wright as a member of Chicago's Trinity United Church which has given cause for concern as to whether some of his Christian views are biblically correct or more politically provocative. A man with an Islamic connection, who professes Christianity yet associates with temple priests that offer prayers to an Indian god.¹⁵³



Many are not aware that in The Economic Times of June 10, 2008, that the priests of Sankat Mochan temple offered prayers to Lord Hanuman for an Obama victory in the US election. During the prayers, Caroline Saurce, the Indian representative of Obama, was seen at the temple. The priests then offered her a gold idol of deity to be presented to Obama after consecrating it at the end of eleven days of prayer.¹⁵³

Additionally a photo posted on Time's White House Photo of the Day collection shows the first ever Black-American nominee of a major US party for the Presidential elections carrying with him a bracelet belonging to an American soldier deployed in Iraq, a gambler's lucky chit, a tiny monkey god and tiny Madonna and child.¹⁵⁴

That "tiny monkey god," of course, appears to be a statue of the Hindu monkey god, Hanuman, says the posting but editors and the photographer has not identified it as such. Obama, whose father was a Kenyan and mother a white woman from Kansas, spent initial days of his life in Indonesia where Hinduism is a popular religion. Christian conservatives believe that such incidents substantiate the belief that Obama's Christian connection is nothing short of enticing the Christian community because of its strong heritage with American History.

Though he claims to be Christian, during a 2004 interview with Chicago Sun-Times religion editor Cathleen Falsani²¹⁰ for her book, The God Factor, Obama said,

"I'm rooted in the Christian tradition. I believe there are many paths to the same place, and that is a belief that there is a higher power, a belief that we are connected as a people."²⁰⁵

During the exhaustive interview with Falsani, Obama showed himself to be profoundly uninformed about the basic tenets of the Christian faith.

In the 2004 Falsani interview, Obama was asked, "Have you always been a Christian?" Obama replied with the historically inaccurate assertion that,

"I was raised more by my mother and my mother was Christian."²¹⁰

That statement was in direct contradiction to a 2007 speech when Obama claimed,

"My mother, whose parents were nonpracticing Baptists and Methodists, was one of the most spiritual souls I ever knew. But she had a healthy skepticism of religion as an institution."²¹⁰

Accepting the "my mother was Christian" statement at face value, Falsani next tried to narrow down Obama's denominational influence by asking, "Any particular flavor?"

When Falsani followed up the prayer question by asking Obama if he believed in heaven, the candidate needed clarification.

"Do I believe in the harps and clouds and wings?"²¹⁰ he asked.

"A place spiritually you go to after you die?" the reporter clarified.

Obama demonstrated a clear ignorance of the Bible's teaching on the subject of the afterlife. He answered,

"What I believe in is that if I live my life as well as I can, that I will be rewarded. I don't presume to have knowledge of what happens after I die. But I feel very strongly that whether the reward is in the here and now or in the hereafter, the aligning myself to my faith and my values is a good thing."²¹⁰

Perhaps the most telling exchange from Falsani's interview of Obama was the simple inquiry: "Who is Jesus to you?"

To Barack Obama,

"Jesus is an historical figure for me, and he's also a bridge between God and man, in the Christian faith, and one that I think is powerful precisely because he serves as that means of us reaching something higher. And he's also a wonderful teacher. I think it's important for all of us, of whatever faith, to have teachers in the flesh and also teachers in history."²¹⁰


Without answering the question about his mother, the senatorial candidate replied that his Kansas grandmother was Methodist and his grandfather was Baptist.

"This was at a time when I think the Methodists felt slightly superior to the Baptists,"²¹⁰ and by the time I was born, they were, I think, my grandparents had joined a Universalist church."

Many conservative Christians believe that Barack Obama is in the process of trying to recreate the Christian faith in his own, very liberal and unorthodox image. Built on a foundation of radical Black Liberation Theology, theological liberalism and post-modernism, Obama is undermining historic, biblical Christianity while claiming he is a Christian. In the process, he is defaming the Christian faith.

By declaring he is a Christian, yet denying Christianity's most essential truths and traditional morality, Obama is associating Christ with concepts which are condemned in the Bible.

However the area of specific focus in regards to faith and morals is Obama's stance as a pro-abortionist something which goes against the grain of Christian fundamentalism.



Obama's stance implies that babies born prematurely without abortions might not be "persons". When the National Abortion Rights Action League endorsed Obama, the vote on their board was unanimous. It did not matter to the largely feminist organization that Hillary Clinton had the chance to become the US first female president. Abortion was their issue. In 2001 Obama spoke against the Illinois's born-alive protection bill. He says,

"There was some suggestion that we may be able to craft something that might meet constitutional muster with respect to caring for fetuses or children who were delivered in this fashion. Unfortunately, this bill goes a little bit further, and so I just want to suggest, not that I think it'll make too much difference with respect to how we vote, that this is probably not going to survive the constitutional scrutiny. Number one, whenever we define a pre-viable fetus as a person that is protected by the equal protection clause or the other elements in the Constitution, what we're really saying is, in fact, that they are persons that are entitled to the kinds of protections that would be provided to a child, a nine month old child that was delivered to term. That determination then, essentially, if it was accepted by a court, would forbid abortions to take place."¹⁵⁵

Most people interpret that the Constitution exists to guarantee the rights of born and living persons. Obama believes the Constitution exists to guarantee abortion rights first. He is one of the few pro-choice advocates who accepts no restrictions on late-term abortions, or any kind of abortions and has never voted for any regulation or restriction on the practice of abortion.

In perhaps the most telling comment made by any candidate in either party in this election year, Barack Obama and John McCain went soul-searching in front of thousands of Christian evangelicals in August 2008, sitting down with influential pastor Rick Warren to answer his questions on faith and leadership. Senator Obama, when asked by Rick Warren when does a baby get its human rights, replied "*that question is above my pay grade.*"⁸⁶

It was a profoundly disingenuous answer: For even at a state senator's pay grade, Obama presumed to answer that question with blind certainty. His unspoken answer then, as now, is chilling according to some: human beings have no rights until infancy - and if they are unwanted survivors of attempted abortions, not even then. Obama favors abortion rights and supports civil unions for same-sex couples, but says that individual states should make their own decisions about marriage.

Steve Strang, publisher of Charisma magazine, a Pentecostal publication, titled one of his recent weekly e-mails to readers,

"Life As We Know It Will End If Obama is Elected.....gay rights and abortion rights would be strengthened in an Obama administration, taxes would rise and people who hate Christianity will be emboldened to attack our freedoms."⁸⁷

Separately, a group called the Christian Anti-Defamation Commission has posted a series of videos on its site and on YouTube called "7 Reasons Barack Obama is not a Christian.


"The commission accuses Obama of "subtle diabolical deceit" in saying he is Christian, while he believes that people can be saved through other faiths."⁸⁷

Such accusations from faith based groups have provide additional ammunition for accusers to point to scriptures which make reference to the new testament definition of Antichrist. In response to the Christian Right view on abortion Obama says,

"The right wing, the Christian right, has done a good job of building these organizations of accountability, much better than the left or progressive forces have. But its always easier to organize around intolerance, narrow-mindedness, and false nostalgia. And they also have hijacked the higher moral ground with this language of family values and moral responsibility."¹⁵⁵

Speaking before the planned parenthood Action Fund on July 17th 2007, Obama said the first thing he would do as President is sign the Freedom of Choice Act. The bill would neutralize state and local regulation of abortion and abolish all state restrictions on government funding for abortions.

Obama's liberalism also extends to the mainstreaming of homosexuality in American culture. He believes that the conservative Christians, Jew or Muslim position on homosexuality and same sex marriage is hurtful regardless of the concept of hating the sin but loving the sinner. As a result of his liberalist thinking this naturally permeates Obama's choice of appointing judges for the Supreme Court, a major influence on his presidential powers. As a Harvard educated lawyer who once served as a lecturer at the University of Chicago Law School, Obama knows much about law making and policy and all too knows well that his choice of judges will go a long way in facilitating a liberal philosophy.



Appointing ultra-liberal, anti-business Supreme Court judges who would reverse the ruling that bans partial birth abortion, and the ruling that confirms the Second Amendment is an individual right would change the dynamic of American society.

11. OBAMACARE AND ITS CONSEQUENCES


Obamacare is the term given to a set of eight principles" for "Transforming and Modernizing America's Health Care System. The White House and Capitol Hill are now working together to write legislation for health-care reform. Outwardly the principles being addresses are as follows.

1. **Protect Families' Financial Health.** The plan must reduce the growing premiums and other costs American citizens and businesses pay for health care. People must be protected from bankruptcy due to catastrophic illness.
2. **Make Health Coverage Affordable.** The plan must reduce high administrative costs, unnecessary tests and services, waste, and other inefficiencies that consume money with no added health benefits.
3. **Aim for Universality.** The plan must put the United States on a clear path to cover all Americans.
4. **Provide Portability of Coverage.** People should not be locked into their job just to secure health coverage, and no American should be denied coverage because of preexisting conditions.
5. **Guarantee Choice.** The plan should provide Americans a choice of health plans and physicians. They should have the option of keeping their employer-based health plan.
6. **Invest in Prevention and Wellness.** The plan must invest in public health measures proven to reduce cost drivers in our system—such as obesity, sedentary lifestyles, and smoking — as well as guarantee access to proven preventive treatments.
7. **Improve Patient Safety and Quality Care.** The plan must ensure the implementation of proven patient safety measures and provide incentives for changes in the delivery system to reduce unnecessary variability in patient care. It must support the widespread use of health information technology and the development of data on the effectiveness of medical interventions to improve the quality of care delivered.
8. **Maintain Long-Term Fiscal Sustainability.** The plan must pay for itself by reducing the level of cost growth, improving productivity, and dedicating additional sources of revenue.

However despite the seemingly ethical objectives, Obamacare has become one of the most controversial elements of his presidency. The initial reason is that just like the stimulus package and bailout package approval through congress, the health care reform was enacted in world-record time? Many believe Obama-care was pushed as and propelled through Congress without the required time for a full examination. Additionally many believe a key feature of the House and Senate health bills would prevent insurance companies from denying coverage to anyone with preexisting conditions. The new coverage would start immediately, and the premium could not reflect the individual's health condition.

This well-intentioned feature would provide a strong incentive for someone who is healthy to drop his or her health insurance, saving the substantial premium costs. After all, if serious illness hit this person or a

family member, he could immediately obtain coverage. As healthy individuals decline coverage in this way, insurance companies would come to have a sicker population. The higher cost of insuring that group would force insurers to raise their premiums. (Separate accident policies might develop to deal with the risk of high-cost care after accidents when there is insufficient time to buy insurance.)



The higher premium level would cause others who are currently insured to drop coverage, pushing premiums even higher. The result would be a spiral of rising premiums and shrinking numbers of insured. In an attempt to prevent this, the draft legislation provides penalties for individuals who choose not to buy insurance and for employers that do not offer health insurance. But the levels of these fines are generally too low to cause a rational individual to insure.

Other dangers of the Obamacare controversy are as follows:

CONTROL: When a government seeks to control the healthcare delivery system of a nation, they are seeking to control every aspect of a person's life: their health, their employment and their freedom. By defining smoking to be a health issue, the government can: outlaw smoking by criminalizing and punishing the activity; apply such heavy taxes to tobacco as to make it economically unfeasible to purchase the product – which also produces a robust criminal enterprise to supply the black-market; or by raising healthcare insurance premiums to the point where the activity is economically discouraged – to the point of holding your health as a hostage in return for politically correct behavior.

Consider the current administration's attempt to define gun ownership and use to be a health issue. What is to keep the administration from defining gun ownership as a contributory health factor and, while they can't circumvent the Second Amendment, they could raise healthcare premiums to the point where gun ownership and use becomes less desirable. Again, holding your health as hostage in exchange for politically correct behavior.

WEAKENING OF PRIVATE SECTOR INSURANCE: By promoting the mis-named "public option," the government is sowing the seeds of destruction of any private sector insurance. Most of the nation's

healthcare is offered in conjunction with one's employer. How likely is it for employers to abandon offering insurance to its employees if private sector offerings are outrageously priced with respect to the "government option?" Thus employers, in an effort to survive economically, will force employees into the "government option" which will result in the destruction of shared coverage pools – resulting in the inability of a private sector insurance entity to survive.

BACKROOM DEALS: First, the government has cut backroom deals with the special interests involved in healthcare delivery, from the insurance companies to the big pharmaceutical firms. Second, the government can use anti-competitive administrative rules and regulations to circumvent Congress and legislative efforts. That means they can make rules and regulations that favor the "government option" and disadvantage the public sector. Thirdly, when it comes to money, the government option can be funded out of the taxpayer's pocket using subsidies, grants and guarantees. The funds available to fund healthcare using private sector resources can be reduced or eliminated.

DISGUIISING THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE LEGISLATION: Why is the government speaking as if there were legislation on the table that can be examined and costed? There are competing draft copies, with documents ranging from 800+ pages to those over 1,500 pages. All written in dense legalese with references to the chapter, section, subsection and sub-subsection to other legislation. All to disguise the purpose and intent of the toxic legislation. But more importantly, to insure that legislators and others do not read or understand what they are voting on. All of these versions will be reconciled into a single bill behind closed doors with little or no bi-partisan input.

TOXIC PROVISIONS HIDDEN FROM THE MAIN BILL: By specifying that rules and regulations will be promulgated by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, unknown health czars and by as yet unformed boards, panels and commissions, the government can transfer power from Congress to the Administration to hide the most onerous provisions of the toxic legislation.

DATABASE CONTROL: The government will create a massive database that will track every aspect of your life: residence, schooling, employment, purchases and healthcare in almost real-time precision. All available to political, healthcare and law-enforcement agencies without Constitutional safeguards. This, in and of itself, is troublesome. Considering that the government cannot even track the comings and goings of visitors to the United States, serious doubts remain that the government can manage this information warehouse. You should also remember that the IRS will be administering the enforcement of healthcare provisions, punishing and taxing those who do not comply by purchasing healthcare insurance.

One would imagine how Obama has managed to progress his health reforms through. However again it has to be stressed that he has secured the support of the mainstream media just as what happened during his presidential election campaign. Although Obama campaigned on health care reform extensively during 2009, the network media failed to supply crucial analysis of his health care agenda leading up to these pivotal votes.

Since the inauguration, the network news media have promoted President Obama's attempts to muscle through health care reform legislation. From exposing the "unhealthy details" of the current system, to

openly calling it a “national shame” that the U.S. does not have universal (government) health insurance, the three networks have joined Obama’s campaign for change.

In 224 stories on ABC, CBS and NBC, the networks favored proponents to critics by a margin of more than 2-to-1 (243 to 104). Networks also left out one major criticism – the “exorbitant” cost of Democrat reform proposals – from most reports.

ABC touted Obama’s proposals as the “UnHillary approach” on March 5, citing the diversity of groups represented at a health care summit that day. According to “Good Morning America” co-anchor Robin Roberts, the president was bringing “all the major players in health care to the table.

Only 9 percent of stories (21 out of 224) cited estimates of \$1 trillion or more, even though the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimated one Senate proposal would cost \$1.6 trillion. The networks only brought up Massachusetts health care reform in one story and entirely failed to report the flaws of a government health care program in Hawaii. They also glossed over the shortcomings of Medicare – a government-run health insurance program already bound for insolvency.

Each network had its own way of spinning the debate for Obama. On ABC, medical editor and longtime universal care proponent Tim Johnson blatantly cheered for big-government solutions. ABC also aired a primetime special giving Obama time to promote his health care agenda without debate. CBS, particularly the “Early Show,” brought on administration officials to promote for government-run health care, but often didn’t supply an opposing view. NBC, the best of the three, utilized a third approach: airing stories about extreme medical hardship or praising certain hospitals for already doing what Obama has called for – like implementing electronic medical records.

On June 11 and 12, after Obama had spoken in Green Bay, Wis. at a town hall meeting about health care reform. In the speech, Obama admitted the cost of Medicare and Medicaid are “one of the biggest threats to our federal deficit” and promised Americans would be able to keep their doctors “no matter how we reform health care.” He also promised that reform would not add to the deficit.

None of the networks examined those claims or pointed out the irony of looking to the government to cut costs since government programs are largely responsible for skyrocketing health care expenditures. Instead, they seized on a heart-tugging story of a father who allowed his 10-year-old daughter to skip school to attend the event.

12. THE CREATION OF OBAMA'S NEW WORLD ORDER TEAM

“Those who attend Bilderberg meetings do so in a private rather than official capacity. From former CIA director John Deutch to New Jersey Governor Christine Todd Whitman, each guest attendee is hand-picked by the Bilderberg's organizing committee to join in secret deliberations about the propagation of Western hegemony in the New World Order. “

The depth of the current economic crisis is leading many people to favour a form of governance that would place economic and political life under the trusteeship of international organizations. Barack Obama's new cabinet, which is made up of those responsible for the crisis, will ensure the ascendancy of financial interests.

A new world order has been in the making for quite some time and is now becoming “inevitable”. Many a politician and economist are quick to say that great sacrifices are called for, and that any “reasonable” person will see that suffering and hardship are “necessary.” The crisis that is currently affecting our lives is behind this global shift. The slow fire has moved from real estate, to banking and finances, and is now reaching industry, agriculture and the whole economy. From the heartland in the United States it is reverberating outward touching the entire world.

The fear of a domino effect and its potential for economic, political and social upheavals and the fear of widespread anarchy will provide the necessary tools to install this new order, which for most people will appear as the only possible outcome. The act of governing will change as a world body will be in charge the financial, economic and tax systems. Police, prisons and private relations inside and outside the family will come under its purview, so will national sovereignty of the peoples and the right to express opinions that are different from those of the single thought of relativism, which will be seen as the only solution that is available and desirable.

Until a few decades ago such a new world order would have been anathema, a nightmare, a first step towards a worldwide dictatorship. Now world leaders will be praised when they show concern for the well-being of the earth's peoples and social groups at a time of difficulties. Of course, this is what we will hear, and very soon too, in terms more unambiguous than we might think now.

One of the most prominent themes in this discussion has been the fact that many believe the Antichrist will come onto the world scene at a time when the world markets are on the verge of collapse. There will be a requirement for a political and economic savior that will solve the economic chaos with significant genius and be able to avert a near global banking collapse.

The view thus lends itself to the fact that Obama rise has coincided with one of the most devastating years for the world banking sector with banks such as Lehman Brothers, Northern Rock, Fanny May and even giant such as Wachovia Bank and Merrill Lynch all being victims of the global economic meltdown. In fact it has been proven that one of the key drivers for Obama's poll acceleration against McCain was his reaction and economic policies that he would put in place to restructure Wall Street and prevent a repeat of the credit crunch crisis.

Key also to this belief is the fact that Obama's foreign policy advisor and vocal supporter is Zbigniew Brzezinski, Jimmy Carter's national security advisor, who says that Obama offers 'a new definition of America's role in the world'. As author Holly Sklar has noted,

“In 1973 the Trilateral Commission was founded by David Rockefeller, Chase Manhattan Bank chairman, Zbigniew Brzezinski, Carter’s national security advisor, and other like-minded ‘eminent private citizens (like George H.W.Bush)’ Some 300 members (up from about 200 members in 1973) are drawn from international business and banking, government, academia, media, and conservative labor. The Commission’s purpose is to engineer an enduring partnership among the ruling classes of North American, Western Europe, and Japan—hence the term ‘trilateral’—in order to safeguard the interests of Western capitalism in an explosive world. The private Trilateral Commission is attempting to mold public policy and construct a framework for international stability in the coming decades. Throughout this book, ‘trilateralism’ refers to the doctrine of world order advanced by the Commission”⁸⁹

Since Obama has included in his camp, the same Brzezinski who created the Illuminati's Trilateral Commission with David Rockefeller in 1973, this raises significant questions regarding his role in the construction of a global government since it is common knowledge of the Illuminati’s quest for a global government run by a super elite where national borders and boundaries are blurred.

Brzenski heads the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). The Council on Foreign Relations is an organization whose mission is to redefine American policy and slide this republic into a one-world government – a nightmare beyond what most Americans can't even imagine.

Brzezinski's foreign 'policy' has known to be an apocalyptic controversial stance, for instance during the Carter administration, his aim as he has since admitted without regret, was to entice the Soviet Union to invade Afghanistan in December, 1979. The idea, he said, was to weaken a rival superpower and the result was a ten-year occupation that cost the lives of an estimated 1.3 million Afghans and spawned the Mujahedin, Taliban and Osama bin Laden.

Most dangerous of all is that Brzezinski is the mastermind of the massive destabilization of China now ongoing, starting with the CIA/MI-6 Tibet insurrection, which has placed the US on a collision course with China, a superpower with 1.4 billion people and thermonuclear weapons which can strike US cities. Brzezinski's goal it is said, is a confrontation with the Shanghai Cooperation Organization,⁹⁰ the main world center for resistance to US-UK global domination. Anti-war activists are still fixated on Iran, but not Brzezinski - his target is China, twenty times bigger than Iran, with ICBMs ready to launch, followed by Russia, the world's biggest nuclear power.

Brzezinski states in his book, "The Grand Chessboard"²¹⁴ in that for the US New World Order to succeed, China must be defeated. Brzenski, calls it the "Grand Chessboard". The "Grand Chessboard" is the world stage and all the countries in the world that are to become consolidated into Unions.

Rear Adm. Chester Ward was a member of the CFR for 16 years and later warned the American people as to the true intentions of this treasonous operation:

The most powerful clique in these elitist groups have one objective in common – they want to bring about the surrender of the sovereignty of the national independence of the United States. A second clique of international members in the CFR comprises the Wall Street international bankers and their key agents. Primarily, they want the world-banking monopoly from whatever power ends up in the control of global government.²¹²

The appointment of Brzezinski has also elicited much dismay among supporters of Israel since Brzezinski is well known for his aggressive dislike of Israel. He has been an ardent foe of Israel for over three decades and newspaper files are littered with his tirades against Israel. Brzezinski has publicly defended the Walt-

Mearsheimer thesis that the relationship between America and Israel is based not on shared values and common threats but is the product of Jewish pressure. Brzezinski also signed a letter demanding dialogue with Hamas—a group whose charter calls for the destruction of Israel and is filled with threats to Jews around the world. Brzezinski's son, Mark, is also on Obama's foreign policy team. Evidently the apple does not fall far from the tree. Mark recently co-wrote an op-ed advocating that America forge ties with Iran.

Obama's speech in Germany at the Brandenburg Gate is a clear indicator of his New World Order bidding under the guise of global peace and unity. The only way global peace and unity can exist, even for just a short time, is under one government with strict controls in place for population and constitutional rights for the masses, yet no longer the individual. The ruling world government has all the power, while the global citizens work for one of the world corporations.

Hypothetically, for the same reason, there can only be one Superpower in the world. More than one Superpower of a different governmental system (i.e. Capitalism vs. Communism) will result in friction and political hedging. The New World Order architects like Brzezinski feel the time is ripe to make the US the world's only government as it is considered at this time the world's only Superpower. Russia seems to be having a problem with this. Russian Intel indicates a New World Order premise for the US or what they refer to as "US Imperialism".

New World Order architects have chose Barack Obama as their man in office. They do so because they feel Obama can unite Africa and Middle East under their wing. Africa and the Middle East contain 2/3 of the world's energy resources. The New World Order must have control of these places - or another up-and-coming Superpower, China, will.

China has been quietly building up, massively though, both militarily and economically. They pose a problem for US NWO architects because China never plays by the rules, never has. China used New World Order engineers and their greed against them. China is still Communist and opposes US Imperialism. China is just using the US to build its economy. The CFR believes it will cave to Capitalism and thus be ripe for the taking as the Asia Union under US NWO control.

In a flurry of diplomatic activity in his first week in office, U.S. President Barack Obama named special envoys for the Middle East and the Afghanistan-Pakistan region. Newly confirmed Secretary of State Hillary Clinton said Obama had chosen George Mitchell, a former senator and seasoned international trouble-shooter, as an envoy who will try to jump-start moribund Arab-Israeli peace talks.

Obama tapped former ambassador to the United Nations Richard Holbrooke as a special envoy for Afghanistan and Pakistan and related issues. George Mitchell is not simply a CFR member — he is a former director of the globalist organization and sits on the steering committee of the American Friends of Bilderberg along with such luminaries as Conrad Black, Henry Kissinger, and David Rockefeller,

It would seem that the White House is shaping up to become a branch office of the CFR and Bilderbergers, but then this is simply business as usual. For years, the CFR — with its associate memberships in such international units as the Trilateral Commission, Club of Rome, and Bildebergers — has infested not only the White House, but the State Department, the NSC, the Pentagon, and much of the federal government.

“CFR membership is also inclusive of West Point Superintendents, Allied Supreme Commanders, Secretaries of Defense, and Military Policy members. Media memberships include Time, New York Times, Newsweek, Washington Post, CBS, NBC, ABC, etc.,”²¹³

“The CFR also exerts influence on United States personnel at the various United Nations agencies, which is natural, because UN goals usually parallel CFR’s goals.”²¹³

Obama has also chosen president of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) Richard Haass, along with senior CFR-member Richard Holbrooke, and CFR-member Dennis Ross as special Foreign Envoys/ Foreign Policy Advisors, reporting directly to Obama (as reported by CBS News). Richard Holbrooke has also been a CFR director three times, as he is presently. Richard Haas is also a CFR director.



For anyone who doubts the Commission's continuing influence on Obama, consider that he has already appointed no less than nine members of the Commission to top-level and key positions in his Administration. These include:

- Secretary of Treasury, **Tim Geithner**
- Ambassador to the United Nations, **Susan Rice**
- National Security Advisor, **Gen. James L. Jones**
- Deputy National Security Advisor, **Thomas Donilon**
- Director of National Intelligence, Admiral **Dennis C. Blair**
- Chairman, Economic Recovery Committee, **Paul Volker**
- Assistant Secretary of State, Asia & Pacific, **Kurt M. Campbell**
- Deputy Secretary of State, **James Steinberg**
- State Department, Special Envoy, **Richard Haass**
- State Department, Special Envoy, **Dennis Ross**
- State Department, Special Envoy, **Richard Holbrooke**

According to official Trilateral Commission membership lists, there are only 87 members from the United States (the other 337 members are from other regions). Thus, in less than two weeks since his inauguration, Obama's appointments encompass more than 12% of Commission's entire U.S. membership.

Notice that five of the Trilateral appointees involve the State Department, where foreign policy is created and implemented. Hillary Clinton is certainly in line with these policies because her husband, Bill Clinton, is also a member. What is more important than economic recovery? Paul Volker is the answer. What is more important than national intelligence? Gen. James Jones, Thomas Donilon Adm. Dennis Blair hold the top three positions. What is more important than the Treasury and the saving of our financial system? Timothy Geithner says he has the answers.

This leaves Susan Rice, Ambassador to the United Nations. The U.N. is the chosen instrument for ultimate global governance. Rice will help to subvert the U.S. into the U.N. umbrella of vassal states.

Since 1973, the Commission has met regularly in plenary sessions to discuss policy position papers developed by its members. Policies are debated in order to achieve consensuses. Respective members return to their own countries to implement policies consistent with those consensuses.

The original stated purpose of the Trilateral Commission was to create a "New International Economic Order." Its current statement has morphed into fostering a "closer cooperation among these core democratic industrialized areas of the world with shared leadership responsibilities in the wider international system."

U.S. Trilateral members implement policies determined by a majority of non-Americans that most often work against the best interests of the country. For the Trilateral crowd, the game is about over. The recent reemergence of original members Henry Kissinger, Zbigniew Brzezinski, Brent Scowcroft and Paul Volker serves to reinforce the conclusion that the New International Economic Order is near.

The Trilateral Commission and its members have engineered the global economic, trade and financial system that is currently in a state of total chaos.

In Lancaster, Pennsylvania on 31st March 2008 an audience member asked Barack Obama whether he was a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and whether he was aware of the development of a North American Union. Obama replied that he hadn't see any evidence of the North American Union. Regarding the Council on Foreign Relations, Obama said he doesn't know whether he was an "official member", but confirmed that he spoken there in the past. He then says:

"the CFR is basically just a forum where a bunch of people talk about foreign policy... so there's no official membership... I don't have a card or an [inaudible, perhaps 'special'] handshake or anything like that..."⁹¹

13. OBAMA AND THE NORTH AMERICAN UNION

The notion that the establishment of a North American Union (along with the dissolving of national borders and the creation of a common currency) is set to take place stems from proposals such as Building a North American Community (a publication of the Council on Foreign Relations in association with the Canadian Council of Chief Executives and the Consejo Mexicano de Asuntos Internacionales) which advocate more aggressive plans for North American cooperation, such as the "establishment by 2010 of a North American economic and security community, the boundaries of which would be defined by a common external tariff and an outer security perimeter." However, up until now, such proposals are merely analyses and recommendations developed by independent "think tanks"; and are presently not treaties or legislation,

Robert Pastor, who is regarded by many as the "Father of the North American Union." has written a book entitled "Towards a North American Community" and vice-chaired the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) report, "Building a North American Community."

Since its inception in 1921, the CFR has pushed for a one-world government and has set out to destroy American sovereignty. It is made up of top U.S. politician's, corporate elites, and some in the media, and has sought to overthrow the U.S. constitution. Many refer to it as the shadow government.

A recently published CFR essay, "The End of National Currency," called for nations to abandon unwanted currencies and replace them with multinational or regional ones. This would obviously further advance the New World Order and benefit the global elite. Many feel that a common currency will be needed in North America to compete against the euro, and an economic collapse could lead to a new one being offered as a solution. Cue in the "Amero" the proposed currency for a NAU. Some might say they've never heard of the "Amero", much less the NAU, the reason being that it has been for the most part shielded from the public's attention. Secret meetings in Banff, Alberta, Canada in September 2006 discussed how the NAU was to be created and implemented using "evolution by stealth." There is little doubt that the CFR is one of the driving forces behind the NAU.

Dr. Robert Pastor is one of the leading advocates for a North American Community. He is a former Carter Administration official and now teaches at the American University in Washington D.C. He directs the Center for North American Studies and teaches the course, "North America: A Union, A Community, or just Three Nations?" He is also on the board of the American Forum On Integration which holds an annual "North American Model Parliament" for selected university students from across the U.S., Canada, and Mexico. Pastor doesn't reject the idea of an NAU, but feels that the timing for political integration isn't right because of the fear it would create. His idea of a North American Community is one where people view themselves as citizens of North America. He is on record as saying another 9/11 attack could create the right climate and said,

"A crisis is an event which can force democratic governments to make difficult decisions like those that would be required to create a North American Community."²¹⁶

Is a North American Community a play on words to deceive, or is it a reference to the early stages of integration? One must understand that the European Union was only openly announced about 10 years

ago and it took close to 50 years before holding any type of referendums. Even though the NAU process has been fast tracked, it is still being done incrementally, much like the EU was.

Here is a brief history of some of Robert Pastor's activities in trying to further advance the NAU.

In November of 2002 he presented "A North American Community, A Modest Proposal" to the Trilateral Commission. Many of these ideas and proposals were later adopted in the "Building a North American Community" report. In October of 2003, he testified in front of the House of Representatives and several committees where he recommended the formation of a North American Community.

In early 2004, the CFR published his paper, "North America's Second Decade," where he advocates even further integration. In that particular paper speaking on national sovereignty he states,

"Countries benefit when they change these policies, and evidence suggests that North Americans are ready for a new relationship that renders this old definition of sovereignty obsolete."²¹⁷

One minute he is saying that we're not ready, and the next he is saying that we are. He went on to say in his paper that,

"The U.S., Mexican, and Canadian governments remain zealous defenders of an outdated conception of sovereignty even though their citizens are ready for a new approach."²¹⁷

If we are ready, why is there such secrecy surrounding the NAU? He knows that most are never going to read his book, papers, or reports, therefore he can claim that there is no proposed NAU. This is the same man who calls NAFTA a success, but try telling that to the millions who've lost good paying jobs as a result. From his standpoint, it has been a success because it has furthered his goals, and those of a NAU.


In late 2004, The Independent Task Force on the Future of North America was created and co-sponsored by the CFR with Pastor as a member. In March of 2005, it released "Creating a North American Community" which called for an economic and security community by 2010. Pastor also published the article, "The Paramount Challenge for North America: Closing the Development Gap."

On March 23, 2005, the leaders of Canada, the United States, and Mexico signed the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP) of North America which is essentially the framework for a NAU. In May of that same year, the CFR published the report, "Building a North American Community," with Pastor as one of the vice-chairs. It is considered to be the blueprint for the SPP. It is easy to see why he is called the "Father of the NAU." The truth is, Dr. Pastor, that most will resist the NAU!

In Lancaster, Pennsylvania on 31st March 2008, an audience member asked Barack Obama whether he was a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and also asked about the North American Union. Obama denied being a member of the CFR, and said he didn't see any evidence of the North American Union. However, in his discussion of the North American Union he described something sounding very similar to the NAFTA Superhighway.²¹⁸

14. OBAMA'S OPPOSITION TO THE SECOND AMENDMENT

The Second Amendment (Amendment II) to the United States Constitution is the part of the United States Bill of Rights found by the U.S. Supreme Court, in *District of Columbia v. Heller* (June 26, 2008), to protect the pre-existing individual right to possess and carry weapons (i.e., "keep and bear arms") in case of confrontation. Codification of the right to keep and bear arms into the Bill of Rights was influenced by a fear rooted in American history, that the federal government would disarm the people in order to impose rule through a standing army or select militia, since history had shown taking away the people's arms and making it an offense for people to keep them was the way tyrants eliminated resistance to suppression of political opponents.



The Second Amendment is defined as being applicable to the following:

A well regulated Militia being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed.¹⁵⁷

The original intent and purpose of the Second Amendment was to preserve and guarantee, not grant, the pre-existing right of individuals to keep and bear arms. Although the amendment emphasizes the need for a militia, membership in any militia, let alone a well-regulated one, was not intended to serve as a prerequisite for exercising the right to keep arms. The Second Amendment preserves and guarantees an individual right for a collective purpose. That does not transform the right into a "collective right." The debate reigns as to whether the Second Amendment was intended to be applied to all US states through the Fourteenth Amendment though the courts have refused to acknowledge or apply original intent.

Those who believe that Barack Obama is the Antichrist, believe one of the major policy changes he will implement is the abolishing of the second amendment based on his historical stance on the issue.

"I know that the NRA believes people should be unimpeded and unregulated on gun ownership. I disagree. I do not object to the lawful use and ownership of firearms, but I do think it is entirely it appropriate for the state to monitor it. Too many of

these guns end up in the hands of criminals even though they were originally purchased by people who did not have a felony. I'll continue to be in favor of handgun law registration requirements and licensing requirements for training.”¹⁵⁸

Obama's stance on the second amendment is a major source of debate and can be defined across the following key events.

- On March 13, 2003, Obama voted to ban and confiscate “assault weapons,” but the ban would have included most shotguns on the market today.
- In October 2004 in a debate with Alan Keyes, Obama stated that Bush erred in not renewing the Clinton gun ban.
- In July 29, 2005, Obama voted to allow frivolous lawsuits against gun manufacturers, even though gun manufacturers have never in history used a gun to illegally use a gun.
- Obama is also against citizens' constitutional rights to protect themselves. In an article published in the Pittsburgh Tribune-Review, on April 2, Obama stated, “I am not in favor of concealed weapons. I think that creates a potential atmosphere where more innocent people could (get shot during) altercations.”

Obama also supported a measure to ban gun stores from areas within a 5-mile radius of a school or park. This measure would have virtually eliminated every gun store in the United States. The five points listed here are but a few of the more than twenty different stances that Obama has taken to severely restrict or eliminate gun ownership in America.

The argument is that whilst on the surface this may seem like a genuine attempt to eliminate the danger of irrational accountability with thousands of guns roaming within the public domain, some believe there is another more sinister motive. The implementation of a world government policing system based on the biblical definition is dependant on the cooperation of ordinary citizens and the inability of citizens to resist the dictatorial measures that will be transitioned in as part of more aggressive population control mechanisms.




The abolishment of the second amendment across the whole of the United States would disempower militia groups, freedom fighters and resistance groups who are already living on the premise that in the future there will be a bloody battle against the state when the World Government concept is fully immersed into American policy making. Obama's significant resistance to the second amendment is seen as a sign by some that as the possible Antichrist he is looking to eradicate laws and policies that stand in the way of the enslavement of the American people under the rule of the United Nations.

15. OBAMA AND THE FAIRNESS DOCTRINE


Further evidence of Obama's aim to disempower all resistance to his policies and visions is with his growing war against conservative talk radio.

In 1949, the Federal Communications Commission created the "Fairness Doctrine," which mandated that federally-licensed radio and television stations provide a reasonable opportunity for the presentation of contrasting viewpoints on "vitally important controversial issues." Rather than be deluged with demands for air time by aggrieved listeners, the broadcasters generally opted not to cover controversial issues, thereby leaving the public less informed.

**LIBERAL IDEA OF UNITY IS
THE ELIMINATION OF DISSENT**



**"FAIRNESS DOCTRINE"
IS FASCISM**



Conservative Talk Radio (or right talk) is a talk radio format in the United States devoted to expressing conservative viewpoints of issues which came onto the scene during the late 1980s. The format became widely implemented since the 1987 abolishment of the Fairness Doctrine, and now has become the most widely listened to form of political talk radio. Conservative talk radio schedules had developed the most listener loyalty (Highest Ratings) and because conservative talk radio gives listeners an alternative to the predominantly left wing liberal leaning mainstream media. As of 2007, conservative or libertarian talk show hosts have maintained the top eleven spots (more than eleven hosts) in ratings.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) which regulates the airwaves during the Bush administration created a new policy called "localism." Radio and television stations are required to serve the interests of their local community as a condition of keeping their broadcast licenses.

In 2007, the Center for American Progress issued a report, *The Structural Imbalance of Political Talk Radio*. This report complained that there was too much conservative talk on the radio because of "the absence of localism in American radio markets" and urged the FCC to "ensure greater local accountability over radio licensing. An FCC license is required for any radio or television station to legally operate in the United States. A single complaint from anyone can significantly hinder a station's license renewal process or even cost the station its FCC license entirely.

"Our conclusion is that the gap between conservative and progressive talk radio is the result of multiple structural problems in the U.S. regulatory system," the report reads, "particularly the complete breakdown of the public trustee concept of broadcast, the elimination of clear public interest requirements for broadcasting, and the relaxation of ownership rules including the requirement of local participation in management."²¹⁹

Bowing to this pressure, the FCC proposed on January 24, 2008, the creation of permanent station advisory boards comprised of local officials and other community leaders, to periodically advise them of local needs and issues to ensure content diversity on the air. Any station that fails to placate these "local community leaders" would then be subject to license revocation by the FCC with an accelerated license review every two years as opposed to the current eight years.

This would allow each station license in America to be attacked twice during just one Obama term.

The Obama transition team knows all about the potential of localism as a means of silencing conservative dissent. The head of the Obama transition team is John Podesta who is President and CEO of the Center for American Progress. Obama needs only three votes from the five-member FCC to define localism in such a way that no radio station would dare air any syndicated conservative programming.

To accomplish the strategy, the report recommends legislating local and national caps on ownership of commercial radio stations and demanding radio stations regularly prove to the FCC that they are "operating on behalf of the public interest" to maintain their broadcasting license.

And if stations are unwilling to abide by the FCC's new regulatory standards, the report recommends, they should pay spectrum-use fees directly to the Corporation for Public Broadcasting "with clear mandates to support local news and public affairs programming and to cover controversial and political issues in a fair and balanced manner."

In this way, the report concludes, between \$100 million and \$250 million could be raised for public radio, which will be compelled to broadcast via the old standards established by the "Fairness Doctrine."

Since the report's release in 2007, the Obama camp has twice gone on record advocating positions identical to Podesta's think tank.

In July 2008, in denying the presidential candidate's support of the "Fairness Doctrine," Obama's press secretary said, "Sen. Obama supports media-ownership caps, network neutrality, public broadcasting, as well as increasing minority ownership of broadcasting and print outlets."

Frank Wright president of the National Religious Broadcasters said he sees the move as a credible threat under a Democrat-dominated Congress and with President Obama in the White House.

"And we have a personal concern," Wright told Broadcasting & Cable. "The only radio station that ever lost its license under the fairness doctrine regime was a Christian radio station in Red Lion, Pa. We are only responding now to the statements the Democrats themselves are making."²²⁰

Representing 1,400 organizations, including large ministries and TV and radio stations, NRB said it is "girding itself for a major battle over broadcasting freedoms," and was prepared to go to court, lobby Congress, or take its message to the public.

"We have talked before about many of these issues, but now, with the shift in the political landscape, I think these same things have a much higher probability of being enacted or at least having legislation and hearings and debates, and on the regulation side at the FCC," ²²⁰ said Wright.

He said the new political climate doesn't just threaten broadcasters, but even churches that have no broadcast outlet.

"The fairness doctrine has a tremendous potential for constraining free speech, but hate crimes legislation has the potential of criminalizing it," he said. "In the short run, the fairness doctrine has the immediate threat of being applied to Christian broadcasters and to the church in a very deleterious way. Hate crimes legislation, if that is enacted, will evolve over time and bleed over into speech and have a negative effect, but not right away. The fairness doctrine will have a negative impact the day it is implemented." ²²⁰

He said he expects religious broadcasters, largely Christian, to be particularly hard hit because of the doctrine's requirement for so-called "balance. If an opposing view must be found for every matter of controversy, Christian broadcasters could find themselves in the unenviable and untenable position of seeking out other religious viewpoints – Islamic, Hindu, Buddhist or atheist – to counter what ministers of the Gospel say on the air.

"I have had a number of conversations with NRB members who operated under the old 'Fairness Doctrine' regime, what happens is there is a chilling of free speech because the license-holder tends to take off the air the programmer whose content is deemed to be controversial." ²²⁰

This weekend's meeting will offer up ideas about fighting back the prospects of government-controlled speech on the airwaves.

"I don't want to tip our hands on strategy except to say that if the approach taken by the administration is an FCC approach, we believe we can bring enough pressure to bear on the commission at the point of enactment to bring enough heat to get them to see the light, so to speak. I don't think we can stop it in the House or Senate." ²²⁰

Sen. Debbie Stabenow, D-Mich., when asked about whether it was time to bring back the so-called "Fairness Doctrine":

"I think it's absolutely time to pass a standard. Now, whether it's called the Fairness Standard, whether it's called something else – I absolutely think it's time to be bringing accountability to the airwaves. I mean, our new president has talked rightly about accountability and transparency. You know, that we all have to step up and be responsible. And, I think in this case, there needs to be some accountability and standards put in place." ²²¹

16. OBAMA'S FOREIGN POLICY ON ISRAEL

The American government has historically always been pro-Israel and this is largely influenced by the conservative Christian right and belief that it is a God given responsibility to defend Israel against her oppressors.⁷⁷ However, in one area of his foreign policy that concerns millions of Americans, is that Barack Obama's career continually shows him aligning with people who are anti-Israel advocates. As Obama has continued his political ascent, he has moved up the prestige scale in terms of his associates. Early on in his career he chose a church headed by Revered Jeremiah Wright who is a harsh anti-Israel advocate and whose views may be seen as tinged with anti-Semitism. This church is a member of a denomination whose governing body has taken a series of anti-Israel actions.

Obama's soothing and inspiring oratory sometimes vanishes when he talks of the Middle East. Indeed, his off-the-cuff remarks have been uniformly taken by supporters of Israel as signs that the inner Obama does not truly support Israel despite what his canned speeches and essays may contain.

Now that Obama has become President he has assembled a body of foreign policy advisers who signal that he will likely have an approach towards Israel radically at odds with those of previous Presidents (both Republican and Democrat). As mentioned earlier, the appointment of Brzezinski as a foreign advisor elicited much dismay among supporters of Israel since Brzezinski is well known for his aggressive dislike of Israel. He has been an ardent foe of Israel for over three decades and newspaper files are littered with his screeds against Israel.

It is also known that George Soros, the billionaire hedge fund titan who began supporting Obama very early on in Obama's election campaign is also a fierce foe of Israel, for years funding groups that have worked against Israel. He is also a man who has flexed his political muscle as a major funder of Democrat candidates and a slew of so-called 527 groups that are active in pushing their agendas (a reliance on international institutions, defeat of Republicans, Bush-bashing, Israel-bashing). He has also openly proclaimed his desire to break the bonds between America and Israel and has written of his desire to erode political support for Israel.

Obama's policy on the Israel-Arab peace process is very different from that of his predecessor. Firstly Obama share a sentimental umbilical chord with the Islamic world and so is more pragmatic in his approach to the middle east process whereas Bush ultimately saw Islam and terrorism as the same entity which influenced his publicly loyal support to Israel. Secondly, Bush never took the peace process seriously and put no political capital into trying to revive it. The onslaught of Palestinian suicide bombers that killed more than 1,000 Israeli civilians in the second intifada from 2000 to 2005 saw to that.

Bush instead in practice gave full support to Israel to strike back against the Palestinian Authority under Yasser Arafat, and the Israelis killed around 5,000 Palestinians, including civilians, in their retaliation operations. The last major Israeli incursion into Palestinian-controlled territory was ordered by Prime Minister Ehud Olmert at the beginning of 2009. The incursion into Gaza, against Hamas, the Islamic Resistance Movement, was in retaliation for its escalating rocket attacks on Israeli towns and settlements. In that conflict, 1,200 Palestinians died. Bush gave Israel his endorsement to wag make the incursion.

It was striking that Olmert ended the Gaza operation and pulled the Israeli army back only a couple of days before Obama was inaugurated. Obama, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton and national security adviser Jones have made clear they see reviving the peace process, restraining Israel from more military operations against the Palestinians and getting a two-state solution as among their most important goals in U.S. foreign policy.

Netanyahu and his hard-line foreign minister, Lieberman, could not disagree more. They believe Palestinian Authority President Mahmoud Abbas, who has been sounding increasingly radical and anti-Israel himself in recent weeks, is both an unreliable partner and far too weak to guarantee any peace agreement, even if he was willing to sign it. Hamas still has an iron grip on Gaza, and its power has been growing at Abbas' expense on the West Bank, too. Hamas is expected to sweep the West Bank municipal elections later this year.

On Iran, the substance of Obama's policy is in many respects similar to that of Bush. Like Bush and his secretary of state, Condoleezza Rice, Obama and Clinton are strongly opposed to using the U.S. Air Force to try to knock out Iran's nuclear-enrichment program with its huge complex of thousands of gas centrifuges. And like Bush, they also want to restrain Israel from launching its own pre-emptive airstrikes against those targets.

But Israeli leaders trusted Bush as a proven and loyal friend, and they were willing to listen to him on Iran. Also, Olmert was a dovish and cautious centrist who never recovered in popular standing from the way he bungled Israel's July 2006 mini-war against Hezbollah in southern Lebanon. Olmert, therefore, was only too happy to remain passive and cautious and obey Bush and Rice's warnings while handing over the Iran problem to his successor.

Netanyahu, however, said repeatedly that the Iran nuclear threat could destroy the state of Israel in a second Holocaust and that removing it is his top priority. The new Israeli leader has also said he would prefer the threat to be removed by diplomacy and without the risk of war. Obama's challenge, therefore, will be to persuade Netanyahu that he is capable of doing this.

But the Americans also have high stakes on the table if Israel attacks Iran. First, Iranian retaliation could cut oil-tanker commerce in and out of the Persian Gulf and force the U.S. Navy into extremely dangerous and risky operations to try to keep the seaway open. Second, such a conflict could drive oil prices sky-high again, destroying Obama's hopes for U.S. and global economic recovery based on his enormous \$3.6 trillion budget and \$787 billion emergency stimulus package. Obama and Netanyahu, therefore, appear on a collision course that could put U.S.-Israeli relations under worse strain than they have been in generations.

17. THE HENRY KISSINGER ENDORSEMENT OF BARACK OBAMA

A call to utilize the current global economic crisis as a panic in which governments worldwide can move to nationalize banks is emerging from the 2009 World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland.

The forum's founder, Klaus Schwab, told CNN that the current global economic slowdown is a "transformational crisis" that should be utilized to shape a "new world."

"Above all else this is a crisis of confidence.....To restore confidence you have to establish signposts that the world after the crisis will be different. We have to create a new world and that is what Davos 2009 will be all about – serving society." ²²²

Speaking from the Davos conference yesterday, Nouriel Roubini, New York University professor at the Stern School of Business, told CNBC the global banking system is "effectively insolvent."

As a matter of fact, all of the financial unions and economic superstates (e.g. European Union; Southeast Asian Association for Regional Cooperation; Union of South American Nations) that have been created over the past many years will, by sheer necessity and desperation, be forced to re-organize themselves in the coming months and years. Even South America will abandon their current emerging model in favor of one that enjoys complete freedom from its North American taskmaster. To their credit, they have set the bar higher than it has ever been set concerning their strongly stated desire to be free of IMF and World Bank control.

Only Russia has exceeded their standards, as they had already been fleeced by the Oligarchs in what may very well be the grandest larceny of national wealth/resources in history. This, of course, was preceded by a 75 year scourge of incessant rape, pillaging and plundering by the Bolsheviks and their Western financiers & handlers. Clearly Mr. Putin will not allow a repeat of any such conduct within his borders, and the international persecution that he has suffered certainly reflects their displeasure and frustration with him. No wonder Vladimir Putin is now considered a "reincarnation" of Peter the Great by his own people.

The ruinous influence of the International Monetary Funds and the World Bank can be instantly assessed by looking at the economic calamities they caused in Argentina (1999-2002), as well as in Thailand, South Korea & Indonesia during the 1997 Asian currency crisis. Likewise, every nation in Africa that has chosen to take on their monetary yoke has only misery and war and financial oppression to show for it.

We have seen this globalization scam unfold in country after country, as a ruse to steal a nation's resources, always taking from those who have, and giving to those who want it. In fact, an objective assessment of all the world's current conflicts would reveal that the vast majority are directly the result of a geo-political, commercial dynamic. The privatization of water sources, bodies, supplies and rights is perhaps the most provocative and glaring, and can be found at the root of a number of these resource wars.

Clearly the verdict has been delivered: Economies are much less vulnerable, the more locally they are positioned and the less centralized their decision-making process. This arrangement affords much greater resiliency when dealing with the vicissitudes of the marketplace. And it takes the power away from those

who are insulated in ivory towers, and far from the plight of the common man. It is time for everyone on the planet to “think globally; act locally”.

The derivatives market represents the single greatest threat to worldwide economic stability and financial security. It poses such great potential for financial abuse and economic devastation that the current institutional arrangements of this commercial realm have become completely unacceptable.

The alarming proliferation of hedge funds, as well as the growing number and variety of derivative instruments, has reached a critical mass that is incompatible with living a financially sound life on planet Earth. Simply put, some of these instruments are so far from the street – economic reality – that they put into jeopardy all the hard work, which appears in the form of real goods and services, that is produced by any economy at any given time.

Bilderberg luminary Henry Kissinger has repeated his routine call for a new international political order, stating that global crises should be seen as an opportunity to move toward a borderless world where national interests are outweighed by global necessities. Speaking with Charlie Rose in an interview in December 2008, Kissinger cited the chaos being wrought across the globe by the financial crisis and the spread of terrorism as an opportunity to bolster a new global order.

“I think that when the new administration assess the position in which it finds itself it will see a huge crisis and terrible problems, but I can see that it could see a glimmer in which it could construct an international system out of it.” Kissinger said, referring to the transition between the Bush and Obama administrations.”²²³

The former National Security advisor and Secretary of State compared the current world climate to the period immediately following the second world war, which led to the creation and empowerment of global bodies such as the UN and NATO.

“If you look back to the end of the second world war, many people now think that the period between the end of 1945 and 1950 was in many ways the most creative period or one of the most creative periods of foreign policy, but it started with chaos and fear of Russian invasion of Europe and governments that were very weak.”²²³

“The new administration is really coming into office at a strange period in this sense,” he continued. “It looks like a period of horrendous crisis all over the world. And we ourselves are in a severe crisis financially, but at the end of it our relative position in the world is actually stronger than it has been in the sense that Russia, China, India all have strong reasons to contribute to a quiet international environment because of the preoccupation they must have with their domestic affairs.”²²³

“They do not wish and have good reasons not to wish for an international atmosphere of crisis. So Paradoxically, this moment of crisis is also one of great opportunity.”²²³

Interviewer Charlie Rose, who has previously listened to Kissinger’s calls for a new world order, recognized the direction the conversation was taking and urged Kissinger to elaborate:

“When you talk about a new structure, I’m not sure, you’ve used the term new world order, what is it? Is it simply a world order that is defined by new interest and new mutuality of interest?”²²³ Rose asked.

“That’s certainly how you have to start. I know the view that you start by converting the whole world to our political philosophy. I don’t think that can be done in one or two terms of an administration. That is an historic process that has its own rhythm.”²²³

Kissinger replied.

“There are so many elements in this world at the moment that can only be dealt with on a global basis, and that’s unique.... Proliferation, energy, environment, All of these issues necessitate a global approach, so you don’t have to invent an international order. So every country has to mitigate its pure national interests by the global necessities, or define it’s national interests by global necessities But it cannot push its own technically selfish interests only by throwing its own weight around.”²²³

Kissinger also related that he has been struck by how much the move toward a new global order has been enhanced by the recent crises.

“The jihadist crisis is bringing it home to everybody, that international affairs cannot be conducted entirely by drawing borders and defining international politics by who crosses what borders with organized military force This has now been reinforced by the financial crisis, which totally unexpectedly has spread around the world. It limits the resources that each country has for a foreign policy geared to an assertion of its own pure interests.”²²³

Kissinger claimed that the key players in international politics, India, China, Russia, America, Europe, should recognize they have parallel concerns and work together to forge what he termed an “age of compatible interests”.

“I’m not saying that leaders will be up to all the opportunities that I may perceive but I think they can start moving in that direction and I’m actually fairly hopeful that we will be moving in that direction.”²²³

According to Kissinger, the rapidly unfolding economic depression and accompanying misery for billions of people “generates a unique opportunity for creative diplomacy” to usher in “a world financial order” and force sovereign nations “to face the reality that its dilemmas can be mastered only by common action,” that is to say through world government and a New World Order.

Obama the new US President is the answer Kissinger writes,

The extraordinary impact of the president-elect on the imagination of humanity is an important element in shaping a new world order.”²²³

Kissinger also mounted the floor of the New York Stock Exchange and told CNBC’s “Squawk on the Street” hosts Mark Haines and Erin Burnett that in essence Obama would be the man tapped to realize the one-world slave labor and control grid. Obama’s “task will be to develop an overall strategy for America in this period when, really, a new world order can be created. It’s a great opportunity, it isn’t just a crisis.”

Obama was selected by the global elite Kissinger so faithfully serves to push the New World Order scheme. Kissinger’s primary goal is to shill for world government. He is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, and the Bilderberg Group. Kissinger is merely informing us of the plan his masters have had in mind now for more than sixty years. Obama will be the front man the globalists will use to usher in the New World Order, a phrase coined by H.G. Wells when he used it as the title of a book about a socialist one-world government future. “Kissinger’s ties to government and international powers – as well as his use of the phrase – have made him suspect in the eyes of many who are wary of what ‘new world order’ might actually mean.”

18. FEMA AND HR645

One of the difficulties faced with understanding government behaviour is whether their responses and actions in specific situations are based on legitimate concerns or whether the response is there to camouflage an already premeditated alternative agenda. Many 911 researchers believe that 911 was an actual "inside job" in which the US government was already aware (and some believe actually master minded) of the coming disaster and believe that the US government needed some event that was so horrific and catastrophic that it would unite a global justification for their entry into the Middle East, first in Afghanistan and then later Iraq.

Now some political analysts believe that Iran is a possible next target as the US looks to extend its influence across the entire Middle East as part of a vision to subjugate the threat to their implementation of a new world order. The easy "fall guy" needed to make the plan work would be "Islamic terrorists"

Considering the potential validity of such schools of thought it becomes clear that those who express publicly their views of a coming dictatorial world government and new world order could also be blamed for some painful event triggered secretly by political secret groups wishing to raise hostility against such groups and thus justifying the need for heavy handed approaches or new legislation leading to the oppressions of those speaking out against government conspiracies. For instance over the last twelve months there has been a significant amount of discussion regarding the safety of previous candidate Ron Paul whose campaign gave him a platform to attack the US government regarding the rise of the North American Union.

A bill entitled the "National Emergency Centers Establishment Act" (HR 645) was introduced in the US Congress in January 2009. It calls for the establishment of six national emergency centers in major regions in the US to be located on existing military installations.

The stated purpose of the "national emergency centers" is to provide "temporary housing, medical, and humanitarian assistance to individuals and families dislocated due to an emergency or major disaster." In actuality, what we are dealing with are FEMA internment camps. HR 645 states that the camps can be used to "meet other appropriate needs, as determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security."

There has been virtually no press coverage of HR 645. These "civilian facilities" on US military bases are to be established in cooperation with the US Military. Modeled on Guantanamo, what we are dealing with is the militarization of FEMA internment facilities. Once a person is arrested and interned in a FEMA camp located on a military base, that person would in all likelihood, under a national emergency, fall under the de facto jurisdiction of the Military: civilian justice and law enforcement including habeas corpus would no longer apply.

HR 645 constitutes a further move to militarize civilian law enforcement, repealing the Posse Comitatus Act. In the words of Republican Ron Paul:

"...the fusion centers, militarized police, surveillance cameras and a domestic military command is not enough... Even though we know that detention facilities are already in place, they now want to legalize the construction of FEMA camps on military installations using the ever popular excuse that the facilities are for the purposes of a national emergency. With the phony

debt-based economy getting worse and worse by the day, the possibility of civil unrest is becoming a greater threat to the establishment. One need only look at Iceland, Greece and other nations for what might happen in the United States next."²⁴³

The proposed internment camps should be seen in relation to the broader process of militarization of civilian institutions. The construction of internment camps predates the introduction of HR 645 (Establishment of Emergency Centers) in January 2009. There are, according to various (unconfirmed) reports, some 800 FEMA prison camps in different regions of the U.S. Moreover, since the 1980s, the US military has developed "tactics, techniques and procedures" to suppress civilian dissent, to be used in the eventuality of mass protests (United States Army Field Manual 19-15 under Operation Garden Plot, entitled "Civil Disturbances" was issued in 1985) _In early 2006, tax revenues were allocated to building modern internment camp facilities. In January 2006, Kellogg Brown and Roots, which at the time was a subsidiary of Halliburton, received a \$385 million contract from the Department of Homeland Security's Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE):

"The contract, which is effective immediately [January 2006], provides for establishing temporary detention and processing capabilities to augment existing ICE Detention and Removal Operations (DRO) Program facilities in the event of an emergency influx of immigrants into the U.S., or to support the rapid development of new programs...

The contract may also provide migrant detention support to other U.S. Government organizations in the event of an immigration emergency, as well as the development of a plan to react to a national emergency, such as a natural disaster. (KBR, 24 January 2006, emphasis added)

The stated objectives of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) are to:

"protect national security and uphold public safety by targeting criminal networks and terrorist organizations that seek to exploit vulnerabilities in our immigration system, in our financial networks, along our border, at federal facilities and elsewhere in order to do harm to the United States. The end result is a safer, more secure America"²⁴⁴

What is the political intent and purpose of these camps? They are manned, but yet do not contain prisoners. Why do they need all these non-operating prisons? What are they waiting for? We continuously hear that our current prisons are overcrowded and they are releasing prisoners because of this situation. But what about all these facilities? What are they really for? Why are there armed guards yet no one to protect themselves against? And what is going to be the kick-off point to put these facilities into operation? What would bring about a situation that would call into effect the need for these new prison facilities? A man-made or natural catastrophe? An earthquake, panic due a massive poisoning, a panic of such dimensions to cause nationwide panic. Possibly 2012?.

Once a major disaster occurs (whether it is a real event or manufactured event does not matter) Martial Law is hurriedly put in place and we are all in the hands of the government agencies (FEMA) who thus portray themselves as our protectors. Yet what happens when we question those in authority and how they are taking away all of our freedoms? Will we be the ones detained in these camp sites? And who are they going to round up? Those with guns? Those who ask questions? Those that want to know what's really going on? Does that include any of us? The seekers of truth?

19. CONCLUSION ON BARACK OBAMA

The case for Barack Obama's involvement with the New World Order objective is a compelling one. Most of his policies are socialist in nature, which should come as no surprise since Obama has immersed himself in socialism his entire life. As a child he was influenced by socialists, such as Frank Marshall Davis, the Communist poet in Hawaii etc. As an adult he has associated with a string of characters on the Far Left. William Ayers, the Pentagon bomber; Jeremiah Wright, the Black Liberation philosophy preacher; Tony Rezko, the convicted felon who reaped millions of dollars in housing projects. There are a lot more, and in every case they are people on the Far Left who want to completely change the American Capitalist system, the religious values of the majority of Americans, and, indeed, the entire social structure. Clearly the evidence confirms that Obama is an agent and apostle of the New World Order plan.





He was brought in for a specific reason

1. The advancement of the New World requires advanced dialogue with the nations of the Middle East and Africa to ensure the interests of the colonial powers are protected and not at risk from rogue states. It was clear that the policy of George Bush had alienated the US from many countries in the Middle East, Africa and Asia. Obama's unique heritage which spans Christianity, Islam, Africa, Asia and America provides a significant platform to appeal to the mainstream globally and secure significant support for his initiatives.
2. The advancing of policies that will bring in a socialist state have been incredibly approved by congress. Whether its Obamacare or funding for the banking bailout, Obama has continually managed to break down resistance to ensure his ultimate objectives are accepted and implemented. One of the key weapons that Obama has leveraged is the support from him from the mainstream media. Whether it was his campaign to be elected as US president or his Obamacare health reforms, the media has continued to publicly influence public opinion favorably to him. The media is key and Obama has managed to secure the support of the media in a way that has been startling.
3. The Obama policy has clearly shown itself to be one which seeks to disempower resistance. Whether it's the fairness doctrine, second amendment or plans to regulate the internet, Obama is clearly making moves to disrupt the freedom that many opponents have had in speaking out against his policies. However a review of his executive team and associations clearly confirm a common denominator with his policies. Socialism and World Government.

PRINCE CHARLES OF WALES APOSTLE OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER

20. THE RISE OF THE PRINCE CHARLES PHENOMENA

In 1998 a book was published by Tim Cohen⁹² called the "Antichrist and a Cup of Tea". This 444 page was a significant publication aiming to validate that Prince Charles was the Antichrist. After its release the initial reaction amongst bible prophecy scholars was an initial shock since up until this time, there had been no publication that had been so provocative yet filled with significant historical and relevant detail to back up the arguments. The teachings of Cohen were also at the time further advanced by Joan Veon in her book "Prince Charles - The Sustainable Prince"⁹³ which also came to a similar conclusion regarding Prince Charles and his future role.



After a period of slow recovery and assimilation of its contents the mainstream bible prophecy community slowly came to the conclusion that that whilst significantly informative and full of insight into historical events that have shaped the course of the elite, its unorthodox end conclusion was not consistent with a true biblical interpretation of scripture and so this view has somewhat been marginalized by the mainstream Christian community because of its unorthodox premise. In this section we take a further insight into this viewpoint which has a major cross over with some of the previous sections in this report. At a time when Prince Charles is next in throne as head of the Church of England, one of the wealthiest organizations within the world, there has been a renewed global interest by many as to whether there is a role for Prince Charles during the end times.

21. ADVOCATE FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

In 2000, Prince Charles made a speech at the Highgrove in Gloucestershire in which he said,

"The idea that there is a sacred trust between mankind and our Creator, under which we accept a duty of stewardship for the earth, has been an important feature of most religious and spiritual thought throughout the ages. Even those whose beliefs have not included the existence of a Creator have, nevertheless, adopted a similar position on moral and ethical grounds. It is only recently that this guiding principle has become smothered by almost impenetrable layers of scientific rationalism. I believe that if we are to achieve genuinely sustainable development we will first have to rediscover, or re-acknowledge a sense of the sacred in our dealings with the natural world, and with each other. If literally nothing is held sacred anymore - because it is considered synonymous with superstition or in some other way "irrational" - what is there to prevent us treating our entire world as some "great laboratory of life" with potentially disastrous long term consequences?"⁹⁴

The idea of sustainable development is an idea that continually comes up every time Prince Charles who is increasingly becoming a global champion for world ecology. The theory of sustainable development can be likened to a prism. A prism is shaped like a long, slender pyramid in that it has three sides. As you turn it, the light catches the different sides of the prism, reflecting different colors. The three sides of the sustainable development prism are social, economic, and environmental thinking that mirrors Charles' philosophy of the relationship of the individual to the environment in economic, social, and spiritual terms.

Even though these issues appear to be separate in areas of influence, they have all been integrated as one through the public-private partnership concept. As a result of environmental ideology permeating all aspects of life, it takes on a spiritual aspect that mirrors the Gaia philosophy, thus fulfilling the spirituality found in the Small is Beautiful concept. When the three become one through partnership (agreement), they form the philosophical approach that will change government and life as we have known it in America.


Sustainable development means that all of the world's assets are finite and that we must preserve today's resources for future generations. What this implies is that we must first know how much we have of every resource, which must be counted somehow, then monitored so that it can be determined who is adding to the earth's resources. Volunteerism may just be one way for you and I to "put back" what we have consumed if we have not produced enough at work to cover what we consume. The World Bank and International Monetary Fund are studying ways in which the production of every person on the face of the earth can be measured. Interestingly enough, we are at the mercy of the United Nations and the environmentalists for all of the answers.

What this really involves is control of all of the earth's assets so that no one uses them up or misuses them. It further involves a whole level of law—both national and international—so that these resources are protected, and for anyone who misuses them—according to the environmentalists interpretation—there are penalties and fines. In addition, the concept of personal property rights has to be changed so as to "protect the resources," which means you no longer have the right to live where you want, a concept called "biodiversity", and you no longer have the right to use your property the way you wish, because how it will be used will be dictated by those who are "monitoring" the world's resources, and how you chose to use it has to conform to what is good for all mankind. In other words, personal property rights are given over "to the greater good of the whole," which is collectivism, and which is embodied in socialism.

In 1993, the World Bank established a division for sustainable development. The World Bank has since added four other dimensions for monitoring the assets of the world through sustainable development. They are: (1) natural capital—the minerals of the earth, water, forests, anything natural; (2) manufactured capital—anything built, such as roads, buildings, homes, etc.; (3) human capital—every living person on the earth, particularly their age, health, experience, education, and ability to work; and (4) social capital—how people think, that is, politically correct thinking. The World Bank and IMF for the most part have and are in the process of developing how to measure each of these in every country, including the United States. All UN and UN related organizations and agencies espouse sustainable development. These

concepts permeate UN documents, goals, seminars and pilot projects. They are coming to your house soon.

In February 2008 The World Future Energy Summit in Dubai provided a forum for exchange and discussion on the technologies and strategies needed to meet the ever-more-urgent objective of mitigating the climate impacts of energy use. Prince Charles appeared and spoke in the form of a video hologram – a use of technology which became one of the talking points of the summit and generated an astonishing amount of media coverage around the world.⁹⁵ The Abu Dhabi government had pledged a further \$15 billion of investment in sustainable energy development as part of its aspiration to become a world leader in “future energy” technologies.



Seven months later in an interview with the Telegraph Charles states.

"We are simply not reacting quickly enough and we cannot be anything less than courageous and revolutionary in our approach to tackling climate change...If we are not, the result will be catastrophic for all of us, but with the poorest in our world hit the hardest," he said in an interview in *Weather*, the magazine of the Royal Meteorological Society of which he is the patron.....There are some difficult questions that we must ask ourselves. Do we really understand the dynamics of a world in which energy and food security will become real issues for everyone?.....Can we say, hand on heart, that we are really doing enough to improve energy efficiency?⁹⁶

The Prince has established his own Rainforest Project supported by 12 major companies, NGOs as well as international institutions and organizations, such as the World Bank, to campaign for the preservation of the rainforests.

"My objective is to create the largest ever public-private-NGO partnership - and if by working together we can make forests more alive than dead and stop their global destruction, then perhaps we can use this same approach to tackle the other global climate challenges," he said.⁹⁶

Joan Veon in her book “The Sustainable Prince” says

“There has been much speculation with regard to when Charles will become king but it would seem he does not need a throne as the environmental agenda via sustainable development, and public-private partnerships with the world’s largest and strongest multinational corporations, many of which have cash flows and assets exceeding that of most countries, provide Charles his throne. It appears that he rules behind the scenes, encouraging, expanding, and pushing the agenda of the United Nations, partnering with the World Bank and other global agencies, all of which are advancing world government, a philosophy with which he is not uncomfortable. After all there have been many kings, popes, and world leaders who have tried to attain it. When one takes a look at the 48 companies on his directorate, 23 of them are part of Business Week’s Global 1000 corporations with a market value of over \$1 Trillion. Apart from the manufacturing base he has amassed, travel and tourism is the world’s largest industry and generator of jobs. It provides direct and indirect employment for 200 million people, one in every 9 workers in the world. Its gross domestic product generates more than that of the gross national product (GNP) of the United States in 1995.

It is through public-private partnerships that corporations will replace the rule of a nation’s. When you have a board of appointed people representing government, corporations, the private people sector, and nongovernmental organizations, money rules, not government or the people. What we see in the making is a new form of governance transcending national borders, elected officials, and the will of the people. Little by little, people will be squeezed out and the New World Order will fully emerge.

Lastly, the environment is something all the people of the world share — the air, water, fish and fowl. Whoever controls the environment, controls man. That is the objective and goal. The work that Prince Charles is spearheading has been gaining momentum. He is at the heart of what is going on in the world, as it relates to power, control, philosophy, and “forward” thinking at every level of society. Since Prince Charles lives and breathes sustainable development, a better title for him would be the “Sustainable Prince”.

Because of who he is, doors automatically open and people flock to him. His tentacles are very deep, reaching into every area of life, business, and government. He transcends politics, national borders, and religion. He is very powerful by way of position, lineage, inheritance, importance and influence. He is out to remake society and mold it into his image, which is based on Gaia, a usurpation of Genesis 1. This alone will change life for every person on earth, as they will become slaves to the new twenty-first century feudal landlords, where every crust of bread they eat will be measured against what they produce in order to protect resources for future generations. Is all of this the new divine right of kings? ⁹⁷

22. PRINCE CHARLES – DEFENDER OF FAITH

In 2004 Prince Charles became Supreme Governor of the Church of England and indicated he would prefer to be "defender of faiths" rather than the conventional "defender of the faith" when he becomes King. The heir to the British throne has long been known to have a great interest in faiths

other than Christianity. In 2006 he then went on to state that he would want a multi faith coronation service. ⁹⁸ The reasoning behind Prince Charles comment is due to the fact that The Queen came to the throne at a time when the Church of England was really the only Christian faith in the country and there was little to no influence from Muslims, Sikhs, Hindus around to be in any way evident in the life of the country. In the last 20 years the dynamics of British culture have changed significantly and so Charles has stressed that the next coronation has to be a much more interfaith coronation service next time around. Since it is impractical for a sovereign to be a defender of one faith.



In May 2006 the Church of England Reverend Michael Nazir-Ali, the Bishop of Rochester had attacked the Prince of Wales's intention to be seen as the defender of all faiths, rather than just Christianity. ⁹⁹ He said that that differences between religions made it impossible to defend all of them. Dr Nazir-Ali said that such a change would be impossible.

"The coronation service is such that whoever takes the oaths actually takes oaths to defend the Christian faith," he said. "If, by saying that, he meant that he wanted to uphold the freedom of people of every faith, then I have no quarrel with that. But you can't defend every faith, because there are very serious differences among them." ²⁴⁹

However despite a multi faith based ideal one cannot help feel a major gravitation of Prince Charles to the religion of Islam. In November 2005 Prince Charles travelled to America for an eight-day tour. His mission was to persuade W. Bush and the Americans of “the merits of Islam”. He has voiced private concerns over America’s "confrontational" approach to Muslim countries and its failure to appreciate "Islam's strengths". He thinks the United States has been too intolerant of the religion.

As a result of his visit, the Jewish Weekly Press on November 16th came out with an article written by Cinnamon Stillwell focusing on the "the British descent into dhimmitude with the assistance of the royal family. He says

"The Islamic Caliphate is encroaching and England appears to be going down without a fight. Prince Charles certainly seems to be on board for the changeover." ¹⁰⁰

What is behind this interest in Islam? Why would the Prince of Wales become an ambassador of this Arabian religion? In a 1997 Middle East Quarterly article titled "Prince Charles of Arabia," Ronni L. Gordon and David M. Stillman looked at evidence that Britain's Prince Charles might be a secret convert to Islam. This claim was put forward by no less a personage than the grand mufti of Cyprus.

Prince Charles has made several strong and disturbing public statements endorsing Islam "as the solution to the spiritual and cultural ills of Britain and the West". His views on Islamic terrorism are similar to those expressed by the apologists of Islam. He believes that Islam has been hijacked by the extremists. In a major address on Islam on October 27, 1993, at the Sheldonian Theatre at Oxford where he is a vice patron of the Center for Islamic Studies, Charles declared:

"Our judgment of Islam has been grossly distorted by taking the extremes to the norm. . . . For example, people in this country frequently argue that the Sharia law of the Islamic world is cruel, barbaric and unjust. Our newspapers, above all, love to peddle those unthinking prejudices. The truth is, of course, different and always more complex. My own understanding is that extremes, like the cutting off of hands, are rarely practiced. The guiding principle and spirit of Islamic law, taken straight from the Qur'an, should be those of equity and compassion." ¹⁰¹

An equally significant aspect of his intent was seen in March 2002 when a giant bronze statue of Prince Charles as a winged hero "saving the world" became the centerpiece of a remote Amazonian town in Brazil. The Prince was presented with a model of the sculpture, which shows him with bulging muscles, pinned back ears and only a loincloth to protect his modesty.



During his visit to Tocantins state in central Brazil the Prince was told the full size piece would be erected in a square named in his honor in the main town of Palmas. The inscription on the statute reads "Savior of the World" ¹⁸¹

23. SYMBOLISM IN THE COAT OF ARMS

"He was born in 1948, the same year that Israel became a modern nation. He is a prince of the ancient people who came and destroyed the temple in Jerusalem — the Romans. His lineage chart traces to every great world leader including King David; he is referred to as the "son of David." His name can be calculated to the numerical value of 666 by the ancient Hebrew method in both English and Hebrew. His calling card visually presents the composite beast made from the body of a leopard, the feet of a bear, and the mouth of a lion. His power, throne, and great authority have been given to him by a red dragon. He wants to lead

the European Union (the ancient Roman Empire) and have dominion over all nations. His name is Prince Charles of Wales. He is the leading candidate to be the prophesied antimesiah.²²⁴

One of the most controversial insights into deciphering hidden codes is none other than the way that Tim Cohen addressed the meaning of Prince Charles Coat of Arms which has become a controversial area of debate within the field of end time prophecy. Cohen's assertion was that the imagery described in Revelation 13 and Daniel 7 was evident in the coat of Arms which is specific only to Prince Charles of Wales.

Taken from his book "The Antichrist and a Cup of Tea"⁹² the meaning of the coat of arms is defined in that the beast on the left-hand side of Charles' coat of arms has the head and mouth of a lion, the body of a leopard, and the feet of a bear. Typically in heraldry, lions have only three claws per foot while bears will have four or five. The lion in this case has four claws and thus resembles those of a bear.

Traditionally in heraldry, the lion has represented England, however Prince Charles' heraldic representation is totally unique in history even differing from that of his mother's, Queen Elizabeth, whose lion has the typical three claws per foot. The assertion is that this ties in with Revelation 13.

"And the beast which I saw was like unto a leopard, and his feet were as the feet of a bear, and his mouth as the mouth of a lion: and the dragon gave him his power, and his seat, and great authority." Rev 13:2 KJV



The region presented on the left is from the top of Charles' shield and is thus called the "head" of the overall coat of arms. Pictured is another lion with the eldest-son label around its neck standing on top of a crown and a "gold helm." The helm is made up of seven curved bars or "horns." These seven horns, along with the three horns from the eldest-son label make a total of 10 horns in the head region of the coat of arms.

"And of the ten horns that were in his head, and of the other which came up, and before whom three fell; even of that horn that had eyes, and a mouth that spake very great things, whose look was more stout than his fellows." Dan 7:20 KJV

Cohen asserts that Daniel speaks of 10 horns in his head, i.e., singular head, not plural. The word for "head" here is the Aramaic noun "resh" which corresponds to the Hebrew "rosh." It often refers to the head as a body part, or could be that of an animal or statue. It sometimes refers to a leader or "chief" as well.

To the right of the head of the coat of arms is a representation of a unicorn. "In heraldry, this unicorn represents not only Scotland, but also a counterfeit Christ" 133. Symbolically, the unicorn in the past has represented Alexander the Great (Dan 8:5, goat with one horn) and Antiochus Epiphanes, a type of anti-Christ (Dan 8:9, "a little horn"). Mythologically, the unicorn probably originated in ancient Babylon and today is a symbol adopted by New Agers to represent "a great world leader" whom they expect to bring world peace to earth. In heraldry, and even historical representations, the unicorn's eyes are round and black, i.e., no visible eye-whites. (Queen Elizabeth's heraldic unicorn is depicted as thus.) Charles' design has the eyes shaped more like those of a human with noticeable eye-whites.

"...and, behold, **in this horn were eyes like the eyes of man**, and a mouth speaking great things." Dan 7:8 KJV

At the base of the coat of arms is the heraldic symbol of Wales, the red dragon. The flag of Wales, approved in 1953, pictures a red dragon on a green and white flag with the motto "Y Ddraig Goch Ddyry Cychwyn," meaning "The red dragon gives the lead".¹³⁴

Opposite the red dragon is Charles' badge as the heir-apparent to the British throne. It consists of three ostrich feathers surrounded by a crown with the motto Ich Dien. The meaning of Ich Dien is "I serve" in German. In old Welsh, Eich Dyn, as some believe the motto is a corruption of, is "Your man." The motto and ostrich feathers are associated with "the Black Prince" (Edward III's son). Reading the motto and symbols from right to left, the following message is possibly conveyed :

Ich, the Black Prince, Dien the Red Drago (I, the Black Prince, serve the Red Dragon)

The theology behind the royal family mirrors the Christian cultic view known as British Israelism. In this belief system which was actually the theology taught by the Worldwide Church of God, 'British Israel' is interpreted as being the literal descendants of the lost ten-tribed House of Israel who are found today in the British Commonwealth of Nations, the United States of America, and certain areas of north-western Europe, particularly Denmark, Sweden, Norway and Holland. As a result this, many of the themes found within the Commonwealth ideology are founded on historical principles with ancient Israel.

Israel has become "a nation and a company of nations" (Genesis 35:11) - the U.S.A. (a nation), and the British Commonwealth (a company of nations). The people to be reigned over by David's throne were to be descendants of the tribes of Israel, who migrated from Palestine through Europe to the "appointed place" (2 Samuel 7:10), identified as the (British) "Isles" (Isaiah 41:1).

The British Coat of Arms, like all heraldry, contains much symbolism and provides strong evidence of the nation's origin and ancestry. It clearly displays the national emblems of ancient Israel. The lion and the unicorn supporting the shield are both identifications of the Israel nation. Speaking of Israel, the Bible says, ". . . he hath as it were the strength of a UNICORN ... he couched, he lay down as a LION, and as a GREAT LION who shall stir him up?" (Numbers 24:8-9).

In the beginnings of the Bible story we read how Israel, whose former name was Jacob, obtained the God-given birthright of an everlasting national covenant. Under the shield is a motto, "Dieu et mon droit" meaning "GOD AND MY RIGHT", referring to this birthright.

The other motto around the shield, "Honi soit qui mal y pense" means "SHAME ON HIM WHO THINKS EVIL OF IT." This again was God's covenant promise to Israel, when He declared, "I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee" (Genesis 12). The shield is symbolic of God's assurance to David, King of Israel, that his house and kingdom would be established forever (II Samuel 7).

The two quadrants (first and third) containing the lions passant guardant represent England, whilst the second quadrant, containing the lion rampant, represents Scotland. The Harp, displayed in the fourth quadrant of the shield, is associated with David (as recorded in I Samuel 16:23) and represents Ireland.

The Crowns surmounting the helmet and on the lion and unicorn symbolize Kingship. Abraham and Sarah were promised that their descendants would be kings (Genesis 17:5-6). This was to be Israel's high calling -- to be a nation of kings ruling as God's instruments of blessing.

It is therefore a belief that a British-led Anglo-Empire uniting the world in 'peace' will bring forth a Merovingian Arthurian "Davidic"- like leader to the forefront.

24. THE HOUSE OF WINDSOR MEROVINGIAN BLOODLINE

Two Royal Families in Great Britain have claimed to be descendants of the Royal House of David, a Bloodline which supposedly produced many of the ruling Houses of Europe today, including the British Monarchy and current ruling House of Windsor. The other family important to this lineage is the Scottish House of Stuart (Spencer), whose most infamous descendant was the late Princess Diana. While there is discrepancy as to whether or not the Windsor claims to the Throne of England are legitimate (being descendants of the Germanic House of Saxe-Coburg-Gotha), many of the Anglo Israelism¹⁸² beliefs agree that the Stuart lineage are not only the rightful heirs to the Throne of England (and Scotland), yet also to the Throne of David, and Israel itself.

In light of this, it was therefore of paramount importance that the Windsor's found out a 'Virgin' of the Merovingian Bloodline to marry into, in effect, to finally legitimize their long held Occult power and authority claimed of them being descendants of Crusader Godfrey de Bouillon, who was given the honor of the Kingdom and declared "King of Jerusalem" in 1099, as well as creating what they see would be a unique Christ descendant from such a union of their draconic lineages that would forever legitimize their tight grasp on the British Monarchy.

Therefore this intriguing and most infamous of arranged marriages between Charles and Grail Princess Diana was not hatched solely by the Windsor's themselves, it was of far more ancient design and connected to the Knights Templar. Interestingly enough, six months after the Royal Wedding, while Diana was already three months pregnant, came the release of Holy Blood Holy Grail in which the three authors made the shocking and blasphemous claim of the secret of the Knights Templar. This 'secret' being propagated to the world in 1982 contained the so called genealogical record of the Davidic Bloodline passing thru Christ and His descendants to become the Frankish Merovingian Kings, a secret which curiously became the foundation for the modern Occult itself, connected to their prophecy about the birth of a future Antichrist figure.

This ancient secret that included a unique Bloodline supposedly descended from King David was so powerfully wrought with arcane knowledge that it threatened the gluttonous ruling classes of Europe and Church, granting the original Templar's enough power to rival both Europe's nobles and the Church itself with extinction. The Knights Templar's practiced and prospered, worshiping a god named Baphomet which many believed to be the Devil. In time the Church, as well as the new King of France (who it is said was awash in Templar debt) had enough, the decree went out and the once untouchable Knights Templar who had grown so vastly powerful in such a short time, were rounded up and put to death wherever they were found, yet many were not found.

The year was 1313, and the abrupt disappearance of a major part of the European infrastructure left some to speculate that Templar's had escaped into the underground, taking with them their vast wealth, power, and knowledge. And so it was, from France into Scotland, the Templar's took with them their heretical lineage, science, and secrets where they patiently waited, and subtly worked.

The British Royal Family have long been involved with the occult and evidence abounds regarding their family bloodline. The Merovingian Kings and their supposed Holy Bloodline (and their true progenitor), have a rich and twisted history all their own, yet is directly connected to the supposed findings of the Templar's in that they are the connective link between the Christ lineage and European Royalty, which is believed to include the Hapsburgs, Plantagenets, and Stuarts, among others. John Dale provides a detailed examination of the Royal family and the occult in his book "The Prince and the Paranormal - The Psychic Bloodline of the Royal Family."¹⁰⁷

"This same bloodline also includes key Scottish families like the Lords of Galloway and the Comyns; Marie-Louise of Austria, who married Napoleon Bonaparte; Kaiser Wilhelm II, the king of Germany at the time of the First World War; and Maximilian, the Habsburg emperor of Mexico, who died in 1867. On and on it goes into country after country. This bloodline connects into every surviving royal family in Europe, including King Juan Carlos of Spain and the Dutch, Swedish, and Danish royal lines."¹⁰⁴

However, what is seen by them to be another incarnation of the Christ must give way to the far more ancient warnings in Scripture which speak of an earthly King that arises in the place of Christ, instead of Christ, who is perceived to have all the credentials to make himself the World Messiah yet who is the Antichrist. Scriptures refer to this one as the last Evil King and Beast who together with his Prophet, shall deceive the nations and Israel into a covenant made not with peace and security, yet with death and hell. However some believe that the Royal Family did descend not from David but from Cain and the tribe of Dan.

The case for Prince Charles being the Antichrist is an interesting and compelling one. Whilst Cohen's views have been somewhat marginalized by mainstream bible prophecy teachers it has caught support with some very significant ministries such as Cutting Edge Ministries although their assertion is that it will be Prince William and not Prince Charles that will be the prophesied man of Sin. On their website they state.

Step 1 - In line with our belief that Antichrist will come from the House of Windsor, Prince William joined the "Order of the Garter", a secret society formed in 1348 which has long exercised great control over the global Illuminati.

Step 2 - Prince Charles and Queen Elizabeth II announce plans to put Prince William through an unprecedented course on how to be a King -- a course of training never done before in all England's long history! Is William going to be trained to be Antichrist, the coming "Man of Lawlessness"?¹⁸³

However it hasn't only been Tom Cohen that has been a staunch supporter of this interpretation. The controversial enigma, Monte Judah of Lion and Lamb Ministries, (not to be confused with the Lamb and Lion Ministries of David Reagan) has also written extensively on identifying Prince Charles as the Antichrist. It should be noted that Tim Cohen met with Monte Judah in 1987, and subsequently included the same ideas/calculations concerning using gematria in English and Hebrew to identify "Prince Charles of Wales" and his name/title also as equalling 666.

Monte Judah in 2001 wrote an article called "The Prince who is to come" where he stated,

"...I have been asked many times if Prince Charles knows who he is. That is, if he is the antimessiah, does he know that he is. My answer is Yes. I believe that the antimessiah is patiently waiting for his time just as the Messiah waited for His. I believe he is trusting in the promise that was given by his father...Am I afraid of what Prince Charles might do with me identifying him? Nope. I'm small potatoes in this world. I'm just the "him" who did the calculation. "Let him who has understanding calculate the number of the beast..."

However whilst Monte Judah has been associated with significant controversy regarding his theology and failed end time predictions there are more credible authors who also have given fuel to the idea of Prince Charles being a coming world ruler.

The late Joan Veon in her book "The Sustainable Prince" says

"There has been much speculation with regard to when Charles will become king but it would seem he does not need a throne as the environmental agenda via sustainable development, and public-private partnerships with the world's largest and strongest multinational corporations, many of which have cash flows and assets exceeding that of most countries, provide Charles his throne. It appears that he rules behind the scenes, encouraging, expanding, and pushing the agenda of the United Nations, partnering with the World Bank and other global agencies, all of which are advancing world government, a philosophy with which he is not uncomfortable.

After all there have been many kings, popes, and world leaders who have tried to attain it. When one takes a look at the 48 companies on his directorate, 23 of them are part of Business Week's Global 1000 corporations with a market value of over \$1 Trillion. Apart from the manufacturing base he has amassed, travel and tourism is the world's largest industry and generator of jobs. It provides direct and indirect employment for 200 million people, one in every 9 workers in the world. Its gross domestic product generates more than that of the gross national product (GNP) of the United States in 1995.

It is through public-private partnerships that corporations will replace the rule of a nation's. When you have a board of appointed people representing government, corporations, the private people sector, and nongovernmental organizations, money rules, not government or the people. What we see in the making is a new form of governance transcending national borders, elected officials, and the will of the people. Little by little, people will be squeezed out and the New World Order will fully emerge.

Lastly, the environment is something all the people of the world share — the air, water, fish and fowl. Whoever controls the environment, controls man. That is the objective and goal. The work that Prince Charles is spearheading has been gaining momentum. He is at the heart of what is going on in the world, as it relates to power, control, philosophy, and

“forward” thinking at every level of society. Since Prince Charles lives and breathes sustainable development, a better title for him would be the “Sustainable Prince”.

Because of who he is, doors automatically open and people flock to him. His tentacles are very deep, reaching into every area of life, business, and government. He transcends politics, national borders, and religion. He is very powerful by way of position, lineage, inheritance, importance and influence. He is out to remake society and mold it into his image, which is based on Gaia, a usurpation of Genesis 1. This alone will change life for every person on earth, as they will become slaves to the new twenty-first century feudal landlords, where every crust of bread they eat will be measured against what they produce in order to protect resources for future generations. Is all of this the new divine right of kings?”

However an interesting thing happened around 2007 onwards. It became rather noticeable that the “Prince Charles Antichrist fever” was somewhat dissipating and that even some of its staunch supporters were making statements which seemed to suggest that it was not so much Prince Charles that we should be looking at but rather his eldest son, Prince William.



Rumours had been surfacing about Prince William as far back as the death of his mother Diana amidst controversial circumstances. Grace Powers a researcher and staunch supporter of the “Prince William Antichrist” theory states

At precisely 9:03pm, on June 21st, 1982, Grail Princess Diana of Wales gave birth to PRINCE WILLIAM ARTHUR PHILIP LOUIS WINDSOR and England was given its future King. He was born during a Solar Eclipse. On the eve of his birth, Druids, Witches, and Satanists from all over the world celebrated at pagan sites throughout Scotland, England, and Wales. The intense celebration carried on far into the night with sexual orgies, sacrifices, black masses, and Satanic worship on this longest day of the year – the summer solstice. The ancient Druids had already prophesied that some time in the future on June 21st, their Sun King would be born of a Princess. William’s birth was not only accompanied by a Solar Eclipse but by an even rarer Lunar Eclipse and by strange alignments of the stars and planets. As foretold by the eclipses, the name ‘Diana’ was given to the ancient Moon Goddess who gave birth to the Sun Coinciding with William’s birth was the release of the 1982 Hollywood film The Omen III: Final Conflict It is no coincidence that the Illuminati-owned publishing houses and movie studios are releasing timely and influential propaganda to advance their one world government agenda.

Grace Power provides additional ammunition for propelling William as the coming “World King”.

According to reports by the BBC and the Guardian (UK), Princess Diana stated to her lawyers in October 1995 that the Queen (now 82) would abdicate. Maggie Rae, Diana’s former divorce lawyer said that Diana predicted the succession to the throne would skip a generation and that her son, Prince William, would be made king. Diana confided in Rae “several times” that she had reason to believe William would be picked over Charles. The Princess achieved “goddess” status and won the hearts, minds, adoration and sympathy of the world when she reportedly died in the arms of a Muslim man. As

the look-alike “perfect” son of a goddess, Prince William is the most eligible candidate for the role of leader of the New World Order when he emerges in 2015 with a global “peace plan”.

No public figure is immune to bad publicity except one – Prince William. The Rothschild controlled Associated Press have protected their “demi-god” from all criticism, scandal and tabloid attacks on his character by enforcing a “hands off” policy and by tightening the choke chain on journalists. To keep their jobs, journalists must portray William as the responsible, handsome, self-effacing heir to the throne. By contrast, William’s carrot-topped, pot-smoking, boozing brother Harry is portrayed as the bad Prince who parties too hard and has been caught wearing a Nazi uniform.


London’s Daily Mirror reports that a majority of Britons want Prince William as their next British monarch instead of Prince Charles. According to opinion polls, over 75% want to retain the monarchy and 81 per cent feel that Queen Elizabeth II should consider stepping down soon. Former BBC royal correspondent Jennie Bond commented that Prince Charles seems to have a real image problem caused by the breakdown of his marriage to Diana.”

Some final points which are used to support the “Prince William Antichrist” theory include an incident which took place during the royal wedding of Prince William and Katie Middleton in 2011. The Bishop of London’s speech at the royal wedding had internet forums buzzing with speculation on whether or not Prince William is the Antichrist. Addressing the royal couple Richard John Carew Chartres, who is the Bishop of London, quoted St Catherine of Siena whose festival day coincided with the royal wedding. He said

“Be who God meant you to be and you will set the world on fire.”



Goat of Mendes



This has internet chat forums on overdrive although what he meant by this statement was never clarified.

Another reputable author Tracy Twyman also supports the idea of Prince William being a coming Antichrist. She says,

“Born on the Summer Solstice during a solar eclipse, Prince William is a living embodiment of the dying and reborn solar king of the ancient world. This is the primordial archetype of kingship, the “Lord of the Earth,” upon which all monarchs throughout history have modeled themselves. Through his descent from the Spencer family, William carries a more illustrious pedigree than any other monarch to sit on the throne of England. His bloodline can be traced back to the biblical House of David, and possibly Jesus himself, as well to the historical figure remembered as Satan. His marriage to Kate Middleton has been patterned on the ancient pagan May Day rituals of king sacrifice and sex magic. His bride has been quite consciously chosen to be modeled as a new Diana, a living incarnation of that “virgin queen” goddess referred to in St. John’s Revelation as the Whore of Babylon. Learn why Prince William could in fact be that final King of the World prophesied in the Bible.”

Dr. Joye Pugh author of the book Eden Knowledge of Good & Evil actually believes that Prince William was cloned from the Shroud of Turin. She says,

“The Shroud of Turin is a legitimate artifact from Jesus' crucifixion and has been used, by modern science, for nefarious purposes. The Shroud is real.....Carbon dating of this artifact may have been a conspiracy to conceal its legitimacy. Evidence found in the Book of Revelation suggests that the Antichrist is a demonic clone. Clones do not have a soul which makes them the perfect vehicle for demonic influence.”

Joye's believes that Princess Diana was used by the Royal Family as a "sacrificial lamb" to usher in the Antichrist - Prince William. She believes the Shroud of Turin will eventually be proven legitimate and Prince William's DNA will match Christ's supposed DNA taken from the shroud. She believes when it is revealed that Prince William shares the same DNA as Christ (cloned), the public will embrace him as the Messiah.

JAVIER SOLANA

APOSTLE OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER

25. JAVIER SOLANA: ARCHITECT OF THE EUROPEAN SUPER POWER

“Javier [Solana] is I think a personal incarnation of the world’s most effective institution of global governance, namely himself.” Carlos Pascual, Co-Director, Managing Global Insecurity Project; Vice President and Director, Foreign Policy The Brookings Institution in an introduction to [A Plan for Action: Renewed American Leadership and International Cooperation for the 21st Century](#)

Javier is one of Europe’s most powerful men. Between 1982 and 1995 he held powerful positions in the Spanish government including that of the Minister for Culture, Minister of Science and Education and lastly as Minister for Foreign Affairs (1992-1995). For most of those years he was also the official spokesman for the Spanish government. When it was Spain's turn to hold the rotating chairmanships/presidencies of the Council of the European Union and the Western European Union, Solana was designated by Spain to head those posts. Those dual posts would coincide in 1995.

On November 20, 1995, during Spain's turn at the rotating six month presidency of the European Union, Javier Solana concluded a treaty between the European Union and Israel. the Euro-Med agreement, also known as the Barcelona Process, was signed between many nations including Israel. The European Neighborhood Policy (ENP) went into effect January 1, 2007 and according to Javier Solana it "breathes new life" to the Barcelona Process of 1995. The agreement included Germany, Algeria, Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Egypt, Spain, Finland, France, Greece, Ireland, Israel).

Javier Solana did most of the spearheading and coordination of the Barcelona Conference, the launching pad of the 27 nation Barcelona Process expected to lead to a Mediterranean free trade zone by 2010. This conference was opened by him on November 27, 1995. He opened by declaring that it was auspicious that it began on the 900th anniversary of the calling of the first crusade by Pope Urban II, and commented "What a lot of intolerance and with Solana gaining credit for what he called "a process to foster cultural and economic unity in the Mediterranean region".

In December 5, 1995, Solana became the new Secretary-General of NATO, replacing Willy Claes who had been forced to resign in a corruption scandal. His appointment created controversy as, in the past, he had been an opponent of NATO. He had written a pamphlet called 50 Reasons to say no to NATO, and had been on a US subversives list.

On March 24, 1998, Solana as head of NATO authorized air attacks on military and civilian targets in Yugoslavia without authorization from Security Council because of the opposition of veto-bearing Russia, an historic Orthodox Slav ally of Serbia. He justified the attacks on humanitarian grounds, and on the responsibility of NATO to keep peace in Europe and to prevent ethnic cleansings and genocide similar to the ones that happened during the Bosnian War (1992-1995).

After leaving NATO, Solana took up a role in the European Union in 1997. Earlier in the year, he was appointed by the Cologne European Council as Secretary-General of the Council of the European Union. An administrative position but it was decided that the Secretary-General would also be appointed High Representative for the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP). In this role he represented the EU abroad where there was an agreed common policy. He took up the post in November 1999, shortly after

standing down from NATO. The post has a budget of €40 million, most of which goes to Balkan operations.

From 25 November 1999 he was also appointed Secretary-General of Western European Union (WEU), overseeing the transfer of responsibilities from that organization to the CFSP. In 2004 his 5 year mandate was renewed. He has also become president of the European Defense Agency.

In June 2004, the Council of the European Union Selected Javier Solana as the first Foreign Minister under the new EU Constitution.

Dr Javier Solana is now one of Europe's most significant diplomat. Presently the High Representative for the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Secretary-General of both the Council of the European Union (EU) and the Western European Union (WEU) he also sits as a member of the Club of Rome and the Bilderberg Group. In 2007 he was also voted the winner of the prestigious International Charlemagne award.⁵⁶



An excerpt from the Citation of the Board of Directors of the Society for the Conferring of the International Charlemagne Prize of Aachen⁵⁷ In Honour Dr. Javier Solana Madariaga is as follows:

“United Europe therefore cannot afford to do without a community foreign and security policy. For only in the framework of community action can the preservation of common values and basic interests, the independence and the intactness of the European Union be ensured. And only in the framework of common action can Europe do true justice to its responsibility to settle existing imbalances and to foster peace in the world..... Dr. Javier Solana Madariaga has become a beacon of hope for the deepening of the political dimension of Europe, the Euro-Atlantic partnership and the European Union's declared purpose to render a key contribution to world peace by means of community action based on common values and convictions....the Board of Directors of the Society for the Conferring of the International Charlemagne Prize of Aachen also expressly appeals to the member states of the EU to attach even more importance in future to the common foreign and security policy as a central and purpose-endowing component of the house of Europe.”⁵⁸

The real power in Europe, at least as presently structured, is with the Council of Ministers of the European Union. It's Secretary General and the one who runs its affairs -military and foreign policy is Javier Solana. The European Commission serves only to make recommendations to the Council of Ministers. This makes Javier Solana one of the most powerful and influential individuals in Europe. Javier Solana has long been calling for a complete consolidation of all European military facilities of all European Union member countries.


We must make the best use of all the resources at our disposal. Collectively these are substantial: as the world's largest aid donor we already make an important financial contribution to aid programmes and to humanitarian and reconstruction assistance. We have a global diplomatic network more than twice as big as that of the US State Department. We can use our diplomatic, economic and financial muscle to

influence the behavior of recalcitrant parties and aggressors. But until now we have been unable to add military means to the measures available. This is now changing. Our aim is to integrate our military forces into a global crisis management strategy." ²²⁵

In July 2007, foreign ministers from 10 European nations wrote an open letter to Tony Blair—the Quartet’s new Middle East envoy—asking him to convene an international conference on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and to urge Israel to make more concessions to Palestinian Authority president Mahmoud Abbas. The foreign ministers believe the Quartet’s Road Map for Peace has failed, and they wanted the conference to consider the possibility of sending in an international peacekeeping force.

This development has intrigued some students of Bible prophecy who wonder if the leaders of these 10 European nations may become the foretold 10 kings that will be led by the Antichrist according to Revelation 17:12 as Europe begins to expand its military presence in specific operations across the globe.

“Once Europe's commercial power is developed, they will be faced with another problem. Their new found wealth must now be protected. Europe will immediately realize the necessity of developing a strong military establishment, an all European army to guard their overflowing coffers.....to protect the energy routes from the middle east.” ²²⁸



According to an article appearing today in the [international edition of the New York Times](#) (the International Herald Tribune), the European Union's fleet is taking over Somalian anti-piracy operations from NATO. Europe plans to manage the operation with six (6) warships and three (3) maritime anti-reconnaissance aircraft. The operation, per Javier Solana, is named "Operation Atalanta." Breaking news this morning was that a cruise vessel with 1,000 aboard was attacked by pirates in the Gulf of Aden. The ships are being supplied by England, France, Greece, and Germany. Quoting from the International Herald Tribune article:

"The task force – codenamed Operation Atalanta – will be the EU's first naval operation. It will have the same duties as the NATO mission, including escorting cargo vessels, protecting merchant ships and

detering pirate attacks.

"These tasks will be done with very robust rules of engagement," Solana told reporters on the sidelines of a meeting of NATO foreign ministers."

Solana believes that a weak Europe” is not in America's interest“ which has been one of the reasons that he has been an influential factor in devising a common European Army.

“The big challenges in world politics are usually for both the USA and the EU....Of course, Europe should invest more in its military capabilities, I have said that for years.” ²²⁶

26. SOLANA’S PLAN FOR GREATER EUROPEAN INFLUENCE NOW IN FORCE

In March 2008, German Chancellor Angela Merkel and then French President Nicolas Sarkozy commented on a report that had emerged regarding the creation of a Mediterranean Union, a new political body for nations on the shores of the Mediterranean Sea (Southern Europe and North Africa) which would be open to any of the 27 European Union nations that wanted to join it. Previously Merkel and Sarkozy had been

at odds for months over the 'Mediterranean Union', with the Germans upset at being left out of what they perceived as a unilateral French project.



After dinner at a government mansion in Hanover in March 2008, Sarkozy denied there had been any dispute. 'We are in agreement in principle and in detail,' he said. 'We've done some good work,' Merkel added. The two leaders later proposed at an EU summit in 2008 that the Mediterranean Union would be adopted as an extension of Javier Solana's EU diplomatic initiative known as the Barcelona Process.

Sarkozy officially launched in July 2008 the new international body with 43 member nations aimed at ending conflict in the Middle East. The Mediterranean Union's remit would be to tackle issues such as regional unrest, immigration to pollution.

At the summit's opening in Paris, Sarkozy said its aim was to ensure the region's people could love each other instead of making war. Sarkozy urged Middle Eastern countries involved in long-running conflicts to end the deadly spiral of war and violence, as European nations had done by making peace with each other during the 20th Century.

Comprising 27 EU members with states from north Africa, the Balkans, Israel and the Arab world, the union's membership would include 756m people from Western Europe to the Jordanian desert. Welcoming the presence of Arab states alongside Israel, Greece alongside Turkey and Morocco alongside Algeria, Sarkozy said the group would not be "north against south, not Europe against the rest... but united".

He outlined the group's determination to focus on concrete projects focusing on the environment, immigration, security cooperation, transport and education. This initiative has now been enhanced by the European Union neighborhood watch policy which has extended this vision even further.

"This prophecy (Daniel 7) anticipates that there will be ten countries originally related to the Roman Empire that will constitute the Roman Empire in its revived form. The names of these countries are not known but it can be presumed that Italy, the capital country would be included along with major countries in Southern Europe and possibly some countries of Western Asia and Northern Africa which were included in the ancient Roman Empire. Since the names of countries are not given and there are more than ten nations in the ancient Roman Empire, it leaves some flexibility in the fulfillment."²²⁹

Both Sarkozy and Merkel have previously called for a new global financial order, along with Top EU officials and former British Prime minister Gordon Brown, who had repeatedly stated that the financial crisis should be used to make world leaders agree to fresh rules and regulations.



The European Parliament sits in Strasbourg, a city which symbolizes the dream of Franco-German integration and was the heart of the Holy Roman Empire of Charlemagne. Its flag was inspired by the halo of twelve stars around pictures of the Madonna that appears prominently on Strasbourg Cathedral's Council of Europe stained-glass window, unveiled to the world on 11th December 1955 coinciding with the Roman Catholic feast of the Immaculate Conception. Pope John Paul II dedicated the EU to the Virgin Mary. On the dome of the new £8-million Parliament Building in Strasbourg there is a colossal painting of the Woman riding the Beast.

In the Parliamentary Offices building PE1 there is a huge painting of a practically naked Woman riding the Beast. Outside the new Council of Europe building there is a bronze statue of the Woman riding the Beast which is riding the waves. On the wall of the Diners' Club lounge in the EU's administrative capital Brussels is a picture of the Woman and the Beast together! This image has appeared on EU postage stamps, including the British one issued in 1984 to commemorate the second election to the European Parliament. It is the sign and symbol of Europe and points the way to what is planned. The establishment of a socialist United States of Europe and modern day revival of the Roman Empire. This is further expounded upon in part 3 of this series.



The representation of Europe as a woman riding a bull has been a motif in European art since Greco-Roman times, and in the eighth century Europa gained ecclesiastical usage under Charlemagne. Today, Europe is called Europa in the German language and in most other Germanic languages (except English). The actual term 'Europe' is generally derived from the Greek 'eurys' (broad) and 'ops' (face), which is an allusion to 'the broad face of the earth'. The currency unit symbol of the Bank of Europe is portrayed as a winged bull surrounded by the European stars from the European flag. Winged bull? This was an Assyrian symbol, and for those that understand history and prophecy, it may be no coincidence that the Central Bank of Europe is based in Germany, wherein dwell the militaristic descendants of ancient Assur, Genesis 10:22.

FOOTNOTES

1. This view is known as the pre-millennial view of the end times. One in which Jesus Christ will return to earth and establish a millennial reign of 1000 years in a literal interpretation of Revelation 20:6.

Postmillennialists generally see the millennial kingdom as a "golden age" ushered in by the church through the triumph of the gospel, not only in bringing individuals to salvation, but also in dominating culture. In this view, Christ's return is at the culmination (conclusion) of this millennial age, hence the name post-millennial.

Amillennialists believe that the "thousand years" of Revelation 20 is a figurative number indicating the whole period between Jesus' resurrection and his return (i.e. the current church age). In this view Christ now reigns and rules from heaven in and through the church bringing people into the kingdom of God through the preaching of the gospel.

2. Crooked Serpent (Isaiah 27:1), The proud man who enlargeth his desires as hell, and is as death, and cannot be satisfied, but gathereth unto him all nations, and heapeth unto him all peoples (Habbakuk 2:5), The Mighty Man (Psalms 52:1), The Adversary who shall break Israel's strength and spoil her palaces (Amos 3:11), The head over Many Countries (Psalms 110:6), Destroyer of the Gentiles (Jeremiah 4:7), The King of Babylon (Isaiah 30:31-33), The profane wicked Prince of Israel (Ezekiel 21:25), The one who would come in his own name (John 5:43), The Man of Sin and The Son of Perdition (II Thessalonians 2:7)

3. Orthodox Judaism views itself as the continuation of the beliefs and practices of normative Judaism, as accepted by the Jewish nation at Mt. Sinai and codified in successive generations in an ongoing process that continues to this day. Orthodox Judaism believes that both the Written and Oral Torah are of divine origin, and represent the word of G-d. This is similar to the view of the Conservative movement, but the Orthodox movement holds that such information (except for scribal errors) is the exact word of God and does not represent any human creativity or influence. For the Orthodox, the term "Torah" refers to the "Written Law" as interpreted by the "Oral Law," interpreted in turn by the Rishonim (Medieval commentators), and eventually codified in the Codice.

The Talmud in contrast is a collection of man-made interpretations of the Pentateuch (Genesis through Deuteronomy) against which Jesus railed so intensely. The Talmud was taught as being equal with God's inspired Word, even though the practical effect of its teachings were so often the exact opposite of what God had intended. The teachings within the Talmud are saturated with Babylonian and Masonic belief systems and are today wrongly interpreted and confused as being sacred Jewish scripture equivalent to the Torah.

4. Joseph Chambers is a Bible prophecy scholar who supported the view that Babylon would be rebuilt and become the capital of the coming Antichrist Kingdom. However Chambers did not infer that Hussein would be the Antichrist but rather a pawn orchestrating the rebuilding of Babylon. Many of the books and literature regarding Saddam Hussein being the Antichrist are no longer in existence as many ministries withdrew such content when it became obviously clear that Saddam was not the Antichrist and especially after his overthrow in 2003.

5. In Jack Van Impe's 11:59 and Counting on Page 57-60 he quotes from the Epistle of Barnabas, the Jewish Talmud and Archbishop James Ussher regarding the year 1997 – 2000 AD as the climax of the ages.

The late Barry Smith in his book "I Spy With My Little Eye" writes on page 8, "By the 1st January 2000, the economy as we know it today, will cease to exist!" Then again on page 2, "The author is racing to finish this book and get it out to the public before 31st December 1999. Why? Because within a period of two months (Nov/December 1999), nobody will be buying books as the Old World (sic) Order comes to a close and the New World Order commences on the 1st January 2000." On pages 103, 107 and 116 we read, "The New World Order, announced by Skull and Bones member, ex-U.S. President George Bush, commences at 12:01 a.m., 1st January 2000", "Welcome to the New World Order" and "The bubble will burst at the end of 1999. Smith also had believed that Henry Kissinger was the Antichrist.

6. The early church fathers fall into three basic categories, Apostolic Fathers, Ante-Nicene Church Fathers and Post-Nicene Church Fathers. The Apostolic Church Fathers were the ones like Clement of Rome who were contemporary with the Apostles

and were probably taught by them, carrying on the tradition and teaching of the Apostles themselves. Linus, mentioned in 2 Timothy 4:21, became the bishop of Rome after Peter was martyred, and Clement took over from Linus. Both Linus and Clement of Rome, therefore, are considered Apostolic Fathers. However, there appear to be no writings of Linus that have survived, while many of the writings of Clement of Rome survived. The actual Apostolic Fathers who were taught by the apostles would have largely all passed from the scene by the beginnings of the second century, except for those few that might have been disciples of John the Apostle, such as Polycarp. John died in Ephesus around 99 A. D.

The Ante-Nicene Fathers were those who were after the Apostolic Fathers and before the Council of Nicea in 325 A. D. Such luminaries as Irenaeus, Ignatius, and Justin Martyr are Ante-Nicene Fathers. After the Council of Nicea in 325 A. D. arose the Church Fathers who are considered Post-Nicene. Here, there are such noted men as Augustine, bishop of Hippo, who is often called the father of the Church (Roman Catholic Church) because of his great work in Church doctrine; Chrysostom, the golden-mouthed, for his excellent oratorical skills; and Eusebius, who wrote a history of the Church from the birth of Jesus to 324 A. D., one year before the Council of Nicea. He is included in the Post-Nicene era since he did not write his history until after the Council of Nicea was held. Other Post-Nicene Fathers were

Jerome, who translated the Greek New Testament into the Latin Vulgate, and Ambrose, who was largely responsible for the emperor Constantine being converted to Christianity

The Apostolic Fathers were very concerned about the proclamation of the Gospel being just as the Apostles themselves proclaimed it. They were not interested in formulating theological doctrine, for the Gospel they had learned from the Apostles was quite sufficient for them as far as orthodoxy was concerned. The Apostolic Fathers were as zealous as the Apostles themselves in rooting out and exposing any false doctrine that began to crop up here and there. The orthodoxy of the message was preserved by the Apostolic Fathers' desire to stay as true to the Gospel taught to them by the Apostles as they possibly could.

The Ante-Nicene Fathers also tried to stay as true to the Gospel they had been taught as possible, but they had an additional worry not present with the Apostolic Fathers. Now there were several spurious writings claiming to have the same weight as established writings from the likes of Paul, Peter, and Luke. The reason for these spurious documents was quite evident, for if the Body of Christ could be persuaded that a false document was the same as a document that was seen as genuine, then the false document would be seen as genuine also. So the Ante-Nicene Fathers begin to spend a lot of their time defending the Christian faith from false doctrine, and this led to the beginnings of the formation of accepted church doctrine.

The Post-Nicene Fathers carried out the mission of the defense of the Gospel against all kinds of heresies and false doctrines, so more and more the Post-Nicene Fathers began to be more interested in the defense of the Gospel and less interested in the transmitting of the Gospel in a true and pure form, which was the hallmark of the Apostolic Fathers. By the time of Augustine, the need to defend against heresies and false doctrines had reached the point that the true doctrine of the Body of Christ was pretty much settled on. This was the age of the theologian who would discuss arcane topics to death, such as "how many angels can dance on the head of a pin."

7. A very good overview of the importance of the early church fathers can be seen at the following website which provides a clear overview of some of the early Christian heresies which they battled.

http://www.religionfacts.com/christianity/history/church_fathers.htm

8. Origen taught that God would save everyone and that Mary was a perpetual virgin; Irenaeus believed that the bread and wine became the body and blood of Jesus when consecrated, as did John Chrysostom and Cyril of Jerusalem; Athanasius taught salvation through baptism; Tertullian became a supporter of the Montanist heresies, and a promoter of a New Testament clergy class, as did his disciple Cyprian; Augustine was the principal architect of Catholic dogma that included his support of purgatory, baptismal regeneration, and infant baptism, mortal and venial sins, prayers to the dead, penance for sins, absolution from a priest, the sinlessness of Mary, the Apocrypha as Scripture, etc. It's not that these men got everything wrong; some on certain doctrines, upheld Scripture against the developing unbiblical dogmas of the Roman Catholic Church.

9. The phrase sola scriptura is from the Latin: sola having the idea of "alone," "ground," "base," and the word scriptura meaning "writings" - referring to the Scriptures. Sola scriptura means that Scripture alone is authoritative for the faith and practice of the

Christian. The Bible is complete, authoritative, and true. "All Scripture is 'God breathed' (given of inspiration of God) and is profitable for teaching, reproof, correction, instruction in righteousness..." (2 Timothy 3:16).

Sola scriptura was the rallying cry of the Protestant Reformation. For centuries the Roman Catholic Church had made its traditions superior in authority to the Bible. This resulted in many practices that were in fact contradictory to the Bible. Some examples are prayer to saints and/or Mary, the immaculate conception, transubstantiation, infant baptism, indulgences, and papal authority. Martin Luther, the founder of the Lutheran Church and father of the Protestant Reformation, was publicly rebuking the Catholic Church for its unbiblical teachings. The Catholic Church threatened Martin Luther with excommunication (and death) if he did not recant. Martin Luther's reply was, "Unless therefore I am convinced by the testimony of Scripture, or by the clearest reasoning, - unless I am persuaded by means of the passages I have quoted, - and unless they thus render my conscience bound by the Word of God, I cannot and will not retract, for it is unsafe for a Christian to speak against his conscience. Here I stand, I can do no other; may God help me! Amen!"

The primary Catholic argument against sola scriptura is that the Bible does not explicitly teach sola scriptura. Catholics argue, "the Bible nowhere states that it is the ONLY authoritative guide for faith and practice." While this is true, it fails to recognize a crucially important issue. We know that the Bible is the Word of God. The Bible declares itself to be God-breathed, inerrant, and authoritative. We also know that God does not change His mind or contradict Himself. So, while the Bible itself may not explicitly argue for "sola scriptura," it most definitely does not allow for traditions that contradict its message. Sola scriptura is not as much of an argument against tradition as it is an argument against unbiblical, extra-biblical and/or anti-biblical doctrines. The only way to know for sure what God expects of us is to stay true to what we know He has revealed – the Bible. We can know, beyond the shadow of any doubt, that Scripture is true, authoritative, and reliable. The same cannot be said of tradition.

The Word of God is the only authority for the Christian faith. Traditions are valid only when they are based on Scripture and are in full agreement with Scripture. Traditions that contradict the Bible are not of God and are not a valid aspect of the Christian faith. Sola scriptura is the only way to avoid subjectivity and personal opinion from taking priority over the teachings of the Bible. The essence of sola scriptura is basing your spiritual life on the Bible alone, and rejecting any tradition or teaching that is not in full agreement with the Bible. Second Timothy 2:15 declares, "Do your best to present yourself to God as one approved, a workman who does not need to be ashamed and who correctly handles the Word of truth."

10. The writings of the early church fathers can be viewed here <http://www.newadvent.org/fathers>

11. Letter to the Philadelphians 7:1 [A.D. 135].

12. Dialogue with Trypho, Chapter 110.

13. Against Marcion 5:16 [A.D. 210]

14. Against Heresies 5:25:1-2 [A.D. 189]).

15. Catechetical Lectures 15:12 [A.D. 350.

16. The Antichrist 6 [A.D. 200.

17. Divine Institutes 7:17 [A.D. 307]).

18. The mainstream evangelic view is that "the he that letteth" in II Thessalonians 2:7 is the Holy Spirit or the Bride of Christ whom the Spirit indwells.

19. Arianism is the core of the Jehovah Witness teachings on the nature of God. Sabellianism is the core of the Apostolic Church and United Pentecostal teachings on the nature of God which is known as modalism.

20. Hippolytus: (AD. 170-236) states

"As these things, then, are in the future, and as the ten toes of the image are equivalent to (so many) democracies, and the ten horns of the fourth beast are distributed over ten kingdoms, let us look at the subject a little more closely, and consider these

matters as in the clear light of a personal survey. The golden head of the image and the lioness denoted the Babylonians; the shoulders and arms of silver, and the bear, represented the Persians and Medes; the belly and thighs of brass, and the leopard, meant the Greeks, who held the sovereignty from Alexander's time; the legs of iron, and the beast dreadful and terrible, expressed the Romans, who hold the sovereignty at present; the toes of the feet which were part clay and part iron, and the ten horns, were emblems of the kingdoms that are yet to rise; the other little horn that grows up among them meant the Antichrist in their midst; the stone that smites the earth and brings judgment upon the world was Christ." [Treatise on Christ and Antichrist, 27,28].

21. While not as consistently developed as modern futurism, the early church would have to be classified as inconsistent futurists, more than any of the other three possibilities. With a few exceptions, the early church believed that events of the tribulation, millennium, and second coming were to take place in the future.

22. Robert L. Wilkin, "The Piety of the Persecutors." Christian History, Issue 27 (Vol. IX, No. 3), p. 18.

23. Robert L. Wilkin, *ibid*.

24. Tacitus, *Annals*.

25. Tacit. *Annals* XV.

26. History of the Origins of Christianity. Book IV. The Antichrist <http://www.ccel.org/ccel/renan/antichrist.viii.html>

27. Tacitus' *Annals* XV.

28. For an understanding of the development and history of Gematria see <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gematria>

29. Tacitus II.

30. The International Preterist Association can be accessed here. <http://www.preterist.org/>

31. See here for further insight into Partial Preterism http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Partial_preterism

32. The sources of Commodianus's information were the Bible — principally the Apocalypse, the Prophets, and the Fourth Book of Esdras — the Sibylline oracles, Tertullian, Minucius Felix, Cyprian, and Lactantius. From Terence, Lucretius, Horace, Cicero, and most of all from Virgil, he borrows modes of expression. His theology is not reliable; besides Millenarianism, he seems to profess Monarchianism and Patripassianism, two heresies in regard to the Trinity. His language is not only crude, but incorrect, and it would be a mistake to seek in Commodianus the origin of versification based on accent. His works are a collection of "Instructions" and a "Carmen apologeticum"

33. Irenaeus: *Against Heresies*, Book V, XXX.

34. A detailed history of the Waldneses can be seen here <http://www.reformedreader.org/history/wylie/toc.htm>

35. Thomas Armitage, *A History of the Baptists*, I, 1890, pp. 311,12.

36. Smalcald Articles 2:4:10, 14.

37. The Westminster Confession of Faith is a Reformed confession of faith, in the Calvinist theological tradition. Although drawn up by the 1646 Westminster Assembly, largely of the Church of England, it became and remains the 'subordinate standard' of doctrine in the Church of Scotland, and has been influential within Presbyterian churches worldwide. The Creeds of Christendom, vol. 3, at the Christian Classics Ethereal Library.

38. This Historicist interpretation was favoured by many of the great writers, thinkers and preachers of the historic Christian church since the Reformation of the 16th century. While the earliest Reformers, like Martin Luther and John Calvin, did interpret prophecy according to a historicist framework, they retained the interpretation of "the thousand years" of Revelation 20, known

today as, "Amillennialism," that was promoted at that time by the Roman Catholic Church. From the late 16th century until the 20th century, the historicist interpretation of prophecy, coupled with a "Premillennial" view of Revelation 20, was common among Bible-believing Protestants around the globe. That particular brand of end-times beliefs, called, "Premillennial Historicism," was accompanied by a great surge in world missions, the founding of many mission-minded Bible institutes and colleges, and a wealth of creative and doctrinally sound literature engaging the issues of the times. Some of the most influential men who shared the premillennial historicist interpretation, and lived during this period, included Henry Grattan Guinness, A. J. Gordon, Charles H. Spurgeon and A. B. Simpson. A full insight into the Historicist school of interpretation can be found at <http://www.historicism.com>

39. *The Great Controversy, chapter on "The Waldenses"*,

40. <http://www.seventh-day.org/sda-papacy.htm> provides significant detail on a major internal debate within the Seventh Day Adventist Movement as some of its top leaders have made significant attempts to rebuild bridges with the Roman Catholic Church. In 2000 a major release was published by the Seventh Day Adventist global headquarters in Warsaw Poland regarding Catholicism's revised view of the movement. Recognizing each other's autonomy and independence, the document was issued following 15 years of dialogue aimed at better understanding of the teachings and practice of the Catholic and the Adventist Churches, as well as improving relations without compromising each other's identity.

41. *A Woman Rides The Beast*, Dave Hunt.

42. While not as consistently developed as modern futurism, the early church would have to be classified as inconsistent futurists, more than any of the other three possibilities. With a few exceptions, the early church believed that events of the tribulation, millennium, and second coming were to take place in the future. As anti-millennial views begin to arise in the third century, and the Christianization of the Roman Empire through Constantine spread in the fourth century, futurism began to be displaced. As the fourth century turned into the fifth, Jerome and Augustine's influence against futurism drove it underground during the thousand-year era of medievalism. But there remained during this time pockets of futurist interpretation scattered throughout a number of the groups who refused to come under Roman Catholic authority. Further, there have been discoveries of medieval apocalypticism during this time which wrote from varying degrees of futurism.

The Reformation brought a return to a study of the sources. In Northern Europe those sources included the early church writers and aided in a renewal of the study of prophecy from a futurist perspective within the Roman Catholic and then the Protestant churches. The Jesuit, Francisco Ribera (1537-1591) was one of the first to revive an undeveloped form of futurism around 1580. Because of the dominance of historicism, futurism made virtually no headway in Protestantism until the 1820's through Church of England scholar S. R. Maitland in 1826. In the late 1820s, futurism began to gain converts and grow in the British Isles, often motivated by a revived interest in God's plan for Israel, during which time it gained one of its most influential converts in John Nelson Darby. Through Darby and other Brethren expositors, futurism spread to America and throughout the evangelical world. The last one hundred years have seen for the first time, the full development of consistent futurism. This has led in turn to the formulation of dispensationalism and a clearer understanding of the pretribulational rapture of the church.

43. *A History Of The Franks*, by Gregory Tours, Translated by Lewis Thorpe.

44. *Charlemagne*, Derek Wilson.

45. *A History Of Medieval Political Thought, 300-1450*, Joseph Canning.

46. *The Second Messiah (96:79-83)*, Knight and Robert Lomas.

47. *Searching for the Real Jesus in an Age of Controversy (Harvest House)* by Dr. Douglas Groothuis is an excellent introduction to radical, feminist, and New Age attempts to rewrite Church history and undermine the Christian understanding of Jesus Christ. Written for a popular audience, it contains helpful information about Gnosticism and the "Gnostic Jesus." It is currently out of print, but used copies can be found on abebooks.com. Also, several of Dr. Groothuis's articles on Gnosticism and its modern "rebirth" are available online:

48. JR Church Church, whose ministry is national and world wide through the Internet, has authored many books. Among those books are, Hidden Prophecies in the Psalms, published first in 1986, and then revised in 1990; Guardians of the Grail: And the Men Who Plan to Rule the World, 1989; They Pierced The Veil, 1993; Hidden Prophecies in the Song Of Moses, 1999; The Mystery Of The Menorah written with Gary Stearman, 1999; On the Eve of Adam: God's Ancient Plan for Lucifer's Defeat, 2001; Guardians of the Grail: And the Men Who Plan to Rule the World. Guardians of the Grail explores the legend of the Holy Grail and the kings who claim to be connected with it. It links the Knights Templar, Priory of Sion, the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry, House of Theosophy, and modern Mormonism. The book also discusses the way that mankind will be deceived into accepting worldwide enslavement under the guise of "peace and prosperity" in the person of the Antichrist and his establishment.

49. Godfrey, Duke of Lower Lorraine, was one of the leaders of the First Crusade. When the Pope issued his summons to the Crusade, Godfrey joined the French knights leaving for the Holy Land, together with his brother Baldwin. In order to finance his expenses, he mortgaged his entire property. His army was made up of Walloons, Flemings, and Germans. On July 15, 1099, his soldiers were the first to break through to Jerusalem, and took part in the subsequent massacre of the Jewish and Muslim residents of the city. On July 22, he was named ruler of Jerusalem. This choice, in which Godfrey de Bouillon was preferred to Raymond de Saint-Giles, was the best solution to the problem faced by the conquerors of Jerusalem, who had to decide the status of Jerusalem's ruler, and to whom he would be subject. Thus, Godfrey was awarded the title of "Defender of the Holy Sepulchre." For the rest of the single year in which he held this title, Godfrey managed to extend the boundaries of the Crusader state and to lay the foundations of its organization. After his one year of rule, he died and was buried in the Church of the Holy Sepulchre.

50. The Paneuropa, currently headed by Otto Habsburg, was founded in 1923 by Richard Nikolaus Count Coudenhove-Kalergi. It is credited with some influence over the creation of what is now the European Union. Paneuropa is, unsurprisingly, more active in Austria and the former Austro-Hungarian states. It is very anti-Communist and Christian Democratic in political orientation, with a strong Catholic influence. The flag of the Paneuropa Movement, as seen on the main square in Zagreb, in the house of Croatian Council of European Movement, an organization that is promoting the European idea, is similar to the flag of the European Union with a yellow disc with a red cross on it placed inside the ring of stars. The cross is the symbol of Christendom and the sun symbolizes the European civilization enlightening the world. After the Second World War, the flag was used by the European Parliamentary Union.

51. http://80.78.71.243/cedi/Eng_Main.aspx

52. See the book called Uncrowned Emperor, The Life and Times of Otto Van Habsburg for greater detail of his role throughout the 20th century.

53. Institute For The Study of Globalization and Covert Politics <http://www.isgp.eu/intro.htm>

54. The Social Order of Tomorrow, Karl Von Habsburg, Newman Press, 1959.

55. The Antichrist King Juan Carlos, Charles Taylor, 1994.

56. The belief that Javier Solana is the antichrist is a view supported by Constance E Cumbey and Herb Peters. Cumbey is author of the # 1 Best Seller The Hidden Dangers of the Rainbow.

57. The award sponsors assert that the list of Charlemagne Prize winners reflects the history of the European process of unification. They continue that it has been awarded to the founding fathers of the United Europe such as de Gasperi, Schuman, Monnet and Adenauer, and to those who have embodied hope for integration such as Edward Heath, Konstantin Karamanlis, and His Majesty Juan Carlos I. Previous winners of the prize include Winston Churchill, Paul Henri Spaak, Henry Kissinger, King Juan Carlos, Jacque Delors, Queen Beatrix of Netherlands, Bill Clinton and Pope John Paul II.

58. http://www.aachen.de/EN/sb/pr_az/karls_pr/laureates/declaration_07/declaration07.html

59. http://www.bbc.co.uk/history/historic_figures/bonaparte_napoleon.shtml

60. Carroll, Bob. Napoleon Bonaparte (The Importance Of). San Diego: Lucent Books, 1994.

61. Hitler's Pope: The Secret History of Pius XII, John Cornwell. The full details of the concordat between Hitler and the Vatican can be viewed at <http://www.concordatwatch.eu>. In October 2008 The Vatican rejected requests to immediately open its secret archives on wartime Pope Pius XII, who critics say did not speak out enough to save Jews during Hitler's extermination campaign. But a Jewish leader said Pope Benedict XVI told a Jewish group he would give "serious consideration" to their request to freeze the sainthood process for Pius XII until the archives on Pius' papacy were opened. The Vatican says Benedict has been reflecting on documentation gathered by Church officials about Pius XII's virtues as part of the process toward possible beatification, the last formal step before possible sainthood.
62. Dr. Ben Weider, When the Ghetto walls came tumbling down. Further information on Napoleon and his treatment of the Jews can be found at <http://www.napoleonicociety.com> an organization formed by Dr Ben Weider.
63. Binchy, D.A. Church and State in Fascist Italy. London: Oxford University Press, 1941.
64. David Pack, Worldwide Church of God
65. The Theosophical Society, founded in 1875, is a worldwide body whose primary object is Universal Brotherhood based on the realization that life, and all its diverse forms, human and non-human, is indivisibly One.
66. The activities of the Lucis Trust promote the education of the human mind towards recognition and practice of the spiritual principles and values upon which a stable and interdependent world society may be based. The Lucis Trust is non-political and non-sectarian. It sponsors no special creed or dogma. www.lucistrust.org
67. Creme, Benjamin. The Reappearance of the Christ and the Masters of Wisdom. Tara Press, 1980.
68. Creme, Benjamin. Maitreya's Mission. 3 vols. Share International Foundation, 1986, 1993, 1997.
69. http://www.lucistrust.org/en/service_activities/world_goodwill_1/purposes_objectives
70. The 1893 Parliament, which ran from September 11 to September 27, had marked the first formal gathering of representatives of Eastern and Western spiritual traditions. Today it is recognized as the occasion of the birth of formal interreligious dialogue worldwide. Absent from this event were Native American religious figures, Sikhs and other Indigenous and Earth centered religionists. (It would not be until the 1993 Parliament that these religions and spiritual traditions would be represented. The conference did include new religious movements of the time, such as Spiritualism and Christian Science. The latter was represented by its founder Mary Baker Eddy. Rev. Henry Jessup addressing the World Parliament of Religions was the first to mention the Bahá'í Faith in the United States (it had previously been known in Europe.[1]) Since then Bahá'ís have become active participants. The Buddhist preacher Anagarika Dharmapala was invited there as a representative of "Southern Buddhism" - which was the term applied at that time to the Theravada.
71. Taken from <http://www.parliamentofreligions.org/index.cfm?n=1&sn=1>
72. http://www.christianresearchservice.com/Benny_Hinn.htm
73. Paul Henri Spaak, Council of Europe, 1949
74. A hallmark research and one of the most respected publications ever into the area of a quest for a one world religion is the book, False Dawn authored by Lee Pen published by Sophia.
75. David Ickes materials can be viewed at <http://www.davidicke.com> . Icke argues that he has developed a moral and political worldview that combines New Age spiritualism with a passionate denunciation of what he sees as totalitarian and Orwellian trends in the modern world, a position that has been described as "New Age conspiracism." At the heart of Icke's theories is the view that the world is ruled by a secret group referred to as the "Global Elite" or "Illuminati," which he has linked to The Protocols of the Elders of Zion, an anti-Semitic hoax. In 1999, he published The Biggest Secret, in which he wrote that the Illuminati are a race of reptilian humanoids known as the Babylonian Brotherhood, and that many prominent figures are reptilian, including George W. Bush, Queen Elizabeth II, Kris Kristofferson.

William Cooper is the author of Behold A Pale Horse. The book has become the best selling underground book of all time. It is read and promoted by word of mouth by People of all races, religions, and nationalities.

76. The Cosmic Conspiracy, Stan Deyo, West Australian Texas Trading, 1992.

77. In February, 2006, Pastor John Hagee decided the time had come to create a national grassroots movement focused on the support of Israel. He called upon Christian leaders from across America to join him in launching this new initiative. Over 400 Christian leaders answered the call and Christians United for Israel was born. Since that date, CUFI has quickly grown to be the largest Christian grassroots movement in America. We now have state directors in every state in the union, and we have city directors in over 90 of America's leading cities. In July 2008, 4,500 Christians from all 50 states came to Washington, D.C. to participate in the second annual CUFI Washington-Israel Summit. And CUFI has only just begun.

78. A biography of Barack Obama from <http://www.biography.com/featured-biography/barack-obama/bio-print.html>

79. In February 2008 Republican presidential candidate John McCain moved to distance himself Friday from the views of a San Antonio televangelist who Democrats say peddles anti-Catholic and other intolerant speech. But Mr. McCain refused to renounce the endorsement of John Hagee, a leading figure in the Christian Zionist movement. "In no way did I intend for his endorsement to suggest that I in turn agree with all of Pastor Hagee's views, which I obviously do not," he said in a prepared statement. The campaign issued the statement after two days of criticism from the Democratic National Committee, the Catholic League and Catholics United, who say Mr. Hagee has written negative things about the Catholic Church.

80. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mopkn0IPzM8>

81. The Matthew 25 Network is a community of Christians – Catholic, Protestant, Orthodox, Pentecostal, and Evangelical – inspired by the Gospel mandate to put our faith into action to care for their community. <http://www.matthew25.org>

82. Time Magazine. Election 08, August 2008.

83. <http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0808/14/ec.01.html>

84. Barack Obama through Muslim Eyes, FrontpageMagazine.com, August 25th, 2008-11-03.

85. <http://edition.cnn.com/2008/POLITICS/07/24/obama.words>

86. <http://edition.cnn.com/2008/POLITICS/08/16/warren.forum>

87. Azdailysun.com Saturday, October 25, 2008

88. Jack Smith is the author of "Islam – The Cloak of Antichrist in Bible Prophecy" and runs a website called <http://whenthepiecesfit.org> which believes that the end time powers prophesied in Daniel and Revelation are in reference to Islam.

89. Trilateralism, The Trilateral Commission and Elite Planning for World Management, Holly Sklar, South end Press, 1980.

90. The Shanghai Cooperation Organisation (SCO) is a permanent intergovernmental international organisation creation of which was proclaimed on 15 June 2001 in Shanghai (China) by the Republic of Kazakhstan, the People's Republic of China, the Kyrgyz Republic, the Russian Federation, the Republic of Tajikistan and the Republic of Uzbekistan. Its prototype is the Shanghai Five mechanism. The main goals of the SCO are strengthening mutual confidence and good-neighbourly relations among the member countries; promoting their effective cooperation in politics, trade and economy, science and technology, culture as well as education, energy, transportation, tourism, environmental protection and other fields; making joint efforts to maintain and ensure peace, security and stability in the region, to move towards the establishment of a new, democratic, just and rational political and economic international order. <http://www.sectesco.org>

91. See the full actual clip of the question being asked and Obama's answer here at <http://24ahead.com/blog/archives/007602.html>

92. Tim Cohen's resources can be found at <http://www.prophecyhouse.com>

Reviews of Tim Cohen's book, The Antichrist and a Cup of Tea are as follows:

"A lot of prophecy books cross my desk, but this one--this one--you have to get.... [The] premise is provocative and...[the] book is historically fascinating, and it's far more illuminating than many.... [Moreover, it] exhaustively documents a possible identity of the coming world leader, and we find the book...an absolute jewel.... I am profoundly impressed...." -- Chuck Missler, Author, Alien Encounters

"Challenging, informative, and timely, Tim Cohen's material is must reading!" -- Gary Kah, Author, En Route to Global Occupation

"Essentially, the entire book presents a fairly solid case for...a possible candidate for [the role of the] Anti-christ. It is definitely worth a read!" -- William Schnoebelen, Author, Wicca, Satan's Little White Lie and Masonry, Beyond The Light

"For the first time, the average person can understand the organizations that are already in place that will allow one man to control the world. This book is must reading for those who desire to understand the real power brokers behind the New World Order." -- Sid Roth, Author and President, Messianic Vision

"Provocatively titled, this book should become the object of the fascination of many...." "Any reader will...absorb more knowledge than would be the case from nearly every prophetic manuscript which he has read...." -- Dr. David Breese, Author, Seven Men Who Rule the World from the Grave

"Rarely has a book been so thoroughly researched! The uniqueness of Tim Cohen's work is the way he brings so many facts together that point to a possible candidate for the... 'Antichrist.'.... The historical 'connections' are worth the price of the book alone." -- Dr. David Hocking, Author and President, Hope for Today

"the magnitude of your work staggers the mind, and at the same time challenges my thought processes, and presuppositions" -- Ken Klein, Author, The False Prophet

93. Joan Veon's resources can be found at <http://www.womensgroup.org>

94. The full speech of Prince Charles can be seen at http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/english/static/events/reith_2000/lecture6.stm

95. The Mail on Sunday in December 2007 provided an insight into the hologram technology behind Prince Charles appearance at the World Energy Summit. <http://www.mailonsunday.co.uk/news/article-502599/Prince-Charles-appear-conference-hologram.html>

96. The Telegraph, September 30th 2008,
<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/main.jhtml?xml=/earth/2008/09/30/eacharles230.xml>

97. Joan Veon, The Sustainable Prince.

98. Christian Today, October 26th, 2006.

99. The Independent, May 28th, 2006.

100. Cinnamon Stillwell writes for SFGate.com, Frontpagemag.com, Jewish Press, and Israel National News. Her website is CinnamonStillwell.com

101. Prince Charles: The Constantine of Islam, Ali Sina, 3rd November 2005, www.faithfreedom.org,

102. The Merovingian heresy advocated in "The Davinci Code" was that Jesus did not die on the cross and rise from the dead becoming mankind's Salvation, instead it is claimed He had sex with Mary Magdalene, survived the Roman crucifixion, and lived to father at least one, or a number of Divine children, the firstborn said to be a son named Judah or Merovee, a name later given to the first Merovingian King in France (447 to 458 AD) while others believe the child was actually a daughter, given the name of

Sarah (or Tamar, which became the name of an ultra-secretive organization whose task it was to protect future Merovingian descendants). Regardless of the supposed names, titles, and endless genealogies, an entire race of enlightened Christ-like beings each leading to the next in succession in some Messianic Dynasty has supposedly passed down through the ages toward a final One who would rule in the name of Christ, albeit, in the place (instead) of Christ. The Illuminati is the continuation of the Mystery Religions of Babylon and Egypt and the bloodlines of the

Illuminati go back to people who at one time lived in Babylon and Egypt. The Merovingian cult is steeped with Occult symbols with those possessing it believing themselves to be true and rightful heirs of Jerusalem, they being the true Kingdom of [their] God on earth and gods of a Divine Monarchy whose right it is to rule the world. Heresy at best as some might attribute, yet it is their own elitist belief and prophecy in a soon revealed King from the lineage of King David, of Anglo-Messianic descent which arises to preside over all the world at a time he's needed most, that is most intriguing.

103. Researcher/Author David Icke, "Alice in Wonderland and the World Trade Center".

104. -David Icke, "The Windsor-Bush Bloodline".

105. Before the Habsburgs, the House of Lorraine and Charlemagne were the Merovingians (Meroveus/Merovee) who originally brought the bloodline to France and Northwest Europe. Back in 4th century Rome the bloodline passed through Emperor Constantine, the first professed Christian emperor who initiated the Roman Empire's transition into a Christian State and presided over the first Council of Nicaea. He was preceded by the Roman Piso family whom will be discussed at length later. Before them came Herod the Great of Biblical fame and Ptolemy XIV, son of the most well-known Roman emperor Julius Caesar. Caesar actually married into the bloodline through Cleopatra, the most well-known Egyptian Queen. A little further down this very same bloodline brings us to Alexander the Great:

106. Michael Tsarion, "Astrotheology and Sidereal Mythology".

107. The Prince and the Paranormal. The psychic Bloodline of the Royal Family, John Dale, Book Club Assocs 1986.

108. Brit-Am Israel newsletter, 2/9/99.

109. The Antichrist, Arthur W Pink, Kregel Publications, 1988, Chapter 3, The Person of the Antichrist.

110. Armageddon, World War III, Antichrist, and the Second Coming of Jesus, IslamicWeb.com.

111. Red Heifer Days—Religion Takes the Lead, Ron Dreher, NRO=National Review Online, April 11, 2002.

112. <http://www.islamicweb.com/history/ww3.htm>

113. Morals and Dogma, Albert Pike, published by the Supreme Council of the Thirty Third Degree for the Southern Jurisdiction of the United States Charleston, 1871.

114. Encyclopaedia of Freemasonry", by Albert Mackey, MD, 33^o and Charles T. McClenachan, 33^o, Revised Edition, by Edward L. Hawkins, 30^o and William J. Hughan, 32^o, Volume II, M-Z, published by The Masonic History Company, Chicago, New York, London, 1873, A.G. Mackey, 1927, by the Masonic History Company.

115. The Holy Bible: The Great Light In Masonry", King James Version, Temple Illustrated Edition, A.J. Holman Company, 1968, Forward entitled, "The Bible and King Solomon's Temple in Masonry", by John Wesley Kelchner.

116. The Armageddon Script, Peter Lemesurier.

117. The Cutting Edge, Noahide Laws and New World order.

118. Enziklopediya Talmudit, note 1, pp. 351-352.

119. The tribulation is interpreted as a time of significant trouble which will precede the second coming of Christ and will see the physical manifestation of Gods judgements on the earth. These judgments are described as seals and vials of wrath in Revelation.

Some believe this period will begin with the rapture of the church, others the signing of a 7 year covenant between Israel and the antichrist and others, the abomination of desolation as described by many of the gospel writers.

120. "But in a way reminiscent of the error Judas committed, some bishops and prelates and their assistant officials have set themselves up, as an antichrist within the church. They do not want to leave the church. They do not intend to shatter the unity of the church. They do not intend to obliterate the church but just to make it over to their own plan. They no longer believe in the catholic doctrine of papal magisterium. They are convinced that they can reconcile the church and its enemies by decent compromise. That they really understand what is going on and that they can ensure the success of Christ's church by compacting with world leaders."

The Keys of this Blood - The Struggle for World Dominion between Pope John Paul II, Mikhail Gorbachev and the Capitalist West, Malachi Martin, Simon & Schuster, USA, 1990.

121. Dreams from My Father: A Story of Race and Inheritance is a memoir by 2008 United States President, Barack Obama of Illinois. It was first published in 1995 after Obama was elected the first African-American president of the Harvard Law Review, but before his political career began. The book was re-released in 2004 following Senator Obama's keynote address at the 2004 Democratic National Convention (DNC); the 2004 edition includes a new introduction by Senator Obama as well as his DNC keynote address.

122. <http://www.jewishencyclopedia.com>

123. The Anglo Saxon concept is found in movements such as British-Israelism (Anglo-Israelism) which is the belief and teaching of a number of religious groups, that the 10 "lost" tribes of Israel are actually the Anglo-Saxon race and are specifically the people of Great Britain. By definition, then, the Anglo-Saxon (or white) people are the true Israelites, being the descendants of Abraham and are the only chosen people of God and heirs to the covenant of God. The movement sees the people who are living in Israel today, calling themselves Jews, as impostors who mistakenly identify themselves with the Israelites, but are, in fact, descendants of Judah and are not the chosen people of God and are not part of the covenant of God. This belief and teaching is popular in many circles, religious and otherwise. It provides a convenient foundation for the justification of attacks on the current Jewish people and the anti-Semitism that is found in the world today. By joining themselves to the teaching and belief, people claim that they are not anti-Semitic because the real Jews are Anglo-Saxons and therefore they, themselves, are the true Semites.

124. The Syrian Wars were a series of six wars between the Seleucid Empire and the Ptolemaic Kingdom during the 3rd and 2nd centuries BC over the region of Coele-Syria, one of the few avenues into Egypt. These conflicts drained the strength of both parties involved and led to their eventual destruction at the hands of Rome and Parthia. These wars form a major premise of Daniel 11:1-35 and Daniel 8:21-22.

125. There is little mention of Jesus in the Talmud because it rejects the gospel claims of Jesus as the Messiah and information about Jesus was censored. The missing portions of Jesus were found in Yemen and brought to Israel where in 1987 where they were published by Rabbi Eliyahu Touger and the Maznaim Publishing Corporation confirming the historical account of Jesus i.e. He aspired to be the Messiah, he was executed by the Sanhedrin, that he descended from King David etc.

126. Isaiah 11:6-9, Isaiah 60:1-5, Isaiah 65:25, Ezekiel 47:9, Ezekiel 60:6 etc describes the millennial conditions of Christ reign on earth.

127. <http://www.clubofrome.org>

128. Understanding the New World Order Page 154-157, Roy Livesy, New Wine Press, 1989.

129. <http://theisraelreport.com>

130. <http://www.weu.int>

131. <http://www.assembly-weu.org/en/presse/cp/communiqués.php>

132. Report for Selected Country Groups and Subjects (European Union)". World Economic Outlook Database, April 2008 Edition. International Monetary Fund (April 2008). Retrieved on 2008-05-03. "Gross domestic product, current prices; U.S. dollars, Billions; 2007 = 16,830.100, 2008=18,493.009. Gross domestic product based on purchasing-power-parity (PPP) valuation of country GDP; Current international dollar, Billions; 2007 = 14,712.369;2008 = 15,282.118"
133. The Antichrist and a Cup of Tea, Tom Cohen, Prophecy House, Page 184
134. The Antichrist and a Cup of Tea, Tim Cohen, Prophecy House, Page 196
135. Michael Powell, 'On Center Stage, a Candidate Letting His Confidence Show,' New York Times, February 24, 2008
136. Jessica Van Sack, 'The Monday Morning Presidential Briefing', Boston Herald, February 18, 2008
137. John McCormick, 'Even blowing his nose, Obama gets applause', The Swamp, February 20, 2008
138. Ezra Klein, Obama's Gift, The American Prospect, January 3, 2008
139. Mark Morford, Is Obama an Enlightened Being?, San Francisco Chronicle, June 6, 2008
140. Steve Davis, Journal Gazette, March 31, 2008
141. The Democratic Presidential Candidates Debate, The Palace Theatre, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, January 21, 2008
142. Brian Ross and Rehab El-Buri, Obama's Pastor: God Damn America, US to Blame for 9/11, ABC Mews, March 13, 2008
143. Revered Jeremiah Wright, Obama's Pastor Now a Campaign Liability?, Good Morning America, March 13, 2008
144. A Black Theology of Liberation, Dr James Cone, Orbis Books, 1986
145. Black Power Black Theology, Dr James Cone
146. "Divine Racism: The Unacknowledged Threshold Issue for Black Theology", in African-American Religious Thought: An Anthology, by William R Jones, ed Cornel West and Eddie Glaube (Westminster John Knox Press).
147. A Black Theology of Liberation, Dr James Cone, Orbis Books, 1986, Page 70
148. The American Thinker, Why Islamists Cheer for Obama, November 27, 2008
149. WorldNetDaily, New Terrorists Swoon over Biden, October 19, 2008
150. Aljazeera, Iran prefers Obama Presidency, October 23, 2008
151. WorldNetDaily, Farrakhan on Obama, The Messiah is absolutely Speaking, October 09, 2008
152. www.AmericanRenaissane.Com , Obama Through Muslim Eyes, August 25, 2008
153. www.sify.com, June 25, 2008
154. The Economic Times, June 10, 2008
155. Transcript of the Illinois 90th General Assembly, March 30, 2001
156. Hank De Zutter, What Makes Obama Run, Chicago Reader, December 08, 1995
157. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Amendment_to_the_United_States_Constitution
158. The Chicago Defender, May 05, 2001

159. The Antichrist, Arthur W Pink, Kregel Publications, 1988, Page 41

160. Shaykh Muhammad Hisham Kabbani, The Approach of Armageddon? An Islamic Perspective (Canada, Supreme Muslim Council of America, 2003),

161. Tabarni, related by Hadrat Abu Umamah, quoted by Zubair Ali p43

162. One of the most insightful readings on Islamic eschatology from a christian perspective is "Antichrist – Islam's Awaited Messiah" by Joel Richardson.

On the whole this book is really stunning!The case made for an Islamic Antichrist is extremely convincing. The author shows a remarkable knowledge, not only of Islam's books but also of the prevailing Muslim mentalities. Incidentally, it was a relief for a Catholic, to read a book on End Times which implicitly ridicules the widespread claims that the Catholic Church was the Beast of the book of Revelation. (Amazon Review)

This book is a fascinating, frightening comparative analysis of Islamic and Christian eschatology. The author is a Christian (Protestant) who has studied Islam during the course of his long-term involvement in interfaith dialogues. Drawing from the Koran and the Sunna--the two principle sources of Islamic doctrines--he has identified the prophesied Islamic Messiah, Muhammed al Mahdi, as a figure quite similar to the Christian "Antichrist," as described in the book of Revelations, and in the Judaic prophecies of Ezekial and Daniel. He then demonstrates that the returning "Jesus" (Isa) of Islamic eschatology is quite similar to the Christian "false prophet," who will, in fact serve the Antichrist in the conquest and destruction of Israel, presenting himself to the world as a non-divine "Jesus" who is subservient to Allah and his Mahdi. The author's interpretation of the two prophetic traditions is that the Mahdi will re-establish the Islamic Ottoman Empire, which was essentially built on the foundation of the Orthodox Christian Byzantine Empire. This book is particularly frightening in light of the current turmoil in the Persian Gulf. For example, the militias of the Shi'a leader of Iraq, Mohktada al Sadr, is now called the "Mahdi" army. Muhammed al Mahdi is supposed to return to earth, presumably reuniting the Sunna and Shi'a factions of Islam by his presence. The prophesied destruction of Israel in the end times is supposed to originate in Khorasan, modern day Iran. Skeptics will scoff at the whole concept of prophesy, and the perrenial concerns about the "end times," but, after reading this book, I certainly wonder if we are witnessing the beginning of a pan-Islamic reign of terror in the Middle East, and around the world. (Amazon Review)

163. George Otis & David Aikman, The Last of the Giants: Lifting the Veil on Islam and End Times, 1991

In his book, Last of the Giants, George Otis Jr. leads the reader deep into the heart of modern day Islam (within the context of the 1990's) This is a meticulously well documented account of the three forces that are moving in the earth today: Materialism, Hinduism and Islam. It is an important read not only to place the church today in a timeline of the book of Revelation, but to expose Western Christianity to the reality of the spirit realm and the nature of aggressive Islam. This book is not about demonizing Muslims. It is about equipping the praying church how to wage informed intercession. Although some of the details are dated, such as the fall of communism, that does not negate the insight relayed through the authors study. The first nine chapters are devoted to the author's in-depth research both historical and contemporary on Islam as a worldwide movement. The last two chapters tie together the belief that we are not waging war against flesh and blood but powers and principalities. Therefore, the author encourages the reader to respond to his message through prayer or missions to this unreached people group. (Amazon Review)

164. Shaykh Muhammad Hisham Kabbani, The Approach of Armageddon? An Islamic Perspective (Canada, Supreme Muslim Council of America, 2003), p. 223

165. Sahih Muslim Book 041, Number 7005, reported by Ibn Umar

166. Sahih Muslim Book 041, Number 7010, reported by Hudhalfa

167. Tirmidhi, quoted by Mohammed Ali Ibn Zubair Ali, Signs of Qiyamah p42 Baitul Maqdas in Arabic means Holy House, so this refers to the Dome of the Rock which is located on the Temple

168. Sunan Ibn Majah #4067, related by Abu Umamam Al-Bahili, quoted in Kabbani, p. 225

169. Philips, Ph.D. Ad-Dajjal, The Antichrist, (Alexandria, Soundknowledge Audio Publishers, 2001)

170. Excerpts from a Friday sermon delivered by Palestinian Authority Imam Sheikh Ibrahim Madhi at the Sheikh 'Ijlin Mosque in Gaza City, broadcast live on April 12, 2002 by Palestinian Authority television
<http://memri.org/bin/articles.cgi?Page=archives&Area=sd&ID=SP37002>

171. In his book "Armageddon, Oil and The Middle Eastern Crisis", John F Walvoord makes a significant premise that whilst from an earthly perspective, the battle of Armageddon will be a series of wars between different world regions as the false peace of the Antichrist begins to fragment when he makes Jerusalem his capital, from a satanic perspective, the truth purpose of Armageddon is to bring the entire might of the world armies into the Middle East to oppose the Second Coming of Christ and his descent to the Mount of Olives. The ultimate strategy is to oppose the Second Coming of Christ through the weapons of Warfare and ultimately destroy Israel in the means.

172. John Philips, Exploring The Future, Loizeaux Brothers Inc, 1992, Page 32

173. Dwight Pentecost, Things to Come, Zondervan Publishing House, 1958, Page 322

174. <http://www.greatamericanhistory.net>

175. (Ferdinand C. Iglehart, Theodore Roosevelt - The Man As I knew Him, A.L. Burt, 1919) 1909 - President Theodore Roosevelt:

176. <http://pewresearch.org> The Pew Research Center is a nonpartisan "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends shaping America and the world. It does not take positions on policy issues. Its work is carried out by these seven projects

177. <http://www.ifcj.org> Launched in 2002, Stand for Israel aims to engage people both spiritually and politically on behalf of Israel and the Jewish people, by encouraging them to "pray for the peace of Jerusalem" and providing them with the facts they need to advocate for the Jewish state and fight anti-Israel bias in the media.

178. <http://urj.org/Articles/index.cfm?id=19553> The CCAR Convention, April 2, 2008

"Christian Zionism: Is it good for North American Jews and good for Israel?" Rabbi Eric H. Yoffie, President, Union for Reform Judaism

179. Zionist Organization of America, April 11 2008, ZOA Praises Pastor Hagee's Support for Israel

180. http://news.yahoo.com/s/politico/20080515/pl_politico/10375 "Hagee's apocalyptic support of Israel"

181. BBC World Americas, 6 March 2002, Prince Charles "The Winged Hero"

182. The principal belief of Anglo/British Israelism is that the British (and by extension Americans, Canadians, Australians, and others) are the spiritual and literal descendants of the ancient Israelites.

Anglo-Israelism has a long history. The Puritan colonists in America also viewed themselves as spiritual descendants of the ancient Israelites. However, it was not until 1840 that John Wilson published "Lectures on our Israelitish Origin" and first proclaimed that the British people were the actual genetic descendants of God's chosen people.

The Hebrew Scriptures (Old Testament) records how a schism occurred among the ancient Hebrews, separating the land into:

- The Southern Kingdom of Judah which included the tribes of Benjamin and Levi, and
- The Northern Kingdom of Israel which included the remaining ten tribes.

About 721 BCE, the latter was captured by the Assyrians. According to historians and archaeologists, its population was taken into captivity, and assimilated. Non-Hebrews were imported in the region and the Northern Kingdom ceased to exist. However, British Israel writers developed the belief that these "ten tribes" were able to retain their identity and cohesiveness, became lost

to history, and wandered far from the Middle East. They concluded that the stone used in the coronation of King David was transported to the British Isles where it exists today as the Stone of Scone. The latter stone is still used for the coronation of British queens and kings. The writers promoted the belief that divine authority was transferred from ancient Israel to England along with the stone. In reality, the origin of the Stone of Scone has been traced to rock outcroppings in Scotland using standard geological analysis methods.

British Israelism was quite popular during the ascent of the British Empire, but quickly lost favor as the Empire was converted into a Commonwealth of Nations. In the early years of the 20th century, Charles Parham and John Allen were the main teachers of British-Israelism in the United States. Parham later went on to be a major influence in the Pentecostal movement. Allen's book "Judah's Scepter and Joseph's Birthright" spread the concept through the Adventist and other churches.

British Israelism formed a main part of the foundation of Herbert W. Armstrong's Worldwide Church of God.

183. <http://www.cfr.org/publication/8102>

184. EU Observer, 5th June 2008

185. Frommer Frederic. J "Congressman Faulted for Quran at Oath.", Los Angeles Times, 1 December, 2006.

186. Obama, Barack. Dreams from My Father ,New York: Three Rivers Press, 1995. ISBN 1-4000-8277-3.

187. "Barack Obama Captured on Tape not Putting His Hand Over his Heart During the National Anthem", Fox News, 23 October 2007

188. This Week (ABC News), 7 September 2008

189. <http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0208/8630.html>

190. <http://www.aim.org> commits resources to understanding Obama's link to marxist socialist ideals

191. Frank Chapman (letter to Communist Party newspaper People's Weekly World.) Via e-mail

192. Black Moods: Collected Poems of Frank Marshall Davis, confirming Davis's Communist Party membership, another book, The New Red Negro: The Literary Left and African American Poetry, 1930-1946, names Davis as one of several black poets who continued to publish in CPUSA-supported publications after the 1939 Hitler-Stalin non-aggression pact. The author, James Edward Smethurst, associate professor of Afro-American studies at the University of Massachusetts-Amherst, says that Davis, however, would later claim that he was "deeply troubled" by the pact.

193, "[Obama issues new call for national service](#)," 7-208

194, "[A New Era of Service](#)," 7-2-08

195. Saul Alinsky, [Rules for Radicals: A Pragmatic Primer for Realistic Radicals](#) (Vintage Books, 1971); p. 116. See excerpts from this book

196. Aldous Huxley, Brave New World (New York: HarperCollins, 1932), xvii.

197. <http://www.cnsnews.com/public/content/article.aspx?RsrcID=35451>

198. <http://www.crossroad.to/Quotes/brainwashing/dialectic.htm>

199. <http://www.barackobama.com/issues/service/#enable-americans>

200. <http://www.crossroad.to/charts/NewMeanings.html>

201. <http://www.crossroad.to/text/articles/MentalHealth2-99.html>

202. http://www.barackobama.com/2007/12/05/obama_issues_call_to_serve_vow.php
203. [Obama's Civilian National Security Force](#) ("Senator Obama was nearly 17 minutes into his July 2 speech... in Colorado Springs, Colorado when he deviated from his pre-released script" and made this statement without the teleprompter.")
204. COMMUNIST (COMMUNITY) ORIENTED POLICING, By Detective Phil Worts, June 1, 2001, NewsWithViews.com
205. [Stated by Obama during a 2004 interview with Chicago Sun-Times](#) religion editor Cathleen Falsani for her book, "The God Factor."
206. Jay Hanson, The Best-Kept Secret In Washington, Brain Food, Third Quarter, 1999.
- 207 EIO: Energy Information Administration
- 208 http://www.americanthinker.com/2008/02/obama_the_global_candidate.html
- 209 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_poverty_act
210. Full interview transcript can be seen at <http://blog.beliefnet.com/stevenwaldman/2008/11/obamas-interview-with-cathleen.html>
211. James H. Billington (Librarian Of Congress; member of the Council On Foreign Relations) from his 1980 book, [Fire in the Minds of Men](#)
212. Rear Admiral Chester Ward (former 16-year-member of The Council On Foreign Relations.) Quoted in THE UNSEEN HAND by Epperson.
213. CFR- Apostles of the New World Order, Noel Hutchings http://www.cephasministry.com/nwo_CFR_apostles.html
214. Zbigniew Brzezinski, The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives, Basic Books, 1997
215. Socialist Viewpoint (info@socialistviewpoint.org)
216. Jerome Corsi, North American Union Leader says Merger Just a Crisis Away, December 15, 2006, Worldnet Daily
217. Dan Gabriel, Obama's team change vision seeks to replace Canada and U.S. with regressive NAU entity, The Canadian, 30 January 2009-02-16
218. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GbV8duHcXX0>
219. The Structural Imbalance of Political Talk Radio, [John Halpin](#), [James Heidbreder](#), Mark Lloyd, Paul Woodhull, Ben Scott, Josh Silver, S. Derek Turner | June 20, 2007
220. Worldnet Daily News 'Fairness Doctrine' 'threat' to Christian radio, February 7,2009
221. Worldnet Daily News 'Another senator lines up Behind 'Fairness Doctrine' February 5, 2009
222. worldnet Daily News, 'Globalists see econ crisis as excuse for 'new world' January 28,2009
223. Full interview can be seen at <http://www.charlierose.com/view/interview/9819>
224. Tim McHyde <http://www.escapeallthesethings.com/prince-charles-antichrist.htm>
225. 'Why Europe needs the military option', Project Futurum

226. EU Observer An interview between Die Welt and the EU's high representative for Common Foreign and Security Policy, Javier Solana
227. Hal Lindsey, 'How Obama Prepped World for the Antichrist' Worldnet Daily, August 1, 2008.
228. John F Walvoord, Major Bible Prophecies, Zondervan Publishing House, 1991
229. David Breese, Storming Towards Armageddon: Essays in Apocalypse, 1992
230. Alice Bailey, The Externalisation of the Hierarchy, Lucis Trust, 1983
231. Jeremy Rifkin, Entropy: A New World View, Viking Adult, 1980
232. Foster Bailey, The Reappearance of Christ, Lucis Trust
233. <http://www.smh.com.au/articles/2008/12/10/1228584922978.html>
234. Spillius, Alex (March 2, 2009). "Barack Obama fights presidential eligibility claims". The Daily Telegraph (London). <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/northamerica/usa/barackobama/4929005/Barack-Obama-fights-presidential-eligibility-claims.html.1173.html>
235. Bernstein, David S. (July 22, 2009). "Birthers In The Mainstream". The Boston Phoenix. <http://thephoenix.com/BLOGS/talkingpolitics/archive/2009/07/22/birthers-in-the-mainstream.aspx>
236. Ingold, John (April 15, 2009). "5,000 attend tax-day 'tea party' at Capitol". The Denver Post (Colorado). http://www.denverpost.com/rockiesmailbag/ci_12147073
237. <http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=116305>
238. <http://www.sanfranciscosentinel.com/?p=36160>
239. <http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=112206>
240. dreams from my father
241. http://www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/Remarks-by-the-President-at-Cairo-University-6-04-09/
242. The New York Times, October 22, 2001
243. Daily Paul, September 2008, emphasis added
244. US Immigration and Customs Enforcement website <http://www.ice.gov>
245. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2009/awglca7/eng/inf02.pdf>
246. <http://news.scotsman.com/climatechange/Unchecked-emissions-will-lead-to.5746641.jp>
247. <http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/15816>
248. <http://www.sheppnews.com.au/blog/blogentry.aspx?id=1193>
249. <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/this-britain/prince-cannot-defend-all-faiths-480086.html>
250. This section was authored by Andrew Gavin Marshall of globalresearch.ca

251. Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, The G20 moves the world a step closer to a global currency. The Telegraph: April 3, 2009: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/comment/ambroseevans_pritchard/5096524/The-G20-moves-the-world-a-step-closer-to-a-global-currency.html
252. Robert Winnett, Financial Crisis: Gordon Brown calls for 'new Bretton Woods'. The Telegraph: October 13, 2008: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/financetopics/financialcrisis/3189517/Financial-Crisis-Gordon-Brown-calls-for-new-Bretton-Woods.html>
253. Gordon Brown, Out of the Ashes. The Washington Post: October 17, 2008: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/10/16/AR2008101603179.html>
254. Gordon Rayner, Global financial crisis: does the world need a new banking 'policeman'? The Telegraph: October 8, 2008: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/financetopics/financialcrisis/3155563/Global-financial-crisis-does-the-world-need-a-new-banking-policeman.html>
255. Benn Steil, The End of National Currency. Foreign Affairs: Vol. 86, Issue 3, May/June 2007: pages 83-96
256. Jonathan Tirone, ECB's Nowotny Sees Global 'Tri-Polar' Currency System Evolving. Bloomberg: October 19, 2008: <http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=20601087&sid=apjqJKKQvDc&refer=home>
257. BBC, South America nations found union. BBC News: May 23, 2008: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/7417896.stm>
258. CNews, South American nations to seek common currency. China View: May 26, 2008: http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/2008-05/27/content_8260847.htm
259. AME Info, GCC: Full steam ahead to monetary union. September 19, 2005: <http://www.ameinfo.com/67925.html>
260. John Irish, GCC Agrees on Monetary Union but Signals Delay in Common Currency. Reuters: June 10, 2008: <http://www.arabnews.com/?page=6§ion=0&article=110727&d=10&m=6&y=2008>
261. Forbes, TIMELINE-Gulf single currency deadline delayed beyond 2010. Forbes: March 23, 2009: <http://www.forbes.com/feeds/afx/2009/03/24/afx6204462.html>
262. Agencies, 'GCC need not rush to form single currency'. Business 24/7: March 26, 2009: http://www.business24-7.ae/articles/2009/3/pages/25032009/03262009_4e19de908b174f04bfb3c37aec2f17b3.aspx
263. Barry Eichengreen, International Monetary Arrangements: Is There a Monetary Union in Asia's Future? The Brookings Institution: Spring 1997: http://www.brookings.edu/articles/1997/spring_globaleconomics_eichengreen.aspx
264. atimes.com, After European now Asian Monetary Union? Asia Times Online: September 8, 2001: <http://www.atimes.com/editor/CI08Ba01.html>
265. ASEAN, China, Japan, SKorea, ASEAN Makes Moves for Asian Monetary Fund. Association of Southeast Asian Nations: May 6, 2005: <http://www.aseansec.org/afp/115.htm>
266. Reuven Glick, Does Europe's Path to Monetary Union Provide Lessons for East Asia? Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco: August 12, 2005: <http://www.frbsf.org/publications/economics/letter/2005/el2005-19.html>
267. AFP, Asian Monetary Fund may be needed to deal with future shocks. Channel News Asia: July 2, 2007: http://www.channelnewsasia.com/stories/afx_world_business/view/285700/1.html
268. AFX News Limited, East Asia monetary union 'feasible' but political will lacking – ADB. Forbes: September 19, 2007: <http://www.forbes.com/feeds/afx/2007/09/19/afx4133743.html>

269. Lin Li, ASEAN discusses financial, monetary integration. China View: April 2, 2008: http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/2008-04/02/content_7906391.htm
270. Paul De Grauwe, Economics of Monetary Union. Oxford University Press, 2007: pages 109-110
271. Heather Milkiewicz and Paul R. Masson, Africa's Economic Morass—Will a Common Currency Help? The Brookings Institution: July 2003: http://www.brookings.edu/papers/2003/07africa_masson.aspx
272. John Gahamanyi, Rwanda: African Central Bank Governors Discuss AU Financial Institutions. The New Times: August 23, 2008: <http://allafrica.com/stories/200808230124.html>
273. Eric Ombok, African Union, Nigeria Plan Accord on Central Bank. Bloomberg: March 2, 2009: <http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=20601116&sid=af0Y1vOnEMLA&refer=africa>
274. Ministry of Foreign Affairs, AFRICA IN THE QUEST FOR A COMMON CURRENCY. Republic of Kenya: March 2009: http://www.mfa.go.ke/mfacms/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=346&Itemid=62
275. Herbert Grubel, The Case for the Amero. The Fraser Institute: September 1, 1999: Page 4: http://www.fraserinstitute.org/Commerce.Web/publication_details.aspx?pubID=2512
276. Herbert Grubel, The Case for the Amero. The Fraser Institute: September 1, 1999: Page 17: http://www.fraserinstitute.org/Commerce.Web/publication_details.aspx?pubID=2512
277. Thomas Courchene and Richard Harris, From Fixing to Monetary Union: Options for North American Currency Integration. C.D. Howe Institute, June 1999: Page 22: <http://www.cdhowe.org/display.cfm?page=research-fiscal&year=1999>
278. Thomas Courchene and Richard Harris, From Fixing to Monetary Union: Options for North American Currency Integration. C.D. Howe Institute, June 1999: Page 23: <http://www.cdhowe.org/display.cfm?page=research-fiscal&year=1999>
279. Barrie McKenna, Dodge Says Single Currency 'Possible'. The Globe and Mail: May 21, 2007
280. Consider a Continental Currency, Jarislowsky Says. The Globe and Mail: November 23, 2007: <http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/story/LAC.20071123.RDOLLAR23/TPStory/?query=%22Steven%2BChase%22b>
281. CNN, CNN Larry King Live. Transcripts: October 8, 2007: <http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0710/08/lkl.01.html>
282. Herbert Grubel, Fix the Loonie. The Financial Post: January 18, 2008: <http://www.nationalpost.com/opinion/story.html?id=245165>
283. Todd Harrison, How realistic is a North American currency? Market Watch: January 28, 2009: <http://www.marketwatch.com/news/story/Do-we-need-a-North/story.aspx?guid={D10536AF-F929-4AF9-AD10-250B4057A907}>
284. Get ready for the phoenix. The Economist: Vol. 306: January 9, 1988: pages 9-10
285. IMF, IMF Survey. Volume 27, No. 9: May 11, 1998: pages 146-147: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/survey/pdf/051198.pdf>
286. Judy Shelton, Hearing on Exchange Rate Stability in International Finance. Testimony of Judy Shelton Before the United States House of Representatives Committee on Banking and Financial Services: May 21, 1999: <http://financialservices.house.gov/banking/52199she.htm>

287. ECB, The euro and the dollar - new imperatives for policy co-ordination. Speeches and Interviews: September 18, 2000: <http://www.ecb.int/press/key/date/2000/html/sp000918.en.html>
288. IMF, One World, One Currency: Destination or Delusion? Economic Forums and International Seminars: November 8, 2000: <http://www.imf.org/external/np/exr/ecforums/110800.htm>
289. Robert A. Mundell, World Currency. The Works of Robert A. Mundell: <http://www.robertmundell.net/Menu/Main.asp?Type=5&Cat=09&ThemeName=World%20Currency>
290. Itar-Tass, Russia proposes creation of global super-reserve currency. ITAR-TASS News Agency: March 16, 2009: <http://www.itar-tass.com/eng/level2.html?NewsID=13682035&PageNum=0>
291. Jamil Anderlini, China calls for new reserve currency. The Financial Times: March 23, 2009: <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/7851925a-17a2-11de-8c9d-0000779fd2ac.html>
292. CFR, A Conversation with Timothy F. Geithner. Council on Foreign Relations Transcripts: March 25, 2009: <http://www.cfr.org/publication/18925/>
293. news.com.au, UN backs new new global currency reserve. The Sunday Telegraph: March 29, 2009: <http://www.news.com.au/business/story/0,27753,25255091-462,00.html>
294. Ashima Goyal, Is world ready for a global currency? The Economic Times: April 3, 2009: <http://economictimes.indiatimes.com/ET-Debate/Is-world-ready-for-a-global-currency/articleshow/4352581.cms>
295. R Agarwala, SDR should become the global currency. The Economic Times: April 3, 2009: <http://economictimes.indiatimes.com/ET-Debate/SDR-should-become-the-global-currency/articleshow/4352573.cms>
296. Kim Kyoungwha and David Yong, Dollar's Role Is Safe as IMF Expands Own Currency. Bloomberg: April 3, 2009: <http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=20601087&sid=aBbu9JB2mGkc&refer=home>
297. Jeffrey E. Garten, Needed: A Fed for the World. The New York Times: September 23, 1998: <http://www.nytimes.com/1998/09/23/opinion/needed-a-fed-for-the-world.html>
298. Jeffrey Garten, Global authority can fill financial vacuum. The Financial Times: September 25, 2008: http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/7caf543e-8b13-11dd-b634-0000779fd18c.html?nclink_check=1
299. CNBC, Morgan's Mack: Firm Was Excessively Leveraged. CNBC: October 16, 2008: <http://www.cnbc.com/id/27216678>
300. Jeffrey Garten, We Need a Bank Of the World. Newsweek: October 25, 2008: <http://www.newsweek.com/id/165772>
301. Sean Davidson, 'Global central bank could prevent future crisis'. Business 24/7: January 10, 2009: http://www.business24-7.ae/articles/2009/1/pages/01102009_350bc822e4ee4508b724e55b0f1393df.aspx
302. Guillermo Calvo, Lender of last resort: Put it on the agenda! VOX: March 23, 2009: <http://www.voxeu.org/index.php?q=node/3327>
303. Walden Siew, Banks face "new world order," consolidation: report. Reuters: March 17, 2008: <http://www.reuters.com/article/innovationNews/idUSN1743541720080317>
304. James Politi and Gillian Tett, NY Fed chief in push for global bank framework. The Financial Times: June 8, 2008: http://us.ft.com/ftgateway/superpage.ft?news_id=fto060820081850443845
305. Rupert Wright, The first barons of banking. The National: November 6, 2008: <http://www.thenational.ae/article/20081106/BUSINESS/167536298/1005>

306. Michael Lafferty, New world order in banking necessary after abject failure of present model. The Times Online: February 24, 2009: <http://business.timesonline.co.uk/tol/business/management/article5792585.ece>
307. James A. Dorn, Dangers in G20 currency moves. The Financial Post: April 2, 2009: <http://network.nationalpost.com/np/blogs/fpcomment/archive/2009/04/02/dangers-in-g20-currency-moves.aspx>
308. Richard Gwyn, Change not necessarily for the better. The Toronto Star: April 3, 2009: <http://www.thestar.com/comment/article/612822>
309. FE, Growth to slow down hitting hard the poor countries. The Financial Express: April 1, 2009: http://www.thefinancialexpress-bd.com/search_index.php?page=detail_news&news_id=62661
310. David Rothkopf, Superclass: The Global Power Elite and the World They are Making. (Toronto: Penguin Books, 2008), page 315
311. David Rothkopf, Superclass: The Global Power Elite and the World They are Making. (Toronto: Penguin Books, 2008), pages 315-316
312. David Rothkopf, Superclass: The Global Power Elite and the World They are Making. (Toronto: Penguin Books, 2008), page 316
313. Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government. The Financial Times: December 8, 2008: <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/7a03e5b6-c541-11dd-b516-000077b07658.html>
314. NIC, Global Trends 2025: A Transformed World. The National Intelligence Council's 2025 Project: November, 2008: Acknowledgements: http://www.dni.gov/nic/NIC_2025_project.html
315. NIC, Global Trends 2025: A Transformed World. The National Intelligence Council's 2025 Project: November, 2008: page 11: http://www.dni.gov/nic/NIC_2025_project.html
316. NIC, Global Trends 2025: A Transformed World. The National Intelligence Council's 2025 Project: November, 2008: pages 11-12: http://www.dni.gov/nic/NIC_2025_project.html
317. NIC, Global Trends 2025: A Transformed World. The National Intelligence Council's 2025 Project: November, 2008: pages 94: http://www.dni.gov/nic/NIC_2025_project.html
318. NIC, Global Trends 2025: A Transformed World. The National Intelligence Council's 2025 Project: November, 2008: pages 81: http://www.dni.gov/nic/NIC_2025_project.html
319. NIC, Global Trends 2025: A Transformed World. The National Intelligence Council's 2025 Project: November, 2008: pages 83: http://www.dni.gov/nic/NIC_2025_project.html
320. NIC, Global Trends 2025: A Transformed World. The National Intelligence Council's 2025 Project: November, 2008: pages 87: http://www.dni.gov/nic/NIC_2025_project.html
321. Carroll Quigley, Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time (New York: Macmillan Company, 1966),
322. <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/world/europe/article6917652.ece>
323. Associated Press, July 13, 2008
324. Quoted at EurActiv.com, March 14, 2008
325. Quoted by Bruno Waterfield, "Gaddafi Attacks Sarkozy Plan for Union of the Med, The Daily Telegraph, July 10, 2008

326. Waterfield, The Daily Telegraph

327. David Breese, Storming Towards Armageddon: Essays in Apocalypse, 1992

328. John Coleman, The Conspirators Hierarchy: The Committee of 300

329. The Anglo Saxon concept is found in movements such as British-Israelism (Anglo-Israelism) which is the belief and teaching of a number of religious groups, that the 10 "lost" tribes of Israel are actually the Anglo-Saxon race and are specifically the people of Great Britain. By definition, then, the Anglo-Saxon (or white) people are the true Israelites, being the descendants of Abraham and are the only chosen people of God and heirs to the covenant of God. The movement sees the people who are living in Israel today, calling themselves Jews, as impostors who mistakenly identify themselves with the Israelites, but are, in fact, descendants of Judah and are not the chosen people of God and are not part of the covenant of God. This belief and teaching is popular in many circles, religious and otherwise. It provides a convenient foundation for the justification of attacks on the current Jewish people and the anti-Semitism that is found in the world today. By joining themselves to the teaching and belief, people claim that they are not anti-Semitic because the real Jews are Anglo-Saxons and therefore they, themselves, are the true Semites.

330. The principal belief of Anglo/British Israelism is that the British (and by extension Americans, Canadians, Australians, and others) are the spiritual and literal descendants of the ancient Israelites.

Anglo-Israelism has a long history. The Puritan colonists in America also viewed themselves as spiritual descendants of the ancient Israelites. However, it was not until 1840 that John Wilson published "Lectures on our Israelitish Origin" and first proclaimed that the British people were the actual genetic descendants of God's chosen people.

The Hebrew Scriptures (Old Testament) records how a schism occurred among the ancient Hebrews, separating the land into:

- The Southern Kingdom of Judah which included the tribes of Benjamin and Levi, and
- The Northern Kingdom of Israel which included the remaining ten tribes.

About 721 BCE, the latter was captured by the Assyrians. According to historians and archaeologists, its population was taken into captivity, and assimilated. Non-Hebrews were imported in the region and the Northern Kingdom ceased to exist. However, British Israel writers developed the belief that these "ten tribes" were able to retain their identity and cohesiveness, became lost to history, and wandered far from the Middle East. They concluded that the stone used in the coronation of King David was transported to the British Isles where it exists today as the Stone of Scone. The latter stone is still used for the coronation of British queens and kings. The writers promoted the belief that divine authority was transferred from ancient Israel to England along with the stone. In reality, the origin of the Stone of Scone has been traced to rock outcroppings in Scotland using standard geological analysis methods.

British Israelism was quite popular during the ascent of the British Empire, but quickly lost favor as the Empire was converted into a Commonwealth of Nations. In the early years of the 20th century, Charles Parham and John Allen were the main teachers of British-Israelism in the United States. Parham later went on to be a major influence in the Pentecostal movement. Allen's book "Judah's Scepter and Joseph's Birthright" spread the concept through the Adventist and other churches. British Israelism formed a main part of the foundation of Herbert W. Armstrong's Worldwide Church of God.

331. This information is taken from a book from a former Luciferian Illuminist, Doc Marquis. His book is "Secrets of the Illuminati" and reveals much formerly hidden detail known only to Illuminists

332. 2.2.09 / Lydia Polgreen / New York Times